

# THIRD QUARTER 2014 QUARTERLY INFORMATION STATEMENT OF THE FARM CREDIT SYSTEM 

This quarterly information statement provides important information for investors in the debt securities jointly issued by the four Farm Credit System Banks - AgFirst Farm Credit Bank, AgriBank, FCB, CoBank, ACB and Farm Credit Bank of Texas (collectively, the Banks). These debt securities, which we refer to as Systemwide Debt Securities, include:

- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Bonds,
- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Discount Notes,
- Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Medium-Term Notes, and
- any other debt securities that the Farm Credit System Banks may jointly issue from time to time.

This quarterly information statement does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy Systemwide Debt Securities. Systemwide Debt Securities are offered by the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation on behalf of the Banks pursuant to offering circulars for each type of debt offering. The relevant offering circular as of this date is the Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Bonds and Discount Notes Offering Circular dated October 18, 2010, as amended by the supplements dated April 22, 2011, January 1, 2013 and February 19, 2014.

The offering circular may be amended or supplemented from time to time and a new offering circular may be issued. Before purchasing Systemwide Debt Securities, you should carefully read the relevant offering circular, the most recent annual and quarterly information statements and other current information released by the Funding Corporation regarding the Banks and/or Systemwide Debt Securities. At this time, no Systemwide Debt Securities are being offered under the Federal Farm Credit Banks Global Debt Program Offering Circular dated October 10, 1996, the Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Medium-Term Notes Offering Circular dated July 19, 1993, as amended by supplements dated February 26, 1997 and June 11, 1999 or the Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Master Notes Offering Circular dated December 21, 1999, as amended by the supplement dated August 20, 2001. No securities previously offered under the Global Debt Offering Circular or the Master Notes Offering Circular are currently outstanding.

Systemwide Debt Securities are the joint and several obligations of the Banks and are not obligations of or guaranteed by the United States government. Systemwide Debt Securities are not required to be registered and have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933. In addition, the Banks are not required to file and do not file periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Systemwide Debt Securities have not been recommended by any federal or state securities commission or regulatory authority. Furthermore, these authorities have not confirmed the accuracy or determined the adequacy of any offering material.

## Certification

The undersigned certify that (1) we have reviewed this quarterly information statement, (2) this quarterry information statement has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and (3) the information contained in this quarterly information statement is true, accurate, and complete to the best of the signatories' knowledge and belief.

J. Less Guthrie

Chairman of the Board

Theresa E. McCabe
President and CEO

Karen R. Brenner
Managing Director - Financial Management Division
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## WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Farm Credit System quarterly and annual information statements and press releases relating to financial results or other developments affecting the System issued by the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation for the current fiscal year and the two preceding fiscal years, as well as offering circulars relating to Systemwide Debt Securities, are available for inspection at, or will be furnished without charge upon request to, the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation, 10 Exchange Place, Suite 1401, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302; telephone (201) 200-8000. These documents are also available on the Funding Corporation's website located at www.farmcreditfunding.com. Other information regarding the System can be found on the System's website located at www.farmcredit.com.

In addition, copies of quarterly and annual reports of each Bank and each Farm Credit Bank (AgFirst Farm Credit Bank, AgriBank, FCB and Farm Credit Bank of Texas) combined with its affiliated Associations may be obtained from the individual Bank. Bank addresses and telephone numbers where copies of these documents may be obtained are listed on page S-5 of this quarterly information statement. These documents and further information on each Bank or each Farm Credit Bank combined with its affiliated Associations and links to a Bank's affiliated Associations' websites are also available on each Bank's website as follows:

- AgFirst Farm Credit Bank - www.agfirst.com
- AgriBank, FCB - www.agribank.com
- CoBank, ACB - www.cobank.com
- Farm Credit Bank of Texas - www.farmcreditbank.com

Information contained on these websites is not incorporated by reference into this quarterly information statement and you should not consider information contained on these websites to be part of this quarterly information statement.

## BUSINESS

## Overview of the Farm Credit System

The Farm Credit System is a federally chartered network of borrower-owned lending institutions comprised of cooperatives and related service organizations. Cooperatives are organizations that are owned and controlled by their members who use the cooperatives' products or services. The U.S. Congress authorized the creation of the first System institutions in 1916. Our mission is to provide sound and dependable credit to American farmers, ranchers, producers or harvesters of aquatic products, their cooperatives, and certain farm-related businesses. We do this by making appropriately structured loans to qualified individuals and businesses at competitive
rates and providing financial services and advice to those persons and businesses.

Consistent with our mission of serving rural America, we also make rural residential real estate loans, finance rural communication, energy and water infrastructures and make loans to support agricultural exports and to finance other eligible entities.

Congress established the Farm Credit Administration as the System's independent federal regulator to examine and regulate System institutions, including their safety and soundness. System institutions are federal instrumentalities.

## Structure/Ownership of the Farm Credit System

The following chart depicts the overall structure and ownership of the System.


The Associations are cooperatives owned by their borrowers, and the Farm Credit Banks (AgFirst, AgriBank and Texas) are cooperatives primarily owned by their affiliated Associations. The Agricultural Credit Bank (CoBank) is a cooperative principally owned by cooperatives, other eligible borrowers and its affiliated Associations. The Banks and Associations each have their own board of directors and are not commonly owned. Each Bank and Association manages and controls its own business activities, operations and financial performance.

The Banks jointly own the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation (Funding Corporation).

The Funding Corporation, as agent for the Banks, issues and markets Systemwide Debt Securities in order to raise funds for the lending activities and operations of the Banks and Associations. The Funding Corporation also provides the Banks with certain consulting, accounting and financial reporting services, including the preparation of the System's quarterly and annual information statements and the System's combined financial statements contained in those information statements. As the System's financial spokesperson, the Funding Corporation is primarily responsible for financial disclosure and the release of public information concerning the financial condition and performance of the System.

Systemwide Debt Securities are the general unsecured joint and several obligations of the Banks. Systemwide Debt Securities are not obligations of and are not guaranteed by the United States government. In addition, Systemwide Debt Securities are not the direct obligations of the Associations and, as a result, the capital of the Associations may not be available to support principal or interest payments on Systemwide Debt Securities.

## Our Business Model

A Bank and its affiliated Associations are financially and operationally interdependent as the Bank is statutorily required to serve as an intermediary between the financial markets and the retail lending activities of its affiliated Associations. The Banks are the primary source of funds for the Associations. Associations are not legally authorized to accept deposits and may not borrow from other financial institutions without the approval of their affiliated Bank. The Banks are not legally authorized to accept deposits and they principally obtain their funds
through the issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities. Other less significant sources of funding for the Banks include internally generated earnings, the issuance of common and preferred equities and the issuance of subordinated debt. As a result, the loans made by the Associations are primarily funded by the issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities by the Banks. The repayment of Systemwide Debt Securities is dependent upon the ability of borrowers to repay their loans from the Associations. In addition, CoBank makes retail loans and leases directly to cooperatives, rural infrastructure companies, and other eligible borrowers. The Banks also purchase retail loan participations from Associations, other Banks and non-System lenders. Therefore, the repayment of Systemwide Debt Securities is also dependent upon the ability of these borrowers to repay their loans.

The chart below illustrates the flow of funds from investors in Systemwide Debt Securities to the System's borrowers and the ultimate repayment of funds to investors resulting from borrower loan repayments.


## Overview of Our Business

As required by the Farm Credit Act, we specialize in providing financing and related services to eligible, creditworthy borrowers in the agricultural and rural sectors, to certain related entities, and to domestic or foreign parties in connection with the export of U.S. agricultural products. We make credit available in all 50 states, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and, under conditions set forth in the Farm Credit Act, U.S. territories.

System institutions may also provide a variety of services to their borrowers that are designed to enhance their business, including acting as agent or broker for: credit and mortgage-life insurance, disability insurance, various types of crop insurance, livestock risk protection, estate planning, record keeping services, tax planning and preparation, fee appraisal services, cash management products and
services, and consulting. The insurance is made available through private insurers. In addition, some System institutions provide leasing and related services to their customers.

## Government-Sponsored Enterprise Status

In order to better accomplish our mission, Congress has granted the System certain attributes that result in government-sponsored enterprise status for the System. As a government-sponsored enterprise, we have traditionally been able to raise funds at competitive rates and terms, in varying economic environments. This ability to raise funds has historically allowed us to make competitively priced loans to eligible borrowers through all economic cycles and thus accomplish our mission. (See "Risk Factors" in the 2013 Annual Information Statement for a discussion of the potential impact of changes on the
sovereign credit rating of the U.S. on the System given its government-sponsored enterprise status and the uncertainty about the future of governmentsponsored enterprises.)

## Agricultural Industry Overview

The agricultural sector has been a key economic force in the U.S. economy and is strongly affected by domestic and global economic conditions. The System was created to provide support for this sector because of its significance to the well-being of the U.S. economy and the U.S. consumer. Profitability in our business is dependent on the health of the U.S. agricultural sector, which is heavily influenced by domestic and world demand for agricultural products, and impacted by government support programs, including crop insurance, to producers of certain agricultural commodities. (See "Risk Factors" in the 2013 Annual Information Statement for a discussion of potential changes in the agricultural spending policies of the U.S. government in light of the U.S. budget deficit and its potential impact on the System's borrowers.) Further, off-farm income is important to the repayment ability of many agricultural producers. Accordingly, our business also may be impacted by the health of the general U.S. economy.

## System Lending Institutions

The two types of entities through which we conduct our lending business are the Banks and the Associations.

## Banks

At September 30, 2014, the System had four Banks (three Farm Credit Banks and one Agricultural Credit Bank). The Banks' lending operations include wholesale loans to their affiliated Associations and loan participations in eligible loans purchased from Associations, other Banks and non-System lenders. In addition, CoBank, as the Agricultural Credit Bank, has additional nationwide authority to make retail loans directly to cooperatives and other eligible entities.

The Banks obtain a substantial majority of funds for their lending operations through the issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities, but also obtain some of their funds from internally generated earnings, from the issuance of common and preferred equities and from the issuance of subordinated debt.

## Associations

As of October 1, 2014, the System had 77 Associations throughout the nation and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Of the 77, 75 were Agricultural Credit Associations with Production Credit Association subsidiaries and Federal Land Credit Association subsidiaries, and two were Federal Land Credit Associations. The Federal Land Credit Associations make real estate mortgage loans, including rural residential real estate loans. Agricultural Credit Associations may, directly or through their subsidiaries, make real estate mortgage loans, production and intermediate-term loans, agribusiness loans (processing and marketing loans, and certain farm-related business loans) and rural residential real estate loans. These retail loans are made to farmers, ranchers, producers or harvesters of aquatic products, farm-related businesses and rural homeowners. Associations may also purchase eligible loan participations from other System entities and non-System lenders. Although the Associations obtain some of the funds for their lending operations from internally generated earnings and from the issuance of equities, the substantial majority of their funding is obtained through borrowings from their affiliated Bank.

## Farm Credit Insurance Fund

As more fully discussed on page 19 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement, the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation's primary purpose is to insure the timely payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. The Insurance Corporation maintains the Insurance Fund for this purpose and for certain other mandatory and discretionary purposes. In the event a Bank is unable to timely pay principal or interest on any insured debt obligation for which that Bank is primarily liable, the Insurance Corporation must expend amounts in the Insurance Fund to the extent available to insure the timely payment of principal and interest on the debt obligation. The provisions of the Farm Credit Act providing for joint and several liability of the Banks on the debt obligation cannot be invoked until the Insurance Fund has been exhausted. The insurance provided through the Insurance Fund is not an obligation of and is not guaranteed by the U.S. government.

## Disclosure Obligations

The Farm Credit Administration has promulgated regulations intended to ensure the appropriate disclosure of financial and other information concerning the System to investors in Systemwide Debt Securities and other interested parties. These disclosures are the responsibility of the System Disclosure Entities, which consist of the Banks and the Funding Corporation. For a description of the responsibilities of the System Disclosure Entities, see page 18 of the 2013 Annual Information Statement.

## Governance - Code of Ethics

Each Bank and the Funding Corporation have adopted codes of ethics that apply to their chief executive officers, certain other executives, and senior professionals in the finance and accounting areas who are involved with the preparation of the System's financial statements and the maintenance of the financial records supporting the financial statements.

The Funding Corporation will disclose material amendments to or any waivers from a required provision of the codes of ethics for any individual covered by the Banks' or the Funding Corporation's codes of ethics by including that information in future information statements. No such amendments or waivers were made during the first nine months of 2014. A copy of the Funding Corporation's code of ethics related to the preparation of the System's quarterly and annual information statements can be accessed on the Funding Corporation's website at www.farmcreditfunding.com. Each Bank's code of ethics includes similar content and can be accessed through the Bank's website listed on page 2.

## Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in the System's 2013 Annual Information Statement.

## OTHER BUSINESS MATTERS

## Legal Proceedings

At September 30, 2014, various lawsuits were pending or threatened against System institutions. In the opinion of management, based on information
currently available and taking into account the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate liability, if any, of pending legal actions will not have a material adverse impact on the System's combined results of operations or financial position.

## SELECTED COMBINED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected combined financial data for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 has been derived from the audited combined financial statements of the Farm Credit System. The selected combined financial data and combined financial statements of the System combine the financial condition and operating results of each of the Banks, their affiliated Associations, the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation, and the Farm Credit Insurance Fund, and reflect the investments in, and allocated earnings of, certain service organizations owned by the Banks or Associations. All significant intra-System transactions and balances have been eliminated in combination. Because System entities are financially and operationally interdependent, we believe providing the combined financial information is more meaningful to investors in Systemwide Debt Securities than financial information relating to the Banks on a stand-alone basis (i.e., without the Associations).

While this quarterly information statement reports on the combined financial position and results of operations of the Banks, Associations, and other System entities specified above, only the Banks are jointly and severally liable for the repayment of Systemwide Debt Securities. See Note 14 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for combining Bank-only financial condition and results of operations. Also, copies of quarterly and annual reports of each Bank are available on each of their respective websites; see page 2 for a listing of their websites.

The combined financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 has been derived from the System's unaudited condensed combined financial statements appearing elsewhere herein, which include all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results for these interim periods.

|  | September 30, |  | December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (unaudited) |  | (in millions) |  |  |
| Combined Statement of Condition Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$208,051 | \$194,211 | \$201,060 | \$191,904 | \$174,664 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(1,184)$ | $(1,237)$ | $(1,238)$ | $(1,343)$ | $(1,290)$ |
| Net loans | 206,867 | 192,974 | 199,822 | 190,561 | 173,374 |
| Cash, Federal funds sold and investments | 54,877 | 50,459 | 51,893 | 46,928 | 47,281 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,223 | 2,137 | 1,719 | 1,668 | 1,750 |
| Other property owned | 139 | 259 | 198 | 324 | 458 |
| Total assets | 271,324 | 252,880 | 260,782 | 246,664 | 230,411 |
| Systemwide bonds | 192,634 | 185,241 | 188,702 | 183,076 | 170,760 |
| Systemwide medium-term notes | 135 | 253 | 150 | 342 | 380 |
| Systemwide discount notes | 21,583 | 15,394 | 18,637 | 14,548 | 13,640 |
| Subordinated debt | 1,555 | 1,555 | 1,555 | 1,555 | 1,650 |
| Other bonds | 4,171 | 3,175 | 3,215 | 2,399 | 2,109 |
| Protected borrower stock | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Total liabilities | 225,508 | 211,131 | 218,181 | 208,055 | 194,471 |
| Capital | 45,816 | 41,749 | 42,601 | 38,609 | 35,940 |
|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | For the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (unaudited) |  | (in millions) |  |  |
| Combined Statement of Income Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ 5,056 | \$ 4,981 | \$ 6,674 | \$ 6,477 | \$ 6,259 |
| (Provision for loan losses) loan loss reversal | (7) | (9) | 31 | (313) | (430) |
| Net noninterest expense | $(1,306)$ | $(1,305)$ | $(1,844)$ | $(1,824)$ | $(1,620)$ |
| Income before income taxes | 3,743 | 3,667 | 4,861 | 4,340 | 4,209 |
| Provision for income taxes | (174) | (168) | (221) | (222) | (269) |
| Net income | \$ 3,569 | \$ 3,499 | \$ 4,640 | \$ 4,118 | \$ 3,940 |

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The System's 2013 Annual Information Statement contains the December 31, 2013 combined financial statements together with commentary that explains the principal aspects of the System's combined financial position and results of operations. The following commentary represents a quarterly supplement to that information statement and includes a discussion of significant financial developments for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. This commentary should be read in conjunction with the 2013 Annual Information Statement and with the condensed combined financial statements of the System beginning on page F-1 of this quarterly information statement.

## Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed combined financial statements and related financial information contained in this quarterly information statement present the combined assets, liabilities and capital of the Banks, the Associations, the Funding Corporation, and the Insurance Fund, and reflect the investments in, and allocated earnings of, certain service organizations owned by the Banks or Associations. All significant intra-System transactions and balances have been eliminated in combination. (See Note 1 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for additional information on organization and significant accounting policies and the Supplemental Combining Information on pages F-51 through F-57). This quarterly information statement has been prepared under the oversight of the System Audit Committee.

Our financial statements are presented on a combined basis due to the financial and operational interdependence of System entities as discussed in the "Business" section contained elsewhere in this quarterly information statement.

While this quarterly information statement reports on the combined financial position and results of operations of the Banks, Associations and other System entities specified above, only the Banks are jointly and severally liable for the payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. Each Bank is also primarily liable for the payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities issued to fund its operations. (See Note 8 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for information about the capital of the Banks,

Note 14 for information related to the financial condition and results of operations of the Banks, and the Supplemental Combining Information on pages F-51 through F-53 for information related to the financial condition of the combined Banks.) Because the Associations are not directly liable for the payment of principal or interest on Systemwide Debt Securities, their capital may not be available to support those payments. Under the Farm Credit Act, the timely payment of the principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities is insured by the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation to the extent funds are available in the Insurance Fund. (See Note 5 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements.)

## Forward-Looking Information

This quarterly information statement contains forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will," or other variations of these terms are intended to identify the forward-looking statements. These statements are based on assumptions and analyses made in light of experience and other historical trends, current conditions, and expected future developments. However, actual results and developments may differ materially from our expectations and predictions due to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- political, legal, regulatory, financial markets and economic conditions and developments in the United States and abroad;
- economic fluctuations in the agricultural, rural utility, international, and farm-related business sectors;
- weather-related, disease, and other adverse climatic or biological conditions that periodically occur that impact agricultural productivity and income;
- changes in U.S. government support of the agricultural industry and the System as a government-sponsored enterprise, as well as investor and rating agency reactions to events
involving the U.S. government, other government-sponsored enterprises and other financial institutions; and
- actions taken by the Federal Reserve System in implementing monetary policy.


## Overview

## General

The System's combined net income increased $\$ 70$ million or $2.0 \%$ to $\$ 3.569$ billion for the first nine months of 2014, as compared with net income of $\$ 3.499$ billion for the same period of 2013. The increase resulted primarily from an increase in net interest income of $\$ 75$ million and noninterest income of $\$ 45$ million, partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense of $\$ 46$ million. Net interest income in excess of operating expenses was $\$ 3.231$ billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 , as compared with $\$ 3.244$ billion for the first nine months of 2013.

The System's net interest income increased to $\$ 5.056$ billion primarily due to a higher level of average earning assets, driven by increased loan volume and, to a lesser extent, growth in the investment portfolio. The net interest margin declined 15 basis points to $2.64 \%$ for the first nine months of 2014 due to a 15 basis point decline in the net interest spread to $2.50 \%$. The decline in the net interest spread resulted primarily from lower lending spreads due to competitive pressures, a greater average loan volume in lower spread lines of business and a lesser amount of debt being called.

The System's loan portfolio increased since yearend 2013 by $\$ 6.991$ billion or $3.5 \%$ to $\$ 208.051$ billion at September 30, 2014. The increase primarily resulted from increases in real estate mortgage loans, loans to cooperatives, and processing and marketing loans.

The System's nonperforming assets (which consist of impaired loans and other property owned) decreased $\$ 335$ million to $\$ 1.903$ billion at September 30, 2014, as compared with $\$ 2.238$ billion at December 31, 2013, representing $0.91 \%$ and $1.11 \%$ of total loans and other property owned for the corresponding periods.

## Funding

The System continues to have reliable access to the debt capital markets to support its mission of providing credit to farmers, ranchers and other eligible borrowers.

During the first nine months of 2014, investor demand for Systemwide Debt Securities has remained favorable. Given the prevailing low interest rate environment, the Banks continued to refinance callable bonds when advantageous in order to lower their cost of funds.

The System is a government-sponsored enterprise that has benefitted from broad access to domestic and global capital markets. This access has provided us with a dependable source of competitively priced debt which is critical for supporting our mission of providing credit to agriculture and rural America.

## Drought Conditions

According to the U.S. Drought Monitor, approximately $31 \%$ of the U.S. was experiencing moderate to exceptional drought conditions, concentrated mainly in California, certain other western states, and much of Texas as of September 30, 2014 and at the end of 2013. Although the percentage remained unchanged at September 30, 2014, there was an increase from $0.4 \%$ to $3.9 \%$ in the geographic area of the U.S. that is experiencing exceptional drought conditions. As noted on page 37 of the 2013 Annual Information Statement, persistent drought conditions may lead to increased prices and decreased supplies for agricultural products produced in affected areas, including livestock, dairy products, fruits, nuts and vegetables. Prolonged drought conditions could result in credit stress for agriculture producers and processors in the affected areas.

## Agricultural Outlook

## USDA Information

We utilize the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) analysis to provide a general understanding of the U.S. agricultural economic outlook; however, this outlook does not take into account all aspects of our business or events that occurred subsequent to its issuance. References to USDA information in this section refer to U.S. agricultural market data and not System data.

The USDA's most recent forecast (August 26, 2014) estimates that farmers' net cash income (a measure of the cash income after payment of business expenses) for 2014 will be $\$ 123.0$ billion, a $\$ 7.8$ billion decrease from 2013, but $\$ 32.1$ billion above the 10 -year average. The forecasted decrease in farmers' net cash income for 2014 is primarily due to an expected increase in cash expenses of $\$ 13.3$ billion. The August forecast reflects an improved outlook over the February forecast of farmers' net cash income of $\$ 101.9$ billion
that is largely the result of improved prospects for the value of both crop and livestock production.

The USDA also projects crop receipts will decrease $\$ 15.2$ billion in 2014, with approximately a $\$ 12.8$ billion decline in corn receipts. While U.S. corn production is forecast to reach a record level in 2014, the annual corn price is expected to fall significantly, lowering both corn receipts and the value of production. Declines in soybean receipts are anticipated as higher production and quantities sold are more than offset by large price declines. Livestock receipts are predicted to increase $\$ 27.8$ billion in 2014 primarily due to recordhigh annual prices for livestock, dairy and poultry products.

The following charts set forth the commodity prices utilizing the average monthly price for the last month of each quarter by hundredweight for beef cattle, hogs and milk, per bushel for corn, soybeans and wheat and by pound for poultry on certain dates during the period from September 30, 2011 to September 30, 2014:



Price per pound


## Other Information

Agriculture, in general, has experienced a sustained period of favorable economic conditions due to stronger commodity prices, higher farmland values, and, to a lesser extent, government support programs.

System institutions continue to focus on sound underwriting standards that emphasize loan repayment capacity in addition to conservative assessments of collateral used to secure loans. In addition, System institutions have generally taken other measures to adjust underwriting standards to reduce risk on farmland loans, including but not limited to:

- Setting lower loan-to-value limits (generally less than $65 \%$ of loan-to-value),
- Establishing caps on debt per acre based on soil quality and geographic area,
- Shortening loan terms,
- Requiring guarantees, and
- Cross-collateralizing a loan with property that has limited debt encumbrance.

To date, the System's financial results have remained favorable as a result of the favorable agricultural conditions. Production agriculture, however, remains a cyclical business that is heavily influenced by commodity prices and various other factors. Conditions in the general and agricultural economies remain volatile given the state of the U.S. and global economy. In an environment of less favorable conditions in agriculture, including extensive and extended drought conditions, and without sufficient government support programs, including USDAsponsored crop insurance programs, the System's financial performance and credit quality measures would likely be negatively impacted. Any negative impact from these less favorable conditions should be partially mitigated by geographic and commodity diversification across the System and the influence of off-farm income sources supporting agriculturalrelated debt. However, due to the geographic territories served by Banks and Associations, most institutions have higher geographic, borrower and commodity concentrations than does the System as a whole. In addition, agricultural borrowers who are
more reliant on off-farm income sources may be more adversely impacted by a weakened general economy.

## Results of Operations

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income was $\$ 1.708$ billion and $\$ 5.056$ billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with $\$ 1.669$ billion and $\$ 4.981$ billion for the same periods of the prior year. The effects of changes in volume and interest rates on net interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with the corresponding periods of the prior year, are presented in the following table. The table distinguishes between the changes in interest income and interest expense related to average outstanding balances and to the levels of average interest rates. Accordingly, the benefit derived from funding earning assets with interest-free funds (capital) is reflected solely as a volume increase.

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 vs. 2013 |  |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 vs. 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase (decrease) due to |  |  | Increase (decrease) due to |  |  |
|  | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$129 | \$(67) | \$62 | \$378 | \$(189) | \$189 |
| Investments | 17 | (10) | 7 | 43 | (41) | 2 |
| Total interest income | 146 | (77) | 69 | 421 | (230) | 191 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide Debt Securities and other | 34 | (4) | 30 | 94 | 22 | 116 |
| Change in net interest income | \$112 | \$(73) | \$39 | \$327 | \$(252) | \$ 75 |

The changes in rates earned on interest-earning assets (which consist of accrual and nonaccrual loans, Federal funds sold and investments) and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities are further illustrated in the following presentation of interest rate spreads:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2014 |  | September 30, 2013 |  | September 30, 2014 |  | September 30, 2013 |  |
|  | Average Balance | Annualized Rate | Average Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annualized } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | Average Balance | Annualized Rate | Average Balance | $\underset{\text { Rate }}{\text { Annualized }}$ |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage loans | \$ 94,993 | 4.52\% | \$ 90,319 | 4.52\% | \$ 93,782 | 4.50\% | \$ 88,371 | 4.56\% |
| Production and intermediate-term loans | 44,256 | 3.93 | 42,113 | 4.02 | 43,022 | 3.86 | 40,836 | 3.94 |
| Agribusiness loans | 29,409 | 3.11 | 24,753 | 3.72 | 31,183 | 3.09 | 27,335 | 3.59 |
| Rural home loans $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 6,649 4.51 6,423 4.45 6,554 4.50 6,314 4.48  <br> Energy and water/waste water          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural export finance loans | 4,713 | 0.88 | 4,713 | 0.92 | 4,777 | 0.86 | 4,738 | 0.98 |
| Communication loans | 4,627 | 3.30 | 4,033 | 3.70 | 4,542 | 3.34 | 4,095 | 3.72 |
| Lease receivables | 2,738 | 3.94 | 2,491 | 4.08 | 2,684 | 3.99 | 2,441 | 4.13 |
| Loans to other financial institutions | 834 | 1.11 | 724 | 1.10 | 766 | 1.13 | 678 | 1.17 |
| Nonaccrual loans | 1,472 | 4.14 | 2,107 | 4.55 | 1,605 | 4.63 | 2,222 | 3.32 |
| Total loans | 205,673 | 4.01 | 193,011 | 4.15 | 204,650 | 3.98 | 192,122 | 4.11 |
| Federal funds sold, investments and other | 51,987 | 1.29 | 46,960 | 1.37 | 50,306 | 1.33 | 46,170 | 1.45 |
| Total earning assets | 257,660 | 3.47 | 239,971 | 3.61 | 254,956 | 3.46 | 238,292 | 3.60 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(1,180)$ |  | $(1,318)$ |  | $(1,209)$ |  | $(1,335)$ |  |
| Other noninterest-earning assets | 11,309 |  | 11,200 |  | 11,172 |  | 11,086 |  |
| Total assets | \$267,789 |  | \$249,853 |  | \$264,919 |  | \$248,043 |  |
| Liabilities and Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide bonds and mediumterm notes | \$189,566 | 1.03\% | \$184,942 | 1.00\% | \$188,904 | 1.03\% | \$182,896 | 0.98\% |
| Systemwide discount notes | 22,596 | 0.12 | 14,097 | 0.14 | 22,257 | 0.13 | 15,745 | 0.15 |
| Subordinated debt | 1,555 | 6.17 | 1,555 | 6.17 | 1,555 | 6.17 | 1,555 | 6.17 |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 4,715 | 0.25 | 3,914 | 0.10 | 3,812 | 0.24 | 3,320 | 0.24 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 218,432 | 0.96 | 204,508 | 0.97 | 216,528 | 0.96 | 203,516 | 0.95 |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities | 4,304 |  | 4,428 |  | 4,446 |  | 4,525 |  |
| Capital | 45,053 |  | 40,917 |  | 43,945 |  | 40,002 |  |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$267,789 |  | \$249,853 |  | \$264,919 |  | \$248,043 |  |
| Net interest spread(1) |  | 2.51 |  | 2.64 |  | 2.50 |  | 2.65 |
| Impact of noninterest-bearing sources $\qquad$ |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 |
| Net interest margin(2) |  | 2.65\% |  | 2.78\% |  | 2.64\% |  | 2.79\% |

(1) Net interest spread is the difference between the rate earned on total earning assets and the rate paid on total interest-bearing liabilities.
(2) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average earning assets.

As illustrated in the above tables, net interest income increased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with the same periods of the prior year primarily due to higher levels of average earning assets. Average earning assets increased $\$ 17.689$ billion or $7.4 \%$ to $\$ 257.660$ billion for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and $\$ 16.664$ billion or $7.0 \%$ to $\$ 254.956$ billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with the same periods of 2013.

The net interest margin was $2.65 \%$ and $2.64 \%$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with $2.78 \%$ and $2.79 \%$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decline in the net interest margin for the three- and nine-month periods resulted from a decrease in the net interest spread of 13 and 15 basis points to $2.51 \%$ and $2.50 \%$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with $2.64 \%$ and $2.65 \%$ for the same periods of 2013. The decline in the net interest spread resulted
primarily from lower lending spreads due to increased competitive pressures and from greater average loan volume in lower spread lines of business. The net interest spread for the three- and nine-month periods included the positive impact from CoBank's net accretion of asset and liability fair value adjustments related to its January 1, 2012 merger with U.S. AgBank and from the Banks' ability to refinance outstanding debt at favorable interest rates in order to lower their cost of funds. Net accretion was $\$ 12$ million and $\$ 16$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and was $\$ 39$ million and $\$ 65$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The Banks called debt totaling $\$ 14.6$ billion and $\$ 21.1$ billion during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013.

As our loan product mix changes, interest rates change and assets prepay or reprice in a manner more consistent with historical experience, the positive impact on the net interest spread experienced over the
past several years from calling Systemwide Debt Securities will continue to decline.

## Provision for Loan Losses/Loan Loss Reversal

The System recognized provisions for loan losses of $\$ 42$ million and $\$ 7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with a loan loss reversal of $\$ 32$ million and a provision for loan losses of $\$ 9$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Included in the third quarter of 2014 provision for loan losses was a $\$ 42$ million provision recorded by one Association, with assets totaling just under \$1 billion, related to its ongoing investigation of a sudden significant increase in delinquencies in a discrete portion of its loan portfolio during the quarter. (See Note 1 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for additional information.) The provision for loan losses for the first nine months of 2014 consisted of $\$ 83$ million of provisions for loan losses recorded by certain System institutions, partially offset by $\$ 76$ million of loan loss reversals recorded by other System institutions.

September 30, 2014, as compared with $\$ 180$ million and $\$ 444$ million for the same periods of the prior year. Noninterest income consisted of the following: $\$ 489$ million for the three and nine months ended

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased $\$ 24$ million or $13.3 \%$ to $\$ 204$ million and $\$ 45$ million or $10.1 \%$ to

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (in millions) |  |  |  |
| Loan-related fee income | \$ 60 | \$ 63 | \$170 | \$176 |
| Fees for financially related services | 72 | 71 | 141 | 138 |
| Mineral income | 36 | 29 | 95 | 76 |
| Operating lease income | 11 | 10 | 29 | 30 |
| Income earned on Insurance Fund assets | 9 | 8 | 27 | 22 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses |  | (1) | (1) | (6) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in other comprehensive income |  |  | (1) | (1) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses included in earnings | 0 | (1) | (2) | (7) |
| Losses on extinguishment of debt | (12) | (15) | (46) | (53) |
| Net gains on sales of investments and other assets | 16 | 1 | 22 | 12 |
| Net gains on derivative and other transactions | 3 | 4 | 15 | 11 |
| Other noninterest income | 9 | 10 | 38 | 39 |
| Total noninterest income | \$204 | \$180 | \$489 | \$444 |

The increase in noninterest income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was primarily due to increases in net gains on sales of investments and
other assets of $\$ 15$ million and mineral income of $\$ 7$ million offset, in part, by a decrease in loanrelated fee income of $\$ 3$ million.

The increase in noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was primarily due to increases in mineral income of $\$ 19$ million and net gains on sales of investments and other assets of \$10 million offset, in part, by a decrease in loan-related fee income of $\$ 6$ million. Also contributing to the increase in noninterest income for the nine-month period were decreases in losses on extinguishment of debt of $\$ 7$ million and net other-than-temporary impairment losses on investments of $\$ 5$ million.

## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 21$ million or $3.6 \%$ to $\$ 598$ million and $\$ 46$ million or $2.6 \%$ to $\$ 1.795$ billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with the same periods of the prior year. Noninterest expense consisted of the following:

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
|  |  | (in m | ions) |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$397 | \$386 | \$1,189 | \$1,141 |
| Occupancy and equipment expense | 49 | 44 | 146 | 133 |
| Purchased services | 36 | 31 | 99 | 91 |
| Other operating expense | 133 | 125 | 391 | 372 |
| Total operating expense | 615 | 586 | 1,825 | 1,737 |
| Net (gains) losses on other property owned | (18) | (10) | (31) | 11 |
| Merger/restructuring expense | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$598 | \$577 | \$1,795 | \$1,749 |

The increase in noninterest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was due, in part, to increases in salaries and employee benefits of \$11 million and other operating expense of $\$ 8$ million offset, in part, by an $\$ 8$ million increase in net gains on other property owned.

The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was primarily due to increases in salaries and employee benefits of $\$ 48$ million and other operating expense of $\$ 19$ million offset, in part, by a $\$ 31$ million net gain on other property owned, as compared with a $\$ 11$ million net loss on other property owned for the prior year. The increase in salaries for both the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 was a result of annual merit increases and higher staffing levels at certain System institutions offset, in part, by a decrease in pension expense due to the increase in the discount rate used to
calculate the net periodic benefit cost. Operating expense statistics are as follows:

| For the Nine <br> Months Ended <br> September 30, |
| :---: |
| $2014 \quad 2013$ |
| (\$ in millions) |

Excess of net interest income over operating expense \$3,231 \$3,244
Operating expense as a percentage of net interest income and noninterest income .................. $32.9 \% 32.0 \%$

Annualized operating expense as a percentage of average earning assets ................................. . . 0.95\% 0.97\%

## Provision for Income Taxes

Provisions for income taxes were $\$ 44$ million and $\$ 174$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with $\$ 51$ million and $\$ 168$ million for the same periods of the prior year. The effective tax rate was $4.6 \%$ for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013.

## Risk Management

## Overview

The System is in the business of making agricultural and other loans that require us to take certain risks in exchange for compensation for the risks undertaken. Management of risks inherent in our business is essential for our current and long-term financial performance. Each System institution's goal is to mitigate risk, where appropriate, and to properly and effectively identify, measure, price, monitor and report risks in our business activities.

The major types of risk for which we have exposure are structural risk, credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, reputational risk and political risk.

## Structural Risk Management

Structural risk results from the fact that the System is comprised of Banks and Associations that are cooperatively owned, directly or indirectly, by their borrowers. While System institutions are financially and operationally interdependent, they are not commonly owned. Each System institution is responsible for its own risk management and there are no formal processes or procedures in place to mandate Systemwide risk mitigation actions, including, but not limited to, reducing concentration, interest rate and counterparty credit risk across the System. This structure at times requires action by consensus or contractual agreement. Further, there is structural risk in that only the Banks are jointly and severally liable for the payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. Although capital at the Association level reduces a Bank's credit exposure with respect to its wholesale loans to its affiliated Associations, this capital may not be available to support the payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. (See Notes 8 and 14 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for additional information.)

In order to monitor and mitigate the joint and several liability risk, we utilize two integrated intraSystem financial performance agreements - the Amended and Restated Contractual Interbank Performance Agreement, or CIPA, and the Second Amended and Restated Market Access Agreement, or MAA. Under provisions of the CIPA, a score (CIPA score) is calculated quarterly to measure the financial
condition and performance of each District (a Bank and its affiliated Associations) using various ratios that take into account the District's and Bank's capital, asset quality, earnings, interest-rate risk and liquidity. The CIPA score is then compared against the agreed-upon standard of financial condition and performance that each District must achieve and maintain. The measurement standard established under the CIPA is intended to provide an early-warning mechanism to assist in monitoring the financial condition of each District. The performance standard under the CIPA is based on the average CIPA score over a four-quarter period.

The MAA is designed to provide for the timely identification and resolution of individual Bank financial issues and establishes performance criteria and procedures for the Banks that provide operational oversight and control over a Bank's access to System funding. The performance criteria set forth in the MAA are as follows:

- the defined CIPA scores,
- the net collateral ratio of a Bank, and
- the permanent capital ratio of a Bank.

The Bank net collateral ratio is net collateral (primarily loans and investments) divided by total liabilities less subordinated debt, subject to certain limits. The Bank permanent capital ratio is primarily the Bank's common stock, preferred stock and subordinated debt, subject to certain limits, and surplus divided by risk-adjusted assets.

If a Bank fails to meet the above performance criteria, it will be placed into one of three categories. Each category gives the other System Banks and the Funding Corporation (collectively, MAA Committee) progressively more control over a Bank that has declining financial performance under the MAA performance criteria. A "Category I" Bank is subject to additional monitoring and reporting requirements; a "Category II" Bank's ability to participate in issuances of Systemwide Debt Securities may, subject to the discretion of the MAA Committee, be limited to refinancing maturing debt obligations; and a "Category III" Bank may, subject to the discretion of the MAA Committee, not be permitted to participate in issuances of Systemwide Debt Securities. Decisions by the MAA Committee to permit, limit or prohibit a "Category II" or "Category III" Bank to
participate in the issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities are subject to oversight and override by the Farm Credit Administration. No economic penalties are associated with being in "Category I." A Bank exits these categories by returning to compliance with the agreed-upon performance criteria.

The criteria for the net collateral ratio and the permanent capital ratio are:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { Collateral } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Permanent Capital Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category I | <104\%* | <8.0\% |
| Category II | <103\% | <7.0\% |
| Category III | <102\% | <5.0\% |

* As set forth in the MAA, a Bank may be subject to a higher net collateral ratio set by the Farm Credit Administration.

Periodically, the CIPA model and the MAA performance criteria are reviewed to take into consideration current performance standards in the financial services industry. A review was conducted during the first half of 2014 and no adjustments to the CIPA model or the MAA criteria were warranted.

During the first nine months of 2014, all Banks met the agreed-upon standard of financial condition and performance required by the CIPA and none of the Banks was placed in any of the three categories designated for Banks failing to meet MAA's specified financial criteria. (See Note 14 for each Bank's net collateral and permanent capital ratios.) For additional information regarding the CIPA or the MAA, see pages 22, 23, 47 and 48 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement.

## Credit Risk Management

Credit risk arises from the potential inability of an obligor to meet its payment obligation and exists in our outstanding loans, letters of credit, unfunded loan commitments, investment portfolio and derivative counterparty credit exposures. (See pages 27 and 28 for a discussion regarding derivative counterparty exposures.)

System institutions manage credit risk associated with their retail lending activities through an analysis of the credit risk profile of an individual borrower. Each Bank and Association has its own set of underwriting standards and lending policies, approved by its board of directors, that provides
direction to its loan officers. Underwriting standards include, among other things, an evaluation of:

- character - borrower integrity and credit history,
- capacity - repayment capacity of the borrower based on cash flows from operations or other sources of income,
- collateral - protects the lender in the event of default and represents a potential secondary source of loan repayment,
- capital - ability of the operation to survive unanticipated risks, and
- conditions - intended use of the loan funds.

The retail credit risk management process begins with an analysis of the borrower's credit history, repayment capacity, financial position, and collateral. Repayment capacity focuses on the borrower's ability to repay the loan based on cash flows from operations or other sources of income, including off-farm income.

Although System institutions monitor credit risk individually, the System has established a quarterly process to report System large loan exposures (outstanding loan amounts plus any unfunded loan commitments). A System risk management committee reviews and monitors large loan exposures to existing individual customers that may reach $\$ 1.0$ billion. Since it is possible that one or more System institutions may simultaneously make credit available to a customer that may, in the aggregate, exceed \$1.0 billion, the process provides for quarterly data to be compiled on existing large loan exposures with notice provided to the Banks and Associations of the largest loan exposures, including all loan exposures to a borrower greater than $75 \%$ of the $\$ 1.0$ billion level or $\$ 750$ million. While this process captures information regarding large loan exposures, any decision to reduce these exposures resides with the individual System institutions. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, no exposures exceeded $\$ 1.0$ billion. However, five exposures at September 30, 2014 and three exposures at December 31, 2013 exceeded $\$ 750$ million.

For a detailed discussion of our credit risk management practices, see pages 48,49 and 50 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement.

## Loan Portfolio

The System's loan portfolio consists only of retail loans. Bank loans to affiliated Associations have been eliminated in the condensed combined financial statements. Loans outstanding consisted of the following:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in m | ions) |
| Real estate mortgage loans | \$ 96,658 | \$ 94,194 |
| Production and intermediate-term loans | 45,610 | 45,412 |
| Agribusiness: |  |  |
| Loans to cooperatives | 12,971 | 11,560 |
| Processing and marketing loans | 13,750 | 12,729 |
| Farm-related business loans | 3,390 | 2,953 |
| Energy and water/waste water loans | 16,066 | 15,473 |
| Rural residential real estate loans | 6,745 | 6,557 |
| Agricultural export finance | 4,497 | 4,588 |
| Communication loans | 4,727 | 4,142 |
| Lease receivables | 2,796 | 2,706 |
| Loans to other financing institutions | 841 | 746 |
| Total loans | \$208,051 | \$201,060 |

Loan volume increased $\$ 6.991$ billion or $3.5 \%$ to $\$ 208.051$ billion at September 30, 2014, as compared with $\$ 201.060$ billion at December 31, 2013, primarily as a result of an increase in real estate mortgage loans and agribusiness loans.

Real estate mortgage loans increased \$2.464 billion or $2.6 \%$ to $\$ 96.658$ billion at September 30, 2014, as compared with December 31, 2013, primarily due to continued demand for cropland.

Loans to cooperatives increased $\$ 1.411$ billion or $12.2 \%$, as compared with December 31, 2013, primarily as a result of increased lending to food and agribusiness companies.

Processing and marketing loans increased $\$ 1.021$ billion or $8.0 \%$, as compared with December 31, 2013, primarily from increased demand for new loans and to advances on existing loans within certain industries.

At September 30, 2014, agricultural export finance loans continued to reflect a concentration in federal government-sponsored trade financing programs. Overall, $44 \%$ and $57 \%$ of the agricultural export finance loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were guaranteed through the USDA's Commodity Credit Corporation.

Additionally, we have reduced, to some extent, the credit risk of certain real estate mortgage loans by entering into agreements that provide long-term standby commitments to purchase System loans and other credit guarantees. The amount of loans under credit guarantees was $\$ 4.511$ billion at September 30, 2014 and $\$ 4.750$ billion at December 31, 2013, of which $\$ 2.087$ billion and $\$ 2.108$ billion was provided by Farmer Mac at September 30, 2014 and at December 31, 2013. For additional information on Farmer Mac, see page 12 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement.

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30, \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in mi | ons) |
| Nonaccrual loans: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ 763 | \$ 930 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 446 | 538 |
| Agribusiness | 52 | 77 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 18 | 26 |
| Rural residential real estate | 56 | 65 |
| Communication | 75 | 94 |
| Lease receivables | 7 | 6 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 1,417 | 1,736 |
| Accruing restructured loans: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 193 | 176 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 105 | 95 |
| Agribusiness | 4 | 8 |
| Energy and water/waste water |  | 3 |
| Rural residential real estate | 5 | 4 |
| Total accruing restructured loans | 307 | 286 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 15 | 9 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 12 | 6 |
| Agribusiness |  | 1 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 10 |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 3 | 2 |
| Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due | 40 | 18 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 1,764 | 2,040 |
| Other property owned | 139 | 198 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$1,903 | \$2,238 |
|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September 30, }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans | 0.68\% | 0.86\% |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned | 0.91 | 1.11 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of capital | 4.15 | 5.25 |

The following table presents the nonaccrual loan activity:

|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (in millions) |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,736 | \$2,300 |
| Additions: |  |  |
| Gross amounts transferred into nonaccrual | 409(a) | ) 760 |
| Recoveries | 34 | 48 |
| Advances | 203 | 246 |
| Reductions: |  |  |
| Charge-offs | (52) | (149) |
| Transfers to other property owned | (60) | (121) |
| Returned to accrual status | (194) | (163) |
| Repayments | (655) | (971) |
| Other, net | (4) | (22) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,417 | \$1,928 |

(a) Includes a $\$ 49$ million transfer made by one Association during the third quarter of 2014 . See Note 1 for additional information.

Nonaccrual loans decreased $\$ 319$ million or $18.4 \%$, as compared with December 31, 2013, primarily due to loan repayments in excess of loans transferred into nonaccrual status. Nonaccrual loans that were current as to principal and interest were $62.0 \%$ of total nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2014, as compared with $58.5 \%$ at December 31, 2013. Accruing loans 90 days or more past due increased $\$ 22$ million to $\$ 40$ million at September 30, 2014. These past due loans are considered well secured and in the process of collection.

Other property owned, which is held for sale and consists of real and personal property acquired through collection actions, decreased $\$ 59$ million to $\$ 139$ million at September 30, 2014 from $\$ 198$ million at December 31, 2013 primarily due to sales in excess of loans transferred into other property owned.

The following table presents other property owned activity:

|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (in millions) |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 198 | \$ 324 |
| Additions: |  |  |
| Gross amounts transferred into other property owned (fair value) | 67 | 126 |
| Reductions: |  |  |
| Other property owned disposed of through cash sales | (110) | (138) |
| Other property owned disposed of through financed sales | (21) | (32) |
| Other property owned written up (down) | 5 | (21) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 139 | \$259 |

Certain credit quality indicators improved during the first nine months of 2014. Loan delinquencies (accruing loans 30 days or more past due) as a percentage of accruing loans remained at a low level of $0.22 \%$ at September 30, 2014, as compared with $0.25 \%$ at September 30, 2013. Loans classified under the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System as a percentage of total loans and accrued interest receivable was as follows:

|  | September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acceptable . . . . . . . . . | $96.3 \%$ |  | $95.8 \%$ |
| Other assets especially |  |  | 1.9 |
| mentioned . . . . . . . | 1.8 |  | 1.9 |
| Substandard/doubtful . | $\underline{1.9}$ |  | $\underline{2.3}$ |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\underline{\underline{100.0 \%}}$ | $\underline{\underline{100.0} \%}$ |  |

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 1.184$ billion at September 30, 2014 and $\$ 1.238$ billion at December 31, 2013. Net loan charge-offs of $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 17$ million were recorded during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014 , as compared with net loan charge-offs of $\$ 38$ million and $\$ 99$ million for the same periods of the prior year. Although aggregated in the System's condensed combined financial statements, the allowance for loan losses of each System entity is particular to that
institution and is not available to absorb losses realized by other System entities. Managements' evaluations consider factors that include loan loss experience, portfolio quality, collateral value, loan portfolio composition, current production conditions and economic conditions.

Even though certain System borrowers have been faced with challenges due to the volatility in commodity prices, input costs and the continued slow recovery of the general U.S. economy, their financial positions remained generally strong given the past decade of extremely favorable U.S. farm economic conditions. In this regard, nonaccrual loans current as to principal and interest were $62.0 \%$ of total nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2014. Further, System underwriting standards require strong collateral support for loans. By regulation, all non-guaranteed real estate mortgage loans must have a loan-to-value ratio (LTV) of $85 \%$ or less at origination. Most of the System's real estate mortgage loans had a LTV ratio lower than the statutory maximum of $85 \%$. These factors help to mitigate the System's exposure to loan losses. At September 30, 2014, $\$ 496$ million of the System's $\$ 1.764$ billion of nonperforming loans had specific reserves (representing probable losses) of $\$ 186$ million. The remaining $\$ 1.268$ billion of nonperforming loans were evaluated and determined not to need a specific reserve.

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses:

|  | For the Three <br> Months Ended <br> September 30, |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,183 | \$1,323 | \$1,238 | \$1,343 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | (7) | (7) | (25) | (40) |
| Production and intermediate-term | (4) | (34) | (19) | (64) |
| Agribusiness |  | (9) | (1) | (37) |
| Energy and water/waste water |  |  | (1) | (1) |
| Rural residential real estate | (2) | (2) | (4) | (7) |
| Communication |  |  | (2) |  |
| Lease receivables |  |  | (1) |  |
| Total charge-offs | (13) | (52) | (53) | (149) |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 6 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 6 | 6 | 17 | 17 |
| Agribusiness |  |  | 5 | 13 |
| Rural residential real estate |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Agricultural export finance |  |  |  | 1 |
| Communication |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Lease receivables |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total recoveries | 12 | 14 | 36 | 50 |
| Net charge-offs | (1) | (38) | (17) | (99) |
| Provision for loan losses (loan loss reversal) | 42 | (32) | 7 | 9 |
| Adjustment due to Association mergers* |  |  | (8) |  |
| Reclassification to/from reserve for unfunded commitments** | (40) | (16) | (36) | (16) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,184 | \$1,237 | \$1,184 | \$1,237 |
| Annualized ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average loans outstanding during the period . . . . . . . . | 0.00\% | 0.08\% | 0.01\% | 0.07\% |

[^0]Allowance for loan losses by loan type is as follows:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  | December 31, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ 288 | 24.3\% | \$ 310 | 25.0\% |
| Production and intermediate-term | 392 | 33.1 | 375 | 30.3 |
| Agribusiness | 266 | 22.4 | 292 | 23.6 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 112 | 9.5 | 122 | 9.9 |
| Rural residential real estate | 26 | 2.2 | 22 | 1.8 |
| Agricultural export finance | 8 | 0.7 | 8 | 0.6 |
| Communication | 50 | 4.2 | 71 | 5.7 |
| Lease receivables | 41 | 3.5 | 37 | 3.0 |
| Loans to other financing institutions | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Total | \$1,184 | 100.0\% | \$1,238 | 100.0\% |

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans outstanding and as a percentage of certain other credit quality indicators is shown below:

|  | September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ as a percentage of: |  |  |  |
| Total loans . . . . . . . . . | $0.57 \%$ |  | $0.62 \%$ |
| Nonperforming loans . . . | 67.1 |  | 60.7 |
| Nonaccrual loans . . . . . | 83.6 |  | 71.3 |

## Interest Rate Risk Management

Interest rate risk is the risk of loss of future earnings or long-term market value of equity that may result from changes in interest rates. This risk can produce variability in System earnings (net interest spread achieved and net interest income earned) and, ultimately, the long-term capital position of the System. The System actively manages the following risks:

- Yield curve risk - results from changes in the level, shape, and implied volatility of the yield curve. Changes in the yield curve often arise due to the market's expectation of future interest rates at different points along the yield curve.
- Repricing risk - results from the timing differences (mismatches) between financial assets and related funding that limit the ability to alter or adjust the rates earned on assets or paid on liabilities in response to changes in market interest rates.
- Option risk - results from "embedded options" that are present in many financial instruments, including the right to prepay loans before the contractual maturity date. Lending practices or loan features that provide the borrower with flexibility frequently introduce a risk exposure for the lender. For example, a fixed-rate loan product may provide a potential borrower with a rate guarantee, an option to lock-in the loan rate for a period of time prior to closing, which protects the borrower from an increase in interest rates between the time loan terms are negotiated and the loan closes. If interest rates increase while the rate guarantee is in effect and if we do not take measures to hedge the rate guarantee, we might realize a lower spread than expected when the loan is funded.

After the loan settles, the borrower may also have the option to repay the loan's principal ahead of schedule. If interest rates have fallen, System institutions may be forced to reinvest principal returned from higher rate loans at a lower rate, which may reduce the interest rate spread unless the underlying debt can be similarly refinanced.

Interest rate caps are another form of embedded options that may be present in certain investments and floating and adjustable rate loans. Interest rate caps typically prevent the investment or loan rate from increasing above a defined limit. In a rising interest rate environment, the spread may be reduced if caps limit upward adjustments to floating investment or loan rates while debt costs continue to increase.

- Basis risk - results from unexpected changes in the relationships among interest rates and interest rate indexes. Basis risk can produce volatility in the spread earned on a loan or an investment relative to its cost of funds. This risk arises when the floating-rate index tied to a loan or investment differs from the index on the Systemwide Debt Security issued to fund the loan or investment.

The goal of the Banks in managing interest rate risk is to maintain long-term value of equity and stable earnings over both the short- and long-term time horizons. In most cases, the wholesale funding provided by a Bank to an Association matches the terms and embedded options of the Association's retail loans. This funding approach shifts the majority of the interest rate risk connected with retail loans from the Association to its funding Bank. The Banks are responsible for developing asset/liability management policies and strategies to manage interest rate risk and for monitoring this risk on a regular basis. These policies include guidelines for measuring and evaluating exposures to interest rate risk. In addition, the policies establish limits for interest rate risk and define the role of the board of directors in delegating day-to-day responsibility for interest rate risk management to Bank management. That authority is delegated to an asset/liability management committee, made up of senior Bank managers. The policies define the composition of the committee and its responsibilities. Interest rate risk management is also subject to certain intra-System agreements, including
the Amended and Restated Contractual Interbank Performance Agreement and the Second Amended and Restated Market Access Agreement, and regulatory oversight by the Farm Credit Administration.

One of the primary benefits of our status as a government-sponsored enterprise debt issuer is, through the Funding Corporation and its selling group, the System has daily access to the debt markets and a great deal of flexibility in structuring the maturity and types of debt securities we issue. The ability to quickly access the debt markets helps us minimize the risk that interest rates might change between the time a loan commitment is made and the time it is funded.

Flexibility in structuring debt enables us to issue Systemwide Debt Securities that offset most of the primary interest rate risk exposures embedded in our loans. For example, by issuing LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate Systemwide Debt Securities we are able to minimize the basis risk exposure presented by our LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate loans. As we discussed above, some of our fixed-rate loans may provide borrowers with the option to prepay their loans. In most interest rate environments we are able to significantly offset the risk created by an embedded prepayment option by funding prepayable fixed-rate loans with callable debt. Callable debt provides us with the option to retire debt early in order to maintain a better match between the duration of our assets and our liabilities.

Approximately 70\% our fixed-rate loans provide the borrowers with the option to prepay their loan at any time without fees, and the remainder of the System's fixed-rate loans contain provisions requiring prepayment fees to partially or fully compensate the

Banks for the cost of retiring the debt, some of which may be non-callable.

The Banks participate in the derivatives markets, which provide additional tools to manage interest rate risk. Our use of derivatives is detailed later in this section.

## Interest Rate Risk Measurements

The Banks measure interest rate risk using interest rate gap analysis, net interest income sensitivity analysis, market value of equity sensitivity analysis and duration gap analysis. These measures are calculated on a monthly basis and the assumptions used in these analyses are monitored routinely and adjusted as necessary.

## Interest Rate Risk Management Results

## Interest Rate Gap Analysis

The interest rate gap analysis presents a comparison of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities in defined time segments as of September 30, 2014. The interest rate gap analysis is a static indicator, which does not reflect the dynamics of balance sheet, rate and spread changes, and may not necessarily indicate the sensitivity of net interest income in a changing rate environment. Within the gap analysis, gaps are also created when an institution uses its capital to fund assets. Capital reduces the amount of debt that otherwise would be required to fund a certain level of assets. The quantity of assets will exceed the quantity of interest-bearing liabilities in any repricing interval where capital is assumed to provide part of the funding. The gap table below includes anticipated cash flows on assets and liabilities given the current level of interest rates.


Consistent with the positive gap between the System's earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities as reflected in the table above, the System's interest rate sensitivity position at September 30, 2014 for the six-month repricing interval may generally be characterized as "asset sensitive," i.e., interest rates earned on interest-earning assets may change or be changed more quickly than interest rates on interest-bearing liabilities used to fund these assets.

Typically, the net interest margin of an institution that is "asset sensitive" will be unfavorably impacted in a declining interest-rate environment or an environment characterized by relatively stable interest rates and a steep yield curve, and favorably impacted in a rising interest-rate environment. The System's capital is invested in loans and investment securities that reprice to lower yields when interest rates are falling and to higher yields when interest rates increase. However, the net inter-
est spread, a component of net interest margin, may react in a different manner due to competitive conditions at the time of repricing. Further, a significant portion of the System's floating-rate loans are management administered-rate loans that, unlike indexed loans, require definitive action at the discretion of the lending Bank or Association to change the interest rates charged and may reflect managements' assessments of whether rate changes are warranted or feasible in view of competitive market conditions. The actual interest rates charged on the administered-rate loans may not mirror the movement of some market interest rates, thereby moderating the overall net interest income impact of market fluctuations.

Additionally, the Banks issue callable debt to accelerate the repricing of debt in a declining interest rate environment and thereby moderate the impact of falling interest rates on net interest income of institutions in an asset-sensitive position. During the first nine months of 2014 , $\$ 14.6$ billion of debt was
called and at September 30, 2014, $\$ 54.5$ billion of callable debt obligations were outstanding. The System's cumulative gap position in the 0-6 months repricing interval decreased from $4.69 \%$ at December 31, 2013 to 4.11\% at September 30, 2014.

## Sensitivity Analysis

Each Bank conducts simulations of net interest income and market value of equity. The market value of equity sensitivity analysis incorporates the effects of leverage. The two primary scenarios used for the analysis reflect the impact of interest rate shocks upward and downward (i.e., immediate, parallel changes upward and downward in the yield curve) on projected net interest income and on market value of equity. The Banks also use other types of measures to model exposures to interest rate changes, such as rate ramps (gradual change in rates) and yield curve slope changes.

The upward and downward shocks are based on movements in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points, which are considered significant enough to capture the effects of embedded options and convexity within the assets and liabilities so that underlying risk may be revealed. However, in the current, relatively low interest rate environment, the downward shock is based on one-half of the three-month Treasury bill rate, which was 1 basis point at September 30, 2014 and 4 basis points at December 31, 2013. Under these simulations, the System's sensitivity to interest rate changes (sum of Districts' sensitivity analyses) was:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1 | +100 | +200 |
| Change in net interest income | -0.03\% | 2.21\% | 3.25\% |
| Change in market value of equity | 0.03\% | -3.11\% | -6.39\% |


|  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -4 | +100 | +200 |
| Change in net interest income | -0.11\% | 2.34\% | 3.78\% |
| Change in market value of equity | 0.09\% | -2.51\% | -5.29\% |

Each Bank measures District interest rate sensitivity under these simulations in accordance with its asset/liability management policies. District measurements are presented in the Supplemental Financial Information on page F-59.

In addition to the interest rate scenarios required for reporting and regulatory purposes, the Banks also periodically perform additional scenario analyses to study the effects of changes in critical modeling
assumptions - for example, the impact of increased/ decreased prepayments, changes in the relationship of the System's funding cost to other benchmark interest rates, additional non-parallel shifts in the yield curve, and changes in market volatility. (For a more detailed discussion of sensitivity analysis and prepayment modeling assumptions, see pages 65 and 66 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement.)

## Duration Gap Analysis

Another risk measurement is duration, which we calculate using a simulation model. Duration is the weighted average maturity (typically measured in months or years) of an instrument's cash flows, weighted by the present value of those cash flows. As such, duration provides an estimate of an instrument's sensitivity to small changes in market interest rates. The duration gap is the difference between the estimated durations of assets and liabilities. All else being equal, an institution with a small duration gap has less exposure to interest rate risk than an institution with a large duration gap.

The System's aggregate duration gap (the sum of the Banks' duration gaps) was a positive 3.2 months at September 30, 2014 and a positive 1.6 months at December 31, 2013. Generally, a duration gap within the range of a positive three months to a negative three months indicates a small exposure to changes in interest rates. The Banks' duration gap extended in 2014 due to specific balance sheet management strategies in the current interest rate environment. This extension was expected and is not indicative of significant exposure to changes in interest rates as illustrated in the sensitivity analysis section above.

Duration gap provides a relatively concise and simple measure of the interest rate risk inherent in the balance sheet, but it is not directly linked to expected future earnings performance. An institution's overall exposure to interest rate risk is a function not only of the duration gap, but also of the financial leverage inherent in the institution's capital structure. For the same duration gap, an institution with more equity or capital will have a lower overall percentage exposure to interest rate risk, stated in terms of the percentage change in the market value of equity, than one with less capital and more leverage.

There are some limitations to duration analysis as balance sheets are dynamic. Durations change over time and as the composition of a portfolio changes.

## Derivative Products

Derivative products are a part of our interest rate risk management activities and supplement our issuance of debt securities in the capital markets. We use derivative financial instruments as hedges against interest rate and liquidity risks and to lower the overall cost of funds. We do not hold or enter into derivative transactions for trading purposes. Our abil-
ity to modify the debt securities by using derivative instruments provides us with greater flexibility to manage our interest rate risk.

The primary types of derivative products used and hedging strategies employed are summarized in the following table. For additional information, see Note 11 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements.

| Derivative Products/Hedged Item | Purpose of the Hedge Transaction | Strategic Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Receive-fixed, pay-floating interest } \\ \text { rate swap hedging callable or non- } \\ \text { callable fixed rate debt }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { To protect against the decline in inter- } \\ \text { est rates on floating-rate assets by } \\ \text { exchanging the debt's fixed-rate } \\ \text { payment for a floating-rate payment } \\ \text { that better reflects the timing of inter- } \\ \text { est reset on the assets. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { A common use is to create a sub- } \\ \text { stitute for conventional floating-rate } \\ \text { funding. The fixed-rate received on } \\ \text { the swap largely offsets the fixed- } \\ \text { rate paid on the associated debt leav- } \\ \text { ing a net floating payment. The strat- } \\ \text { egy frequently provides cost savings } \\ \text { or promotes liquidity by permitting }\end{array}$ |
| access to longer maturity floating-rate |  |  |
| funding than the outright issuance of |  |  |
| floating rate debt. |  |  |$]$

The aggregate notional amount of the System's derivative products, most of which consisted of interest rate swaps, decreased $\$ 2.525$ billion to $\$ 27.222$ billion at September 30, 2014, as compared with $\$ 29.747$ billion at December 31, 2013. The decrease was largely due to a lower level of liquidity management derivatives, as a portion of our liquidity objectives were met through the increased issuance of floating-rate term debt instead of derivatives that convert fixed-rate term debt to floating-rate debt. The aggregate notional amount of these instruments, which is not included in the Condensed Combined Statement of Condition, is indicative of the System's activities in derivative financial instruments, but is not an indicator of the level of credit risk associated with these instruments. The exposure to credit risk is a small fraction of the aggregate notional. The majority of the swaps used by the Banks were receive-fixed swaps, which are used to improve liquidity or lower their cost of debt by issuing fixed-rate debt and swapping the debt to floating to create synthetic floating-rate debt.

By using derivative instruments, we are exposed to counterparty credit risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract, the Bank's credit risk (exposure) will equal the fair value gain in a derivative. When the fair value of a derivative is positive, the counterparty would owe the Bank on early termination of the derivative, thus creating a credit risk for the Bank. When the fair value of the derivative is negative, the Bank would owe the counterparty on early termination of the derivative, and, therefore, assumes no credit risk.

To minimize the risk of credit losses from derivatives, the Banks typically enter into master agreements that govern all derivative transactions with a counterparty, which include bilateral collateral agreements with counterparties requiring the posting of collateral in the event certain dollar thresholds of exposure of one party to the other one are reached. These thresholds may vary for certain Banks depending on the terms of these bilateral collateral agreements, which consider a counterparty's credit worthiness. Certain of the Banks' non-cleared derivatives may become subject to initial and variation margin requirements imposed pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act). On September 3, 2014, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System jointly issued, with the Farm Credit Administration and certain other federal banking regulators
(collectively referred to as the "Prudential Regulators") re-proposed rules that would require swap dealers, security-based swap dealers, major swap participants, and major security-based swap participants (collectively, "swap entities") to collect margin from, and post margin to, their non-cleared swap and non-cleared security-based swap counterparties. Under the re-proposed rules, the Banks would be considered "financial end-users" that, when facing swap entities, are required to post and collect variation margin in cash, with zero thresholds. This variation margin requirement would become effective on December 1, 2015. In addition, depending on a Bank's derivatives exposure as determined under the re-proposed rules, a Bank could be required to post initial margin to, and collect initial margin from, swap entities. For entities that have less than a $\$ 1$ trillion notional amount of non-cleared derivatives, the initial margin requirement would not come into effect until December 1, 2019. [The current notional amount of each Bank's non-cleared swap transactions is, and is expected to remain, considerably below $\$ 1$ trillion.] The U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) re-proposed substantially similar rules on September 17, 2014 that may also affect certain of the Banks' non-cleared derivatives transactions with swap entities subject to direct regulation by the CFTC. In addition, the aforementioned master agreements contain netting provisions. Each Bank's netting agreement allows it to use the net value of its affected transactions with the same counterparty in the event of a default by the counterparty or early termination of the agreement. Derivatives are reflected on a gross basis in Notes 11 and 12 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements.

In addition to entering into over-the-counter (OTC) derivative transactions directly with a counterparty as described above, the Banks may also clear such transactions through a futures commission merchant ( FCM ) with a clearinghouse or a central counterparty (CCP). When the swap is cleared by the two parties, the single bilateral swap is divided into two separate swaps with the CCP becoming the counterparty to both of the initial parties to the swap. CCPs have several layers of protection against default including margin, member capital contributions, and FCM guarantees of their customers' transactions with the CCP. FCMs also pre-qualify the counterparties to all swaps that are sent to the CCP from a credit perspective, setting limits for each counterparty and collecting initial and variation
margin daily from each counterparty for changes in the value of cleared derivatives. The margin collected from both parties to the swap protects against credit risk in the event a counterparty defaults. The initial and variation margin requirements are set by and held for the benefit of the CCP. Additional initial margin may be required and held by the FCM, due to its guarantees of its customers' trades with the CCP.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the centralized clearing of certain OTC swaps by swap dealers and major swap participants, as well as certain other market participants, including financial institutions. Currently, instrument types that must be cleared will primarily be interest rate swaps and credit default swaps. Many end users of swaps, including certain banks, credit unions and System institutions with less
than $\$ 10$ billion in assets, qualify for an exemption from clearing if the swap is used to hedge commercial risk. The CFTC has also established a clearing exemption for certain swaps entered into by cooperatives. All System institutions qualify for this "Cooperative Exemption," and therefore will be able to elect the clearing exemption for any swap that meets the criteria stipulated in the exemption. This exemption does not cover all swaps that are executed by System institutions, and is generally limited to transactions entered into in connection with loans to members. (For a more detailed discussion, see "Financial Regulatory Reform" on page 86 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement.) At September 30, 2014, the notional amount of cleared derivatives was $\$ 225$ million.

The exposure on derivatives by counterparty credit rating (Moody's) that would be owed to us due to a default or early termination by our counterparties at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Counterparties | Notional Principal | Credit Exposure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Collateral } \\ & \text { Held } \end{aligned}$ | Exposure, Net of Collateral | Number of Counterparties | Notional Principal | Credit <br> Exposure | Collateral Held | Exposure, Net of Collateral |
|  |  |  |  |  | (\$ in m | illions) |  |  |  |  |
| Bilateral derivatives: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aal | 1 | \$ 594 | \$ 13 | \$ 13 |  | 1 | \$ 495 | \$ 3 | \$ 2 | \$ 1 |
| Aa2 | 2 | 316 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 905 | 12 | 12 |  |
| Aa3 | 4 | 10,303 | 169 | 169 |  | 4 | 10,348 | 261 | 258 | 3 |
| A1 | 3 | 6,789 | 110 | 88 | \$22 | 2 | 7,224 | 200 | 158 | 42 |
| A2 | 4 | 3,017 | 68 | 66 | 2 | 6 | 5,913 | 114 | 100 | 14 |
| A3 | 1 | 662 |  |  |  | 1 | 40 |  |  |  |
| Baa1 | 1 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baa2 | 2 | 1,064 | 55 | 49 | 6 | 2 | 1,009 | 79 | 72 | 7 |
| Cleared |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| derivatives(1) | ) 1 | 225 |  |  |  | 1 | 225 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 19 | \$23,010 | \$417 | \$387 | \$30 | 19 | \$26,159 | \$672 | \$604 | \$68 |

(1) Represents derivative transactions cleared with central counterparties, which are not rated. Excluded from the table is initial margin posted by one Bank of $\$ 6$ million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 relating to cleared derivative transactions.

Note: The remaining notional amounts of derivative financial instruments of $\$ 4.212$ billion and $\$ 3.588$ billion at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were related to interest rate swaps that one Bank entered into with certain of its customers. The Bank substantially offsets the risk from these transactions by concurrently entering into offsetting derivative transactions with some of the counterparties.

At September 30, 2014, the Banks' counterparties posted $\$ 304$ million in cash and $\$ 83$ million in securities with us, as compared with $\$ 451$ million of cash and $\$ 153$ million in securities at December 31, 2013. At September 30, 2014, two Banks posted collateral totaling $\$ 23$ million with respect to its obligations under these agreements as compared with collateral of $\$ 10$ million posted by one Bank at December 31, 2013.

## Liquidity Risk Management

## General

Liquidity risk management is necessary to ensure our ability to meet our financial obligations. These obligations include the repayment of Systemwide Debt Securities as they mature, the ability to fund new and existing loan and other funding commitments, and the ability to fund operations in a cost-effective manner. A primary objective of liquidity risk management is to plan for unanticipated
changes in the capital markets. The Banks have established a Contingency Funding Program to provide for contingency financing mechanisms and procedures to address potential disruptions in the System's communications, operations and payments systems, as well as the ability to cover events that threaten continuous market access by the Banks or the Funding Corporation's normal operations. Under this Program, the Funding Corporation has the authority to finance all Systemwide Debt Securities through the issuance of Systemwide discount notes either directly to institutional investors or through the selling group. The Funding Corporation, on behalf of the Banks, may also incur other obligations, such as Federal funds purchased, that would be the joint and several obligations of the Banks and would be insured by the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation to the extent funds are available in the Insurance Fund.

The System does not have a guaranteed line of credit from the U.S. Treasury or the Federal Reserve. However, the Insurance Corporation has an agreement with the Federal Financing Bank, a federal instrumentality subject to the supervision and direction of the U.S. Treasury, pursuant to which the Federal Financing Bank would advance funds to the Insurance Corporation. Under its existing statutory authority, the Insurance Corporation may use these funds to provide assistance to the System Banks in exigent market circumstances which threaten the Banks' ability to pay maturing debt obligations. The agreement provides for advances of up to $\$ 10$ billion and terminates on September 30, 2015, unless otherwise renewed. The decision whether to seek funds from the Federal Financing Bank is in the discretion of the Insurance Corporation, and each funding obligation of the Federal Financing Bank is subject to various terms and conditions and, as a result, there can be no assurance that funding would be available if needed by the System.

## Funding Sources

Our primary source of liquidity is the ability to issue Systemwide Debt Securities, which are the
general unsecured joint and several obligations of the Banks. We continually raise funds to support our mission to provide credit and related services to the agricultural and rural sectors, repay maturing Systemwide Debt Securities, and meet other obligations. As a government-sponsored enterprise, we have access to the global capital markets. This access has provided us with a dependable source of competitively priced debt that is critical to support our mission of providing funding to the agricultural and rural sectors through all economic cycles. The U.S. government does not guarantee, directly or indirectly, the payment of principal or interest on any Systemwide Debt Securities issued by the Banks.

## Investments

As more fully described on page 71 in the 2013 Annual Information Statement, a Bank is authorized to hold Federal funds and available-for-sale investments in an amount not to exceed $35 \%$ of a Bank's average loans outstanding for the quarter. We utilize investments for the purposes of maintaining a diverse source of liquidity and managing short-term surplus funds and interest rate risk, and in so doing enhance profitability. Farm Credit Administration regulations also permit an Association to hold eligible investments for the purposes of managing short-term surplus funds and reducing interest rate risk with the approval of its affiliated Bank. At September 30, 2014, no Bank exceeded the $35 \%$ limit.

Farm Credit Administration regulations define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit, and percentage of investment portfolio limit for each investment type. At the time the investments are purchased, they must be highly rated by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization, such as Moody's Investors Service, Standard \& Poor's Ratings Services or Fitch Ratings, as more fully described on page 72 of the 2013 Annual Information Statement.

Eligible investments (carried at fair value) based on credit ratings issued by Moody's Investors Service, Standard \& Poor's Ratings Services, or Fitch Ratings were as follows:

| $\underline{\text { September 30, } 2014}$ | Eligible Investments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AAA/Aaa | A1/P1/F1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Split } \\ \text { Rated(1) } \end{gathered}$ | A2/P2/F2 | Total |
|  |  |  | (in millions) |  |  |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements |  | \$ 371 | \$ 700 | \$375 | \$ 1,446 |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities |  | 3,299 | 2,414 |  | 5,713 |
| U.S. Treasury securities |  |  | 10,002 |  | 10,002 |
| U.S. agency securities |  |  | 5,354 |  | 5,354 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency collateralized |  |  | 20,345 |  | 20,345 |
| Agency whole-loan pass through |  |  | 3,341 |  | 3,341 |
| Non-agency | \$ 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Private label-FHA/VA |  |  | 110 |  | 110 |
| Asset-backed securities | 997 |  | 888 |  | 1,885 |
| Total | \$998 | \$3,670 | \$43,154 | \$375 | \$48,197 |
|  | Eligible Investments |  |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2013 | AAA/Aaa | A1/P1/F1 | $\underset{\text { Split }}{\text { Rated(1) }}$ | A2/P2/F2 | Total |
|  |  |  | (in millions) |  |  |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities |  |  | 8,127 |  | 8,127 |
| U.S. agency securities |  |  | 4,731 |  | 4,731 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency collateralized |  |  | 19,226 |  | 19,226 |
| Agency whole-loan pass through |  |  | 3,979 |  | 3,979 |
| Non-agency | \$ 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| Private label-FHA/VA |  |  | 126 |  | 126 |
| Asset-backed securities | 702 |  | 595 |  | 1,297 |
| Total | \$703 | \$3,529 | \$38,127 | \$400 | \$42,759 |

(1) Investment that received the highest credit rating from at least one rating organization.

As noted in the tables above, the split rating on investments in U.S. Treasury, U.S. agency and agency mortgage-backed securities is the result of the Standard \& Poor's Ratings Services downgrade of the U.S. government's long-term sovereign credit rating from AAA to AA+ in 2011. Both Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings maintain the triple-A ratings for U.S. government and agency securities.

If an investment no longer meets the eligibility criteria referred to above, the investment becomes
ineligible for regulatory liquidity calculation purposes. Under Farm Credit Administration regulations, if an investment is eligible when purchased but no longer satisfies the eligibility criteria referred to above, the Bank may continue to hold it subject to the following requirements:

- Bank must notify the Farm Credit Administration within 15 calendar days after such determination,
- Bank must not use the investment to satisfy its liquidity requirement,
- Bank must continue to include the investment in the investment portfolio limit calculation,
- Bank may continue to include the investment as collateral and net collateral at lower of cost or market, and
- Bank must develop a plan to reduce the risk posed by the investment.

The Farm Credit Administration has the authority to require a Bank to divest any investment at any time for failure to comply with its regulation. As of September 30, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration has not required disposition of any of these securities. Bank managements do not believe that events will occur that would require them to dispose of any of these securities.

The following tables set forth ineligible securities (carried at fair value) by credit rating, which represented $2.6 \%$ and $3.4 \%$ of Federal funds and available-for-sale investments at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

|  | Ineligible Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2014 | Number of Securities | AA/Aa | A/A | BBB/Baa | BB/Ba | B/B | CCC/Caa | CC/Ca | D/C | Total | Amortized Cost |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities | 126 | \$ 38 | \$36 | \$49 | \$11 | \$ 45 | \$151 | \$13 | \$102 | \$ 445 | \$ 424 |
| Private label-FHA/VA mortgage-backed securities | 26 | 200 |  |  | 61 | 321 | 25 |  | 59 | 666 | 691 |
| Asset-backed securities | 43 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 62 | 33 | 16 | 29 | 155 | 97 |
| Total | 195 | \$245 | \$41 | \$50 | \$74 | \$428 | \$209 | \$29 | \$190 | \$1,266 | \$1,212 |

Ineligible Investments

| December 31, 2013 | Number of Securities | AA/Aa | A/A | BBB/Baa | BB/Ba | B/B | CCC/Caa | CC/Ca | D/C | Total | Amortized Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities | 136 | \$ 55 | \$42 | \$52 | \$11 | \$ 51 | \$182 | \$18 | \$127 | \$ 538 | \$ 466 |
| Private label-FHA/VA mortgage-backed securities | 26 | 208 |  |  | 67 | 351 | 27 |  | 64 | 717 | 751 |
| Asset-backed securities | 56 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 73 | 87 | 23 | 23 | 228 | 189 |
| Total | 218 | \$271 | \$49 | \$54 | \$83 | \$475 | \$296 | \$41 | \$214 | \$1,483 | \$1,406 |

Note: Investments are classified based on the indicated rating as the highest rating from at least one rating organization.
The types of mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities that are included in the System's investment portfolio were:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Gains/(Losses) | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains/(Losses) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency collateralized | \$20,377 | \$20,345 | \$ (32) | \$19,298 | \$19,226 | \$(72) |
| Agency whole-loan pass through | 3,216 | 3,341 | 125 | 3,843 | 3,979 | 136 |
| Non-agency | 425 | 446 | 21 | 530 | 541 | 11 |
| Private label-FHA/VA | 801 | 776 | (25) | 878 | 843 | (35) |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | \$24,819 | \$24,908 | \$89 | \$24,549 | \$24,589 | \$ 40 |
| Asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity loans | \$ 110 | \$ 168 | \$ 58 | \$ 210 | \$ 249 | \$ 39 |
| Small business loans | 870 | 876 | 6 | 576 | 583 | 7 |
| Auto loans | 772 | 772 |  | 515 | 515 |  |
| Equipment loans | 164 | 164 |  | 170 | 170 |  |
| Credit card receivables | 54 | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| Student loans | 6 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Total asset-backed securities | \$ 1,976 | \$ 2,040 | \$ 64 | \$ 1,479 | \$ 1,525 | \$ 46 |

## Mission-Related and Other Investments

The Farm Credit Act states that the mission of the System is "to provide for an adequate and flexible flow of money into rural areas." Congress also recognized the "growing need for credit in rural areas" and declared that the System be designed to accomplish the objective of improving the income and well-being of America's farmers and ranchers. To further the System's mission to serve rural America, the System has initiated mission-related programs and other mission-related investments approved by the Farm Credit Administration. These investments are not included in the Banks' liquidity calculations and are not covered by the eligible investment limitation discussed above. However, limitations on mission-related investments are determined by the Farm Credit Administration.

Mortgage-backed securities issued by Farmer Mac are also considered other investments and are excluded from the eligible investment limitation and the Banks' liquidity calculations. These Farmer Mac securities are backed by loans originated by Associations and previously held by the Associations under Farmer Mac standby purchase commitments.

Mission-related and other investments outstanding that are classified as held-to-maturity (carried at amortized cost) are as follows:

|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September 30, }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in mi | lions) |
| Small Business |  |  |
| Administration securities and other government guaranteed | \$1,539 | \$1,743 |
| Rural home loan securities . . | 484 | 446 |
| Farmer Mac securities | 404 | 431 |
| Rural America bonds and Agricultural Rural |  |  |
| Community bonds | 193 | 190 |
| Other | 6 | 4 |
| Total | \$2,626 | \$2,814 |

Mission-related and other investments outstanding that are classified as available-for-sale (carried at fair value) are as follows:

|  | September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | December 31, <br> (in millions) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | (in |  |

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Investments
An investment is considered impaired if its fair value is less than its amortized cost. System institutions perform other-than-temporary impairment assessments on impaired securities based on evaluations of both current and future market and credit conditions. Each Bank or Association has its own model that includes relevant assumptions and inputs such as housing prices, unemployment, delinquencies and loss severity trends. Subsequent changes in market or credit conditions could change these evaluations. An impaired available-for-sale security in an unrealized loss position is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired if a Bank or Association (1) intends to sell the security, (2) is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (3) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis even if the entity does not intend to sell. If a Bank or Association intends to sell an impaired security or it is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, then the impairment is other-than-temporary and the difference between amortized cost and fair value of the impaired security should be recognized currently in earnings. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Banks and Associations did not intend to sell securities in unrealized loss positions and it is not more likely than not that they will be required to sell these securities.

A Bank or Association also assesses whether any credit losses exist. Any shortfall between the amortized cost basis of the security and the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security is referred to as a "credit loss." If the Bank or Association determines credit losses do exist, the impairment is other-than-temporary and should be separated into (1) the estimated amount relating to
credit loss, and (2) the amount relating to all other factors. Only the estimated credit loss amount is recognized currently in earnings, with the remainder recognized in other comprehensive income. The System recognized credit impairment losses of \$2 million and $\$ 7$ million in earnings for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013.

## Liquidity Standard

The Farm Credit Administration regulations on liquidity set forth requirements for the Banks to:

- improve their capacity to pay their obligations and fund their operations by maintaining adequate liquidity to withstand various market disruptions and adverse economic or financial conditions,
- strengthen liquidity management,
- enhance the liquidity of assets that they hold in their liquidity reserves,
- maintain a three-tiered liquidity reserve. The first tier of the liquidity reserve must consist of a sufficient amount of cash and cash-like instruments to cover each Bank's financial obligations for 15 days. The second and third tiers of the liquidity reserve must contain cash and highly liquid instruments that are sufficient to cover the Bank's obligations for the next 15 and subsequent 60 days, respectively,
- establish an incremental liquidity reserve, in addition to the three tiers set forth immediately above, comprised of cash and eligible investments, and
- strengthen their Contingency Funding Plan.

The number of days of liquidity is calculated by comparing the principal portion of maturing Systemwide Debt Securities and other borrowings of the Banks with the total amount of cash, cash equivalents and investments maintained by that Bank. For purposes of calculating liquidity, liquid assets are subject to discounts that reflect potential exposure to adverse market value changes that might be recognized upon liquidation or sale and include only the eligible investments of the Banks.

At September 30, 2014, each Bank maintained the three tiers of the liquidity reserve and exceeded the regulatory minimum 90 days of liquidity. The System's liquidity position was 174 days at September 30,

2014, as compared with 194 days at December 31, 2013. As a result of the merger with U.S. AgBank, effective January 1, 2012, CoBank must maintain a minimum 130 days of liquidity ( 40 days greater than the 90 -day regulatory minimum). Additionally, through December 31, 2014, if CoBank's days of liquidity fall below 150 for five consecutive days, the Bank must notify the Farm Credit Administration and submit to them a plan to restore and maintain the 150 days level. (See Note 14 for each Bank's liquidity position at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.)

Cash provided by the System's operating activities (primarily generated from net interest income in excess of operating expenses) of $\$ 2.658$ billion and $\$ 2.881$ billion for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013 provides an additional source of liquidity for the System that is not reflected in the individual Bank's calculation of days of liquidity under the standard. Further, funds in the Insurance Fund would be used to repay maturing Systemwide Debt Securities, to the extent available, if no other sources existed to repay the debt.

Capital Adequacy and the Ability to Repay Systemwide Debt Securities

Capital serves to support asset growth and provide protection against unexpected credit and operating losses. Capital is also needed for future growth and investment in new products and services. We believe a sound capital position is critical to providing protection to investors in Systemwide Debt Securities and our long-term financial success.

Over the past several years, we have built capital primarily through net income earned and retained. Capital accumulated through earnings has been partially offset by cash distributions to stockholders. Surplus of $\$ 37.553$ billion at September 30, 2014 and $\$ 35.060$ billion at December 31, 2013, is the most significant component of capital. As of September 30, 2014, surplus as a percentage of capital was $82.0 \%$, as compared with $82.3 \%$ at December 31, 2013. Capital as a percentage of assets increased to $16.9 \%$ at September 30, 2014, as compared with $16.3 \%$ at December 31, 2013 due principally to earnings retained.

## FCA Capital Requirements

The Farm Credit Administration sets minimum regulatory capital requirements for Banks and Associations. The Farm Credit Administration's capital regulations require that the Banks and Associations achieve and maintain permanent capital of at least seven percent of risk-adjusted assets. In addition, Farm Credit Administration regulations require that all System institutions achieve and main-
tain a total surplus ratio of at least seven percent of risk-adjusted assets and a core surplus ratio of at least three and one-half percent of risk-adjusted assets. The Banks are also required to achieve and maintain a minimum net collateral ratio as discussed below. At September 30, 2014, all System institutions maintained ratios in excess of these standards as follows:

| System Institutions | Permanent Capital Ratio | $\underset{\text { Ratio }}{\text { Total Surplus }}$ | Core Surplus Ratio | Net Collateral Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banks* | 16.4\%-22.7\% | 15.4\%-22.7\% | 10.0\%-20.2\% | 106.1\%-108.8\% |
| Associations | 13.5\%-35.9\% | 13.2\%-35.5\% | 13.2\%-31.7\% | Not Applicable |
| Regulatory minimum required | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 103\%*** |

* See Note 14 for each Bank's permanent capital ratio and net collateral ratio at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.
** As a condition of the merger with U.S. AgBank, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014, if CoBank's core surplus ratio excluding common stock falls below $5.59 \%$, it must notify the Farm Credit Administration and submit to them a written plan to restore and maintain the ratio to at least that level.
*** In connection with subordinated debt offerings, AgriBank, CoBank and the Farm Credit Bank of Texas are required by the Farm Credit Administration to maintain a minimum net collateral ratio of $104 \%$. At September 30, 2014, AgFirst had no subordinated debt outstanding. As a condition of the merger with U.S. AgBank, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014, if CoBank's net collateral ratio falls below $105 \%$, it must notify the Farm Credit Administration and submit to them a written plan to restore and maintain a ratio of at least $105 \%$.


## Interdependency of the Banks and the Associations

Understanding the System's structure and the interdependent nature of the Banks and the Associations is critical to understanding our capital adequacy.

As previously discussed, each Bank is primarily liable for the repayment of Systemwide Debt Securities issued on its behalf, as well as being liable for Systemwide Debt Securities issued on behalf of the other Banks. The Farm Credit Banks, through the issuance of Systemwide Debt Securities, generally finance the wholesale loans to their affiliated Associations who lend the proceeds to their customers. CoBank, as an Agricultural Credit Bank, makes loans to cooperatives, rural utilities, and other eligible borrowers, as well as Associations. Each Bank's ability to repay Systemwide Debt Securities is due, in large part, to each of its Association's ability to repay its loan from the Bank. As a result, the Banks continually monitor the risk-bearing capacity of each affiliated Association through various mechanisms, including testing of the reliability of each Association's credit classifications and prior-approval of
certain Association loan transactions. Capital, allowance for loan losses and earnings at the Association level also reduces the credit exposure that the Bank has with respect to the loans between the Bank and its affiliated Associations.

Since an Association's ability to obtain funds from sources other than its affiliated Bank is significantly limited, the financial well-being of the Bank and its ability to continue to provide funds is very important to the Association. In addition to the equity the Associations are required to purchase in connection with their direct loans from their affiliated Bank, under each Bank's bylaws, the Bank is authorized, under certain circumstances, to require its affiliated Associations and certain other equity holders to purchase additional Bank equity subject to certain limits or conditions. Further, the Banks generally possess indirect access to certain financial resources of their affiliated Associations through loan-pricing provisions and through Bank-influenced operating and financing policies and agreements for its District. (See Notes 8 and 14 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for further discussion of Bank and Association capital.)

Notwithstanding the foregoing, only the Banks, and not the Associations, are jointly and severally liable for repayment of Systemwide Debt Securities. Other than as described above, and subject to various regulatory and contractual conditions and limitations, the Banks do not have direct access to the capital of their affiliated Associations. In addition, any indirect access that the Banks may have to the capital of the Associations may be limited during stressed conditions in a deteriorating agricultural economic environment. Moreover, capital in one Association is not typically available to address capital needs of another Association or of a non-affiliated Bank.

## Insurance Fund

An additional layer of protection for holders of Systemwide Debt Securities is the Insurance Fund that insures the timely payment of principal and interest on these securities.

The primary sources of funds for the Insurance Fund are:

- premiums paid by the Banks, the cost of which may be passed on to the Associations, and
- earnings on assets in the Insurance Fund.

The Insurance Corporation's primary purpose is to insure the timely payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. In the event a Bank is unable to timely pay Systemwide Debt Securities for which the Bank is primarily liable, the Insurance Corporation must expend amounts in the Insurance Fund to the extent available to insure the timely payment of principal and interest on the debt obligations. However, because of other authorized uses of the Insurance Fund, all of which benefit the Banks and Associations, or the magnitude of the default, there is no assurance that amounts in the Insurance Fund will be available and sufficient to fund the timely payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities in the event of a default by a Bank.

Due to the restricted use of funds in the Insurance Fund, the assets of the Insurance Fund have been included as a restricted asset and the capital of the Insurance Fund as restricted capital in the System's condensed combined financial statements. As of September 30, 2014, the assets in the Insurance

Fund totaled $\$ 3.684$ billion. (See Note 5 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements and the Supplemental Combining Information on pages F-51 and F-53 for condensed combining statements of condition and income that illustrate the impact of including the Insurance Fund in the System's condensed combined financial statements.)

Insurance premiums are established by the Insurance Corporation with the objective of maintaining the "secure base amount," which is defined in the Farm Credit Act as $2 \%$ of the adjusted insured obligations (adjusted to reflect the reduced risk on loans or investments guaranteed by the U.S. or state governments) or such other percentage of the adjusted insured obligations as the Insurance Corporation in its sole discretion determines to be actuarially sound. As required by the Farm Credit Act, as amended, the Insurance Corporation may return excess funds above the secure base amount to System institutions.

At September 30, 2014, as determined by the Insurance Corporation, the Insurance Fund for which no specific use has been identified or designated was $1.97 \%$ of adjusted insured obligations, as compared with $1.94 \%$ at December 31, 2013.

## Joint and Several Liability

The provisions of joint and several liability of the Banks with respect to Systemwide Debt Securities would be invoked if the available amounts in the Insurance Fund were exhausted. Once joint and several liability is triggered, the Farm Credit Administration is required to make "calls" to satisfy the liability first on all non-defaulting Banks in the proportion that each non-defaulting Bank's available collateral (collateral in excess of the aggregate of the Bank's collateralized obligations) bears to the aggregate available collateral of all non-defaulting Banks. If these calls do not satisfy the liability, then a further call would be made in proportion to each nondefaulting Bank's remaining assets. On making a call on non-defaulting Banks with respect to a Systemwide Debt Security issued on behalf of a defaulting Bank, the Farm Credit Administration is required to appoint the Insurance Corporation as the receiver for the defaulting Bank, and the receiver must expeditiously liquidate the Bank.

## System Capitalization

The changes in capital for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were:

|  | Capital |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\substack{\text { Combined } \\ \text { Banks }}}$ | Combined Associations | Insurance Fund | Combination Entries | $\begin{gathered} \text { System } \\ \text { Combined } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | (in millions) |  |  |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$15,078 | \$29,067 | \$3,496 | \$(5,040) | \$42,601 |
| Net income | 1,501 | 2,366 | 188 | (486) | 3,569 |
| Change in accumulated other comprehensive loss | 36 | 1 |  | 49 | 86 |
| Recharacterization of accumulated other comprehensive loss due to fair value adjustments related to the Association mergers |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Preferred stock issued |  | 435 |  |  | 435 |
| Preferred stock retired |  | (345) |  |  | (345) |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued | 69 | 52 |  | (68) | 53 |
| Capital stock, participation certificates and surplus retired | (358) | (46) |  | 322 | (82) |
| Equity issued or recharacterized upon Association merger |  | 341 |  |  | 341 |
| Equity retired or recharacterized upon Association merger |  | (329) |  |  | (329) |
| Patronage and dividends | (455) | (288) |  | 327 | (416) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (86) | (12) |  |  | (98) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$15,785 | \$31,243 | \$3,684 | \$(4,896) | \$45,816 |

Note: System combined capital reflected eliminations of approximately $\$ 3.9$ billion and $\$ 4.1$ billion of Bank equities held by Associations as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. System combined capital also reflected net eliminations of transactions between System entities, primarily related to accruals, and surplus allocations by certain Banks to their Associations. (See Notes 8 and 14 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements.)

Combined Bank-only information is considered meaningful because only the Banks are jointly and severally liable for payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. Amounts in the Insurance Fund are included in the System's combined financial statements because, under the Farm Credit Act, before joint and several liability can be invoked, available amounts in the Insurance Fund would be used to make principal and interest payments on Systemwide Debt Securities. Combined Bank capital and the Insurance Fund increased \$895 million since December 31, 2013 to $\$ 19.469$ billion at September 30, 2014.

Combined Bank-only net income was $\$ 1.501$ billion and $\$ 1.527$ billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The combined Bankonly net income reflects the earnings from investments, Bank wholesale loans to Associations, and retail loans, the majority of which consist of CoBank's domestic loans to cooperatives and other eligible borrowers and loans to finance agricultural export transactions. The

Banks’ wholesale loans to Associations represent just under $55 \%$ of the assets on the combined Bank-only balance sheet. These loans carry less risk than retail loans because the Associations operate under General Financing Agreements with their affiliated Banks and a regulatory framework that includes maintaining certain minimum capital standards, adequate reserves, and prudent underwriting standards. Based on the lower risk of loans to the Associations, the Banks typically operate with more leverage and lower earnings than would be expected from a retail bank.

Combined Association capital increased \$2.176 billion since December 31, 2013 to $\$ 31.243$ billion at September 30, 2014. The growth in Association capital resulted primarily from income earned and retained. Combined Association capital as a percentage of combined Association loans was 20.5\% at September 30, 2014 and $19.8 \%$ at December 31, 2013. Capital at the Association level reduces the Banks' credit exposure with respect to wholesale loans between the Banks and each of their affiliated Associations.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was comprised of the following components:

$\frac{$|  September 30,  |
| :---: |
| 2014 |}{$(\text { in millions })$} | December 31 |
| :---: |
| 2013 |,

Unrealized gains on investments available-
for-sale, net . . . . . . . . . \$ 125 \$ 103
Unrealized gains/losses on other-than-temporary
impairment
investments available-
for-sale .............. . 51
Unrealized losses on
cash flow hedges,
net
Pension and other
benefit plans

| $\frac{(830)}{\$(720)}$ | $\underline{\underline{(881)}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{\underline{\$(807)}}$ |  |

## Operational Risk Management

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed processes or systems, human factors or external events, including the execution of unauthorized transactions by employees, errors relating to transaction processing and technology, breaches of the internal control system and the risk of fraud by employees or persons outside the System. Each Bank's and Association's board of directors is required, by regulation, to adopt an internal control policy that provides adequate direction to the institution in establishing effective control over and accountability for operations, programs and resources. The policy must include, at a minimum, the following items:

- direction to management that assigns responsibility for the internal control function to an officer of the institution,
- adoption of internal audit and control procedures,
- direction for the operation of a program to review and assess its assets,
- adoption of loan, loan-related assets and appraisal review standards, including standards for scope of review selection and standards for work papers and supporting documentation,
- adoption of asset quality classification standards,
- adoption of standards for assessing credit administration, including the appraisal of collateral, and
- adoption of standards for the training required to initiate a program.

However, no control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control systems are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud or errors can be detected. In this regard, one Association with assets totaling just under $\$ 1$ billion noted a sudden significant increase in the level of delinquent loans affecting an identifiable portion of the Association's lending portfolio during the third quarter of 2014. The cause of this sudden increase includes the potential for fraud, internal and/or external. (See Note 1 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements for additional information.)

## Reputational Risk Management

Reputation risk is defined as the negative impact resulting from events, real or perceived, that shape the image of the System or any of its entities. The System could be harmed if its reputation were impacted by negative publicity about the System as a whole, an individual System entity or the agricultural industry in general.

Given the unique structure of the System, managing reputational risk is the direct responsibility of each System entity. (See "Structural Risk Management" on page 15 of this Quarterly Information Statement for a discussion on the structure of the System).

For reputational issues that have broader consequences for the System as a whole, System governance, including the Coordinating Committee and the Presidents' Planning Committee, will communicate guidance to the System supporting those business practices that are consistent with our mission. (See "Governance" in the 2013 Annual Information Statement for a discussion on the Coordinating Committee and the Presidents' Planning Committee).

## Political Risk Management

System institutions are instrumentalities of the federal government and are intended to further governmental policy concerning the extension of credit to or for the benefit of agriculture and rural America. The System and its borrowers may be significantly affected by federal legislation that affects the System directly, such as changes to the Farm Credit Act, or indirectly, such as agricultural appropriations bills. Political risk to the System is the risk of loss of support for the System or agriculture by the U.S. government.

We manage political risk by actively supporting The Farm Credit Council, a trade association representing the System before Congress, the Executive Branch, and others. The Council provides the mechanism for "grassroots" involvement in the development of System positions and policies with respect to federal legislation and government actions that impact the System.

## Regulatory Matters

As of September 30, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration had entered into written agreements with three Associations whose assets in aggregate totaled $\$ 1.183$ billion, as compared with eight Associations whose assets in aggregate totaled $\$ 4.803$ billion at December 31, 2013. The written agreements require the Associations to take corrective actions with respect to one or more of the following: asset quality, capital, portfolio management, and corporate governance.

On June 12, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration approved a proposed rule to revise the requirements governing the eligibility of investments for System Banks and Associations. The stated objectives of the proposed rule are as follows:

- To strengthen the safety and soundness of System Banks and Associations,
- To ensure that System Banks hold sufficient liquidity to continue operations and pay maturing obligations in the event of market disruption,
- To enhance the ability of the System Banks to supply credit to agricultural and aquatic producers,
- To comply with the requirements of section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act,
- To modernize the investment eligibility criteria for System Banks, and
- To revise the investment regulation for System Associations to improve their investment management practices so they are more resilient to risk.

The public comment period ended on October 23, 2014.

On May 8, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration approved a proposed rule to modify the regulatory capital requirements for System Banks and Associations. The stated objectives of the proposed rule are as follows:

- To modernize capital requirements while ensuring that institutions continue to hold sufficient regulatory capital to fulfill their mission as a government-sponsored enterprise,
- To ensure that the System's capital requirements are comparable to the Basel III framework and the standardized approach that the federal banking regulatory agencies have adopted, but also to ensure that the rules recognize the cooperative structure and the organization of the System,
- To make System regulatory capital requirements more transparent, and
- To meet the requirements of section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act.

The public comment period ends on January 2, 2015.

On March 31, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration published an interim final rule rescinding all requirements for advisory votes, including those on senior officer compensation at System Banks and Associations. The comment period for the interim rule ended on April 30, 2014 and the final rule became effective on June 18, 2014.

## Recently Adopted or Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled,
"Revenue from Contracts with Customers." The guidance governs revenue recognition from contracts with customers and requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Financial instruments and other contractual rights within the scope of other guidance issued by the FASB are excluded from the scope of this new revenue recognition guidance. In this regard, a majority of our contracts would be excluded from the scope of this new guidance. The guidance becomes effective for the first interim reporting period within the annual reporting periods after December 15, 2016. System institutions are in the process of reviewing contracts to determine the effect, if any, on the System's financial condition or its results of operations.

## Recent Developments

As announced on July 24, 2014, CoBank has joined with the USDA in the formation of a new public-private partnership focused on infrastructure investment in rural America. The new "U.S. Rural Infrastructure Opportunity Fund" will serve as a source of private sector capital to partner with the USDA on a wide variety of infrastructure projects in rural communities. CoBank will act as anchor investor and has committed $\$ 10.0$ billion of balance sheet capacity to co-lend with the fund. Target investments will include rural community facilities, water and waste water systems, rural energy projects and rural broadband. CoBank will have the opportunity to review and approve each loan transaction individually.
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## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM <br> CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF CONDITION (in millions)



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed combined financial statements.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF INCOME (in millions)

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  | For theNine MonthsEnded September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (unaudited) |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  |  |  |  |
| Investments, Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements | \$ 168 | \$ 161 | \$ 503 | \$ 501 |
| Loans | 2,064 | 2,002 | 6,116 | 5,927 |
| Total interest income | 2,232 | 2,163 | 6,619 | 6,428 |
| Interest expense |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide bonds and medium-term notes | 490 | 464 | 1,463 | 1,351 |
| Systemwide discount notes | 7 | 5 | 21 | 18 |
| Subordinated debt | 24 | 24 | 72 | 72 |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 3 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
| Total interest expense | 524 | 494 | 1,563 | 1,447 |
| Net interest income | 1,708 | 1,669 | 5,056 | 4,981 |
| (Provision for loan losses) loan loss reversal | (42) | 32 | (7) | (9) |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses/loan loss reversal | 1,666 | 1,701 | 5,049 | 4,972 |
| Noninterest income |  |  |  |  |
| Loan-related fee income | 60 | 63 | 170 | 176 |
| Fees for financially related services | 72 | 71 | 141 | 138 |
| Mineral income | 36 | 29 | 95 | 76 |
| Operating lease income | 11 | 10 | 29 | 30 |
| Income earned on Insurance Fund assets | 9 | 8 | 27 | 22 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses |  | (1) | (1) | (6) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in other comprehensive income |  |  | (1) | (1) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses included in earnings . | 0 | (1) | (2) | (7) |
| Losses on extinguishment of debt | (12) | (15) | (46) | (53) |
| Net gains on sales of investments and other assets | 16 | , | 22 | 12 |
| Net gains on derivative and other transactions | 3 | 4 | 15 | 11 |
| Other noninterest income | 9 | 10 | 38 | 39 |
| Total noninterest income | 204 | 180 | 489 | 444 |
| Noninterest expense |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 397 | 386 | 1,189 | 1,141 |
| Occupancy and equipment expense | 49 | 44 | 146 | 133 |
| Purchased services | 36 | 31 | 99 | 91 |
| Other operating expense | 133 | 125 | 391 | 372 |
| Net (gains) losses on other property owned | (18) | (10) | (31) | 11 |
| Merger/restructuring expense | , | 1 | , | 1 |
| Total noninterest expense | 598 | 577 | 1,795 | 1,749 |
| Income before income taxes | 1,272 | 1,304 | 3,743 | 3,667 |
| Provision for income taxes | 44 | 51 | 174 | 168 |
| Net income | \$1,228 | \$1,253 | \$3,569 | \$3,499 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed combined financial statements.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM <br> CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (in millions)

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (unaudited) |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$1,228 | \$1,253 | \$3,569 | \$3,499 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |
| Change in unrealized gains on investments available-for-sale not other-than-temporarily impaired, including reclassification adjustments | (56) | (30) | 22 | (325) |
| Change in unrealized gains/losses on other-than-temporarily impaired investments, including reclassification adjustments | 1 | (51) | 74 | 4 |
| Change in unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, including reclassification adjustments | (6) | 5 | (60) | 93 |
| Amortization of costs included in net periodic pension benefit cost, including reclassification adjustments | 17 | 27 | 50 | 81 |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | (44) | (49) | 86 | (147) |
| Comprehensive income | \$1,184 | \$1,204 | \$3,655 | \$3,352 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed combined financial statements.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL (in millions)

|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preferred I } \\ & \text { Stock } \end{aligned}$ | Capital Stock and Participation Certificates | Additional Paid-inCapital |  | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax | $\underline{\text { Surplus }}$ | Total Capital |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$2,057 | \$1,621 | \$ 738 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (unaudited) } \\ \$ 3,298 \end{gathered}$ | \$(1,024) | \$31,919 | \$38,609 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  | (147) | 3,499 | 3,352 |
| Transfer of Insurance Fund premiums and other income from surplus to restricted capital |  |  |  | 149 |  | (149) |  |
| Preferred stock issued by Banks . . . . . . . | 500 |  |  |  |  | (8) | 492 |
| Preferred stock retired by Banks | (350) |  |  |  |  |  | (350) |
| Preferred stock issued by <br> Associations, net | 171 |  |  |  |  | (4) | 167 |
| Preferred stock dividends |  |  |  |  |  | (92) | (92) |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued |  | 58 |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates retired |  | (83) |  |  |  |  | (83) |
| Patronage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  |  |  |  |  | (404) | (404) |
| Capital stock, participation certificates and surplus allocations |  | 41 |  |  |  | (41) |  |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | $\overline{\$ 2,378}$ | \$1,637 | \$ 738 | $\overline{\$ 3,447}$ | $\overline{\$(1,171)}$ | \$34,720 | \$41,749 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$2,469 | \$1,645 | \$ 738 | \$3,496 | \$ (807) | \$35,060 | \$42,601 |
| Comprehensive income . |  |  |  |  | 86 | 3,569 | 3,655 |
| Transfer of Insurance Fund premiums and other income from surplus to restricted capital |  |  |  | 188 |  | (188) |  |
| Preferred stock issued by <br> Associations, net | 90 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |
| Preferred stock dividends |  |  |  |  |  | (98) | (98) |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued |  | 53 |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates retired |  | (82) |  |  |  |  | (82) |
| Equity issued or recharacterized upon Association mergers |  | 6 | 335 |  |  |  | 341 |
| Equity retired or recharacterized upon <br> Association mergers |  | (6) |  |  |  | (323) | (329) |
| Recharacterization of other comprehensive loss due to fair value adjustments related to the Association mergers |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Patronage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  |  |  |  |  | (416) | (416) |
| Capital stock, participation certificates and surplus allocations |  | 51 |  |  |  | (51) |  |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$2,559 | \$1,667 | \$1,073 | \$3,684 | \$ (720) | \$37,553 | \$45,816 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed combined financial statements.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (in millions)

|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
|  | (unaudited) |  |  |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  | 3,569 |  | 3,499 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 7 |  | 9 |
| Depreciation and amortization on premises and equipment |  | 71 |  | 67 |
| Accretion of fair value adjustments related to the Bank merger |  | (39) |  | (65) |
| Net gains on sales of investments and other assets |  | (22) |  | (12) |
| Losses on impairment of investments available-for-sale |  | 2 |  | 7 |
| Income on Insurance Fund assets, net of operating expenses |  | (25) |  | (20) |
| Increase in accrued interest receivable |  | (504) |  | (469) |
| Increase in other assets |  | (257) |  | (62) |
| Net (writeups) writedowns of other property owned |  | (5) |  | 21 |
| Change in amortized discount on Systemwide discount notes |  | 3 |  | (3) |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable |  | (2) |  | 37 |
| Decrease in other liabilities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | (141) |  | (128) |
| Accretion on investments held-to-maturity |  | 1 |  |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 2,658 |  | 2,881 |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in loans, net . |  | $(7,108)$ |  | $(2,574)$ |
| Investments available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases |  | $(12,620)$ |  | $(16,242)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities and payments |  | 7,997 |  | 11,288 |
| Proceeds from sales |  | 116 |  | 129 |
| Mission-related and other investments held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases |  | (116) |  | (212) |
| Proceeds from maturities and payments |  | 291 |  | 440 |
| Mission-related and other investments available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities and payments |  | 61 |  | 64 |
| Proceeds from sales |  | 8 |  |  |
| Decrease in tobacco contract receivables |  | 158 |  | 151 |
| Premiums paid to the Insurance Fund |  | (174) |  | (84) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net of disposals |  | (135) |  | (122) |
| Proceeds from sales of other property owned |  | 110 |  | 138 |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(11,780)$ |  | $(7,721)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide bonds issued |  | 56,608 |  | 59,818 |
| Systemwide bonds and medium-term notes retired |  | $(52,410)$ |  | $(57,298)$ |
| Systemwide discount notes issued |  | 200,099 |  | 228,580 |
| Systemwide discount notes retired |  | $(197,156)$ |  | $(227,731)$ |
| Other bonds issued, net |  | 956 |  | 776 |
| Increase in notes payable and other interest-bearing liabilities |  | 232 |  | 113 |
| Decrease in collateral held from derivative counterparties ... |  | (147) |  | (106) |
| Protected borrower stock retired . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  | (1) |
| Preferred stock issued by Banks |  |  |  | 492 |
| Preferred stock retired by Banks |  |  |  | (350) |
| Preferred stock issued by Associations, net |  | 90 |  | 167 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued |  | 53 |  | 58 |
| Capital stock, participation certificates and surplus retired |  | (154) |  | (149) |
| Preferred stock dividends paid |  | (88) |  | (82) |
| Cash patronage paid. |  | (954) |  | (839) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 7,129 |  | 3,448 |
| Net decrease in cash |  | $(1,993)$ |  | $(1,392)$ |
| Cash at beginning of period |  | 4,365 |  | 3,394 |
| Cash at end of period |  | \$ 2,372 |  | \$ 2,002 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - (continued) (in millions)

|  | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |
|  | (unaudited) |  |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: |  |  |
| Loans transferred to other property owned | \$ 67 | \$ 126 |
| Disposals of other property through financed sales | (21) | (32) |
| Investments available-for-sale purchased but not yet settled | (190) | (22) |
| Patronage and dividends payable | 446 | 435 |
| Transfer of allowance for loan losses into reserve for unfunded commitments | (36) | (16) |
| Adjustment of allowance for loan losses related to Association mergers | (8) |  |
| Transfer of surplus to additional paid-in-capital related to Association mergers | 335 |  |
| Supplemental non-cash fair value changes related to hedging activities: |  |  |
| Decrease in Systemwide bonds and medium-term notes | (216) | (338) |
| Decrease in other assets | 225 | 386 |
| Decrease in other liabilities | (5) | (55) |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Cash paid during the nine months for: |  |  |
| Interest | 1,561 | 1,413 |
| Taxes . . . | 111 | 170 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed combined financial statements.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS<br>(unaudited)<br>(dollars in millions, except as noted)

## NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying Farm Credit System (System) condensed combined financial statements include financial information of the combined Farm Credit Banks (FCBs)/Agricultural Credit Associations (ACAs)/Federal Land Credit Associations (FLCAs), the combined Agricultural Credit Bank (ACB)/ACAs/FLCAs, the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation, and the Farm Credit Insurance Fund (Insurance Fund) and reflect investments in, and allocated earnings of, certain of the service organizations owned by System Banks and/or Associations. The FCBs and the ACB are collectively referred to as Banks. The ACAs and FLCAs are collectively referred to as Associations.

The accompanying unaudited condensed combined financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements should be read in conjunction with the audited combined financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, contained in the System's 2013 Annual Information Statement, as these statements do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP for annual financial statements.

The accompanying condensed combined financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial condition and results of operation of the System. All significant intra-System transactions and balances have been eliminated in combination. Certain amounts in prior years' combined financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

During the third quarter of 2014, one Association, which had assets totaling just under $\$ 1$ billion as of September 30, 2014, noted a sudden significant increase in delinquencies in a discrete portion of its loan portfolio. An in-depth investigation is currently being conducted by the Association regarding the cause of this sudden increase including the potential
for fraud, internal and/or external. The Association has been evaluating the ramifications of this issue on its financial statements and has announced that its previously issued financial statements can no longer be relied upon.

The System's combined financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 includes an out-of-period adjustment to the provision for loan losses for $\$ 42$ million and the transfer of $\$ 49$ million in loans to nonaccrual status relating to this matter. Although the Association is still in the process of determining the periods in which the $\$ 42$ million provision for loan losses should be recorded in its historical financial statements, the System has evaluated the quantitative and qualitative aspects of these errors in accordance with applicable accounting guidance and has determined that such errors are not material to the current and previously issued System combined financial statements.

A more complete description of System institutions, the significant accounting policies followed by System entities, and the System's combined financial condition and combined results of operations as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 are contained in the 2013 Annual Information Statement.

## Recently Adopted or Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." The guidance governs revenue recognition from contracts with customers and requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Financial instruments and other contractual rights within the scope of other guidance issued by the FASB are excluded from the scope of this new revenue recognition guidance. In this regard, a majority of our contracts would be excluded from the scope of this new guidance. The guidance becomes effective for

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

the first interim reporting period within the annual reporting periods after December 15, 2016. System institutions are in the process of reviewing contracts
to determine the effect, if any, on the System's financial condition or its results of operations.

## NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

## Available-for-Sale

The following is a summary of available-for-sale investments held for maintaining a liquidity reserve, managing short-term surplus funds and managing interest rate risk:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | Weighted Average Yield |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 9,988 | 18 | (4) | 10,002 | 0.94 |
| U.S. agency securities | 5,330 | 60 | (36) | 5,354 | 1.59 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 24,819 | 269 | (180) | 24,908 | 1.52 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,976 | 68 | (4) | 2,040 | 1.07 |
| Total | \$47,825 | \$417 | \$(225) | \$48,017 | 1.24 |
|  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Fair <br> Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | \$ 4,192 | \$ 2 | \$ (2) | \$ 4,192 | 0.29\% |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 8,123 | 5 | (1) | 8,127 | 0.62 |
| U.S. agency securities | 4,730 | 61 | (60) | 4,731 | 1.60 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 24,549 | 288 | (248) | 24,589 | 1.69 |
| Asset-backed securities | 1,479 | 61 | (15) | 1,525 | 1.17 |
| Total | \$43,073 | \$417 | \$(326) | \$43,164 | 1.32 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

A summary of the fair value and amortized cost of investments available-for-sale at September 30, 2014 by contractual maturity is as follows:

|  | Due in 1 Year or Less |  | Due After 1 Year Through 5 Years |  | Due After 5 Years Through 10 Years |  | Due After 10 Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities . | \$ 5,434 |  | \$ 279 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 5,713 | 0.28\% |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 5,073 |  | 3,126 |  | \$1,803 |  |  |  | 10,002 | 0.94 |
| U.S. agency securities | 103 |  | 3,595 |  | 1,268 |  | \$ 388 |  | 5,354 | 1.59 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3 |  | 373 |  | 1,450 |  | 23,082 |  | 24,908 | 1.52 |
| Asset-backed securities | 13 |  | 968 |  | 103 |  | 956 |  | 2,040 | 1.07 |
| Total fair value | \$10,626 | 0.37\% | \$8,341 | 1.25\% | \$4,624 | 1.52\% | \$24,426 | 1.57\% | \$48,017 | 1.24 |
| Total amortized cost | $\stackrel{\text { \$10,618 }}{ }$ |  | $\underline{\$ 8,296}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { \$4,630 }}{ }$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { \$24,281 }}{ }$ |  | $\underline{ }$ \$47,825 |  |

Substantially all mortgage-backed securities have contractual maturities in excess of ten years. However, expected and actual maturities for mortgage-backed securities will typically be shorter than contractual maturities because borrowers generally have the right to prepay the underlying mortgage obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

## Held-to-Maturity Mission-related and Other Investments

The System may hold mission-related and other investments. Mission-related programs and other mission-related investments are approved by the Farm Credit Administration. The following is a summary of held-to-maturity mission-related and other investments:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \end{gathered}$ | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates <br> of deposit and other securities . . . . . ................. \$ $191 \quad \$ 12 \quad \$(2) \quad \$ 201 \quad 5.75 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2,216 | 37 | (35) | 2,218 | 3.01 |
| Asset-backed securities | 219 | 6 | (3) | 222 | 2.38 |
| Total | \$2,626 | \$55 | \$(40) | \$2,641 | 3.16 |
|  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | \$ 192 | \$ 9 | \$ (5) | \$ 196 | 5.80\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2,384 | 41 | (51) | 2,374 | 3.00 |
| Asset-backed securities | 238 | 6 | (1) | 243 | 2.32 |
| Total | $\underline{ }$ \$2,814 | \$56 | \$(57) | \$2,813 | 3.13 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

A summary of the fair value and amortized cost of held-to-maturity mission-related and other investments at September 30, 2014 by contractual maturity is as follows:

|  | Due in 1 Year or Less |  | Due After 1 Year Through 5 Years |  | Due After 5 Years Through 10 Years |  | Due After 10 Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | \$18 |  | \$ 26 |  | \$ 37 |  | \$ 110 |  | \$ 191 | 5.75\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 8 |  | 102 |  | 288 |  | 1,818 |  | 2,216 | 3.01 |
| Asset-backed securities | 2 |  | 106 |  | 75 |  | 36 |  | 219 | 2.38 |
| Total amortized cost | \$28 | 5.05\% | \$234 | 2.87\% | \$400 | 2.78\% | \$1,964 | 3.25\% | \$2,626 | 3.16 |
| Total fair value | \$29 |  | \$239 |  | \$407 |  | \$1,966 |  | \$2,641 |  |

## Available-for-Sale Mission-Related and Other Investments

The following is a summary of available-for-sale mission-related and other investments:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Cost }}{\text { Amortized }}$ Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | \$ 32 | \$2 | \$(1) | \$ 33 | 6.10\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 382 | 3 | (6) | 379 | 2.77 |
| Asset-backed securities | 3 | 1 |  | 4 | 4.38 |
| Total | \$417 | \$6 | \$(7) | \$416 | 3.05 |


\left.|  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\right]$

A summary of the fair value and amortized cost of available-for-sale mission-related and other investments at September 30, 2014 by contractual maturity is as follows:

|  | Due After 1 Year Through 5 Years |  | Due After 10 Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield | Amount | Weighted Average Yield |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | \$ 1 |  | \$ 32 |  | \$ 33 | 6.10\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 88 |  | 291 |  | 379 | 2.77 |
| Asset-backed securities |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 4.38 |
| Total fair value | \$89 | 4.12\% | \$327 | 2.76\% | \$416 | 3.05 |
| Total amortized cost | \$92 |  | \$325 |  | \$417 |  |

# FARM CREDIT SYSTEM <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted) 

## Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Investments Evaluation

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the System's available-forsale, and mission-related and other investment securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss
position. An investment is considered impaired if its fair value is less than its cost. The continuous loss position is based on the date the impairment was first identified.

| September 30, 2014 | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates <br> of deposit and other securities <br> \$ 2,730 <br> \$ (1) <br> 83 <br> (3) |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 1,302 | (4) |  |  |
| U.S. agency securities | 1,149 | (4) | 1,743 | (32) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 4,856 | (27) | 6,988 | (194) |
| Asset-backed securities | 819 | (3) | 172 | (4) |
| Total | \$10,856 | \$(39) | \$8,986 | \$(233) |
|  | Less Than | 12 Months | 12 Mon | hs or More |
| December 31, 2013 | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates <br> of deposit and other securities <br> \$ 1,591 <br> (7) <br> \$ <br> 31 \$ <br> (2) |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 931 | (1) |  |  |
| U.S. agency securities | 3,395 | (60) |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 9,524 | (185) | 2,966 | (120) |
| Asset-backed securities | 732 | (2) | 223 | (14) |
| Total | \$16,173 | \$(255) | \$3,220 | \$(136) |

As more fully discussed in Note 2 of the 2013 Annual Information Statement, other-than-temporary impairment contemplates numerous factors in determining whether an impairment is other-thantemporary including: (1) whether or not an entity intends to sell the security, (2) whether it is more likely than not that an entity would be required to sell the security before recovering its costs, or (3) whether or not an entity expects to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if it does not intend to sell).

System institutions perform an evaluation quarterly on a security-by-security basis considering all available information. If a Bank or Association intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it would be required to sell the security, the impairment loss equals the full difference between
amortized cost and fair value of the security. When a Bank or Association does not intend to sell securities in an unrealized loss position, other-than-temporary impairment is considered using various factors, including the length of time and the extent to which the fair value is less than cost, adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area and the condition of the underlying collateral, payment structure of the security, ratings by rating agencies, the creditworthiness of bond insurers and volatility of the fair value changes. A Bank or Association uses estimated cash flows over the remaining lives of the underlying collateral to assess whether credit losses exist. In estimating cash flows, it considers factors such as expectations of relevant market and economic data, including underlying loan level data for mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities and credit enhancements.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

For impaired investments, a Bank or Association estimates the portion of the loss that is attributable to credit losses using a discounted cash flow model on a security-by-security basis. The various models require key assumptions related to the underlying collateral, including default rates, degree and timing of prepayments, and loss severity. Assumptions can vary widely from security to security and are influenced by such factors as interest rate, geographical location of the borrower, borrower charac-
teristics and collateral type. Default rate assumptions are generally estimated using historical loss and performance information to estimate future defaults and prepayment rate assumptions are based on historical and projected prepayment rates. The Banks obtain the loss severity assumptions from independent third parties or through research using available data on the underlying collateral type from sources including broker/dealers and rating agencies. The following summarizes the assumptions used at:

| Assumptions Used | September 30, 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mortgage-backed Securities | Asset-backed Securities |
| Default rate by range | 0.6\%-30.7\% | 1.0\%-49.4\% |
| Prepayment rate by range | 2.0\%-21.4\% | 0.0\%-43.9\% |
| Loss severity by range | 3.9\%-75.2\% | 41.4\%-100.0\% |
|  | December 31, 2013 |  |
| Assumptions Used | Mortgage-backed Securities | Asset-backed Securities |
| Default rate by range | 0.0\%-46.4\% | 0.0\%-61.9\% |
| Prepayment rate by range | 1.0\%-26.0\% | 0.0\%-31.1\% |
| Loss severity by range | 4.2\%-92.3\% | 55.9\%-100.0\% |

The following represents the activity related to the credit loss component for investments that have been written down for other-than-temporary impairment and the credit component of the loss is recognized in earnings:

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  | For theNine MonthsEnded September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Credit loss component, beginning of period | \$312 | \$319 | \$319 | \$320 |
| Additions: |  |  |  |  |
| Initial credit impairment |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Subsequent credit impairments |  |  |  | 3 |
| Reductions: |  |  |  |  |
| For securities sold | (15) |  | (23) | (4) |
| For increases in expected cash flows | (2) | (2) | (3) | (5) |
| Credit loss component, end of period | \$295 | \$318 | \$295 | \$318 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

## NOTE 3 - LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans outstanding consisted of the following:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30, \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate mortgage loans | \$ 96,658 | \$ 94,194 |
| Production and intermediateterm loans | 45,610 | 45,412 |
| Agribusiness: |  |  |
| Loans to cooperatives | 12,971 | 11,560 |
| Processing and marketing loans | 13,750 | 12,729 |
| Farm-related business loans | 3,390 | 2,953 |
| Energy and water/waste water loans | 16,066 | 15,473 |
| Rural residential real estate loans | 6,745 | 6,557 |
| Agricultural export finance | 4,497 | 4,588 |
| Communication loans | 4,727 | 4,142 |
| Lease receivables | 2,796 | 2,706 |
| Loans to other financing institutions | 841 | 746 |
| Total loans | \$208,051 | \$201,060 |

The Farm Credit Administration Uniform Loan Classification System includes the following five categories: acceptable, other assets especially mentioned (OAEM), substandard, doubtful and loss. The following table shows loans and related accrued
interest as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of:

|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September } 30, ~}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate mortgage |  |  |
| Acceptable | 96.4\% | 95.8\% |
| OAEM . . . | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 2.0 | 2.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Production and intermediate-term |  |  |
| Acceptable . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 94.8 | 94.3 |
| OAEM | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 2.6 | 3.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Agribusiness |  |  |
| Acceptable | 96.2 | 95.0 |
| OAEM | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 1.5 | 2.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Energy and water/waste water |  |  |
| Acceptable | 98.5 | 98.8 |
| OAEM . | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 0.2 | 0.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Rural residential real estate |  |  |
| Acceptable | 97.4 | 97.2 |
| OAEM | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 1.9 | 2.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Agricultural export finance |  |  |
| Acceptable | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| OAEM . . | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Communication |  |  |
| Acceptable | 94.8 | 94.1 |
| OAEM . | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 2.9 | 3.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Lease receivables |  |  |
| Acceptable | 97.5 | 97.7 |
| OAEM | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 1.2 | 1.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Loans to other financing institutions |  |  |
| Acceptable . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| OAEM | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total Loans |  |  |
| Acceptable | 96.3 | 95.8 |
| OAEM . | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Substandard/doubtful | 1.9 | 2.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
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## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

Impaired loans (which consist of nonaccrual loans, accruing restructured loans and accruing loans 90 days or more past due) are loans for which it is probable that not all principal and interest will be collected according to the contractual terms of the
loan. The following tables present information concerning impaired loans and include both the principal outstanding and the related accrued interest receivable on these loans.

|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September } 30,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31, \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans: |  |  |
| Current as to principal and interest | \$ 879 | \$1,015 |
| Past due | 538 | 721 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 1,417 | 1,736 |
| Impaired accrual loans: |  |  |
| Restructured accrual loans | 307 | 286 |
| Accrual loans 90 days or more past due | 40 | 18 |
| Total impaired accrual loans | 347 | 304 |
| Total impaired loans | \$1,764 | $\stackrel{\text { 2,040 }}{ }$ |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) (unaudited) (dollars in millions, except as noted)

The following table reflects nonperforming assets (which consist of impaired loans and other property owned) in a more detailed manner than the previous table. In addition, certain related credit quality statistics are included below:

|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September } 30,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ 763 | \$ 930 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 446 | 538 |
| Agribusiness | 52 | 77 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 18 | 26 |
| Rural residential real estate | 56 | 65 |
| Communication | 75 | 94 |
| Lease receivables | 7 | 6 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 1,417 | 1,736 |
| Accruing restructured loans: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 193 | 176 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 105 | 95 |
| Agribusiness | 4 | 8 |
| Energy and water/waste water |  | 3 |
| Rural residential real estate | 5 | 4 |
| Total accruing restructured loans | 307 | 286 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage . . | 15 | 9 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 12 | 6 |
| Agribusiness . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 1 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 10 |  |
| Rural residential real estate . . | 3 | 2 |
| Total accruing loans 90 days or more past due | 40 | 18 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 1,764 | 2,040 |
| Other property owned | 139 | 198 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$1,903 | $\underline{\$ 2,238}$ |


|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September } 30,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans | 0.68\% | 0.86\% |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and other property owned | 0.91 | 1.11 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of capital | 4.15 | 5.25 |

Commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose loans were classified as impaired were $\$ 64$ million at September 30, 2014 and $\$ 55$ million at December 31, 2013.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM
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Additional impaired loan information by class is as follows:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded Investment* | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance** | Related Allowance | Recorded Investment* | Unpaid Principal Balance** | Related Allowance |
| Impaired loans with a related allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ 195 | \$ 246 | \$ 45 | \$ 277 | \$ 322 | \$ 58 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 229 | 273 | 117 | 245 | 317 | 77 |
| Loans to cooperatives | 13 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Processing and marketing | 9 | 12 | 2 | 30 | 37 | 11 |
| Farm-related business | 9 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 2 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 18 | 20 | 8 | 26 | 28 | 16 |
| Rural residential real estate | 13 | 16 | 4 | 18 | 21 | 5 |
| Communication | 9 | 11 | 4 | 84 | 88 | 21 |
| Lease receivables | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 496 | 608 | 186 | 693 | 833 | 193 |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 776 | 981 |  | 838 | 1,051 |  |
| Production and intermediate-term | 334 | 522 |  | 394 | 590 |  |
| Loans to cooperatives |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Processing and marketing | 19 | 56 |  | 36 | 76 |  |
| Farm-related business | 6 | 18 |  | 8 | 22 |  |
| Energy and water/waste water | 10 | 33 |  | 3 | 26 |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 51 | 64 |  | 53 | 69 |  |
| Communication | 66 | 74 |  | 10 | 11 |  |
| Lease receivables | 6 | 6 |  | 5 | 6 |  |
| Total | 1,268 | 1,759 |  | 1,347 | 1,856 |  |
| Total impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 971 | 1,227 | 45 | 1,115 | 1,373 | 58 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 563 | 795 | 117 | 639 | 907 | 77 |
| Loans to cooperatives | 13 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 2 |
| Processing and marketing | 28 | 68 | 2 | 66 | 113 | 11 |
| Farm-related business | 15 | 32 | 2 | 17 | 36 | 2 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 28 | 53 | 8 | 29 | 54 | 16 |
| Rural residential real estate | 64 | 80 | 4 | 71 | 90 | 5 |
| Communication | 75 | 85 | 4 | 94 | 99 | 21 |
| Lease receivables | 7 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| Total | $\underline{\$ 1,764}$ | $\underline{ }$ \$2,367 | \$186 | $\stackrel{\text { 2,040 }}{ }$ | $\underline{\$ 2,689}$ | $\underline{\$ 193}$ |

[^1]
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|  | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |  | For the Nine Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2014 |  | September 30, 2013 |  | September 30, 2014 |  | September 30, 2013 |  |
|  | Average Impaired Loans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Impaired Loans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized } \end{gathered}$ | Average Impaired Loans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Impaired Loans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized } \end{gathered}$ |
| Impaired loans with a related allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ 192 | \$ 1 | \$ 309 |  | \$ 214 | \$ 3 | \$ 334 | \$ 2 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 193 | 1 | 269 | \$ 1 | 220 | 2 | 321 | 3 |
| Loans to cooperatives | 13 |  | 5 |  | 10 | 1 | 7 |  |
| Processing and marketing | 10 |  | 39 |  | 20 |  | 67 |  |
| Farm-related business | 9 |  | 30 |  | 9 |  | 29 |  |
| Energy and water/waste water | 19 |  | 30 |  | 21 |  | 32 |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 14 |  | 20 |  | 15 |  | 21 |  |
| Communication | 10 |  | 123 |  | 15 |  | 111 |  |
| Lease receivables | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Total | 461 | 2 | 827 | 1 | 525 | 6 | 924 | 5 |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 827 | 12 | 998 | 17 | 850 | 33 | 1,026 | 39 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 362 | 6 | 451 | 6 | 378 | 20 | 427 | 16 |
| Loans to cooperatives |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |
| Processing and marketing | 16 |  | 70 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 69 | 2 |
| Farm-related business | 6 |  | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 1 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 10 |  | 3 |  | 11 |  | 3 |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 51 |  | 56 | 1 | 54 | 2 | 58 | 2 |
| Communication | 66 |  | 11 | 1 | 63 | 3 | 15 | 2 |
| Lease receivables | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 6 |  |
| Total | 1,344 | 18 | 1,604 | 27 | 1,397 | 62 | 1,618 | 62 |
| Total impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 1,019 | 13 | 1,307 | 17 | 1,064 | 36 | 1,360 | 41 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 555 | 7 | 720 | 7 | 598 | 22 | 748 | 19 |
| Loans to cooperatives | 13 |  | 5 |  | 13 | 1 | 8 |  |
| Processing and marketing | 26 |  | 109 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 136 | 2 |
| Farm-related business | 15 |  | 38 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 1 |
| Energy and water/waste water | 29 |  | 33 |  | 32 |  | 35 |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 65 |  | 76 | 1 | 69 | 2 | 79 | 2 |
| Communication | 76 |  | 134 | 1 | 78 | 3 | 126 | 2 |
| Lease receivables | 7 |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 8 |  |
| Total | \$1,805 | \$20 | \$2,431 | \$28 | \$1,922 | \$68 | \$2,542 | \$67 |
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The following tables provide an aging analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) by portfolio segment:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total Past Due | Not Past Due or less than 30 Days Past Due | Total Loans and Accrued Interest | Recorded Investment $>90$ Days and Accruing |
| Real estate mortgage | \$292 | \$248 | \$540 | \$ 97,399 | \$ 97,939 | \$15 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 125 | 178 | 303 | 45,844 | 46,147 | 12 |
| Agribusiness | 14 | 14 | 28 | 30,196 | 30,224 |  |
| Energy and water/waste water | 1 | 17 | 18 | 16,113 | 16,131 | 10 |
| Rural residential real estate | 73 | 19 | 92 | 6,688 | 6,780 | 3 |
| Agricultural export finance |  |  |  | 4,506 | 4,506 |  |
| Communication |  | 4 | 4 | 4,731 | 4,735 |  |
| Lease receivables | 12 | 2 | 14 | 2,783 | 2,797 |  |
| Loans to other financing institutions |  |  |  | 842 | 842 |  |
| Total | \$517 | \$482 | \$999 | \$209,102 | \$210,101 | \$40 |
|  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total Past Due | Not Past Due or less than 30 Days Past Due | Total Loans and Accrued Interest | Recorded Investment $>90$ Days and Accruing |
| Real estate mortgage | \$299 | \$299 | \$ 598 | \$ 94,486 | \$ 95,084 | \$ 9 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 160 | 198 | 358 | 45,480 | 45,838 | 6 |
| Agribusiness | 8 | 29 | 37 | 27,303 | 27,340 | 1 |
| Energy and water/waste water |  |  |  | 15,534 | 15,534 |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 82 | 19 | 101 | 6,484 | 6,585 | 2 |
| Agricultural export finance |  |  |  | 4,598 | 4,598 |  |
| Communication |  | 64 | 64 | 4,085 | 4,149 |  |
| Lease receivables | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2,699 | 2,707 |  |
| Loans to other financing institutions | 4 |  | 4 | 744 | 748 |  |
| Total | \$559 | \$611 | \$1,170 | \$201,413 | \$202,583 | \$18 |
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A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment for loans outstanding by portfolio segment follows:

|  | Real estate mortgage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { intermediate- } \\ & \text { term } \end{aligned}$ | Agribusiness | Energy and water/ waste water | Rural residential real estate | gricultural <br> export <br> finance | Communication | Lease receivables | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loans } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { toFIs } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | \$281 | \$360 | \$291 | \$115 | \$26 | \$8 | \$ 61 | \$40 | \$1 | \$1,183 |
| Charge-offs | (7) | (4) |  |  | (2) |  |  |  |  | (13) |
| Recoveries | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Provision for loan losses (loan loss reversal) | 10 | 36 | 5 |  | 2 |  | (12) | 1 |  | 42 |
| Reclassification to/from reserve for unfunded commitments* | (2) | (6) | (30) | (3) |  |  | 1 |  |  | (40) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$288 | \$392 | \$266 | \$112 | \$26 | \$8 | \$ 50 | \$41 | \$1 | \$1,184 |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$326 | \$395 | \$320 | \$130 | \$24 | \$7 | \$ 84 | \$36 | \$1 | \$1,323 |
| Charge-offs . . . . | (7) | (34) | (9) |  | (2) |  |  |  |  | (52) |
| Recoveries | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Loan loss reversal | (4) | (2) | (24) | (1) |  |  | (1) |  |  | (32) |
| Reclassification to/from reserve for unfunded commitments* | (7) | (1) | (5) | (3) |  |  |  |  |  | (16) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$316 | \$364 | \$282 | \$126 | \$22 | \$7 | \$83 | \$36 | \$1 | \$1,237 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$310 | \$375 | \$292 | \$122 | \$22 | \$8 | \$ 71 | \$37 | \$1 | \$1,238 |
| Charge-offs | (25) | (19) | (1) | (1) | (4) |  | (2) | (1) |  | (53) |
| Recoveries | 12 | 17 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 36 |
| Provision for loan losses (loan loss reversal) . . | 18 | 25 | (16) | (10) | 7 |  | (22) | 5 |  | 7 |
| Adjustment due to merger | (3) | (4) | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (8) |
| Reclassification to/from reserve for unfunded commitments* | (24) | (2) | (13) | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | (36) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$288 | \$392 | \$266 | $\overline{\$ 112}$ | \$26 | \$8 | \$ 50 | \$41 | \$1 | \$1,184 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$307 | \$424 | \$359 | \$116 | \$22 | \$6 | \$ 73 | \$35 | \$1 | \$1,343 |
| Charge-offs . . . . | (40) | (64) | (37) | (1) | (7) |  |  |  |  | (149) |
| Recoveries | 16 | 17 | 13 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 50 |
| Provision for loan losses (loan loss reversal) . . | 37 | (10) | (42) | 8 | 6 |  | 10 |  |  | 9 |
| Reclassification to/from reserve for unfunded commitments* | (4) | (3) | (11) | 3 |  |  | (1) |  |  | (16) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$316 | \$364 | \$282 | \$126 | \$22 | \$7 | \$83 | \$36 | \$1 | \$1,237 |
| Ending Balance at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2014: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 45 | \$117 | \$ 8 | \$ 8 | \$ 4 |  | \$ 4 | \$ 1 |  | \$ 187 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 243 | 275 | 258 | 104 | 22 | \$8 | 46 | \$40 | \$1 | 997 |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$288 | \$392 | \$266 | \$112 | \$26 | \$8 | \$50 | \$41 | \$1 | \$1,184 |
| Ending Balance at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2013: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 252 | 297 | 276 | 106 | 17 | \$8 | 51 | 35 | \$1 | 1,043 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$310 | \$375 | \$292 | \$122 | \$22 | \$8 | \$ 71 | \$37 | \$1 | \$1,238 |

[^2] advances on or repayments of seasonal lines of credit or other loans.
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|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { estate } \\ \text { mortgage } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { intermediate- } \\ & \text { term } \end{aligned}$ | Agribusiness | Energy and water/ waste water | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rural } \\ & \text { residential } \\ & \text { real } \\ & \text { estate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agricultural } \\ & \text { export } \\ & \text { finance } \end{aligned}$ | Communication | Lease receivables | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loans } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { OFIs } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recorded Investments in Loans Outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2014: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,173 | \$ 584 | \$ 85 | \$ 20 | \$2,066 | \$ 1 | \$ 76 | \$ 8 | \$ 44 | \$ 4,057 |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 96,760 | 45,562 | 30,139 | 16,111 | 4,714 | 4,505 | 4,659 | 2,789 | 798 | 206,037 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$97,939 | \$46,147 | \$30,224 | \$16,131 | \$6,780 | \$4,506 | \$4,735 | $\overline{\$ 2,797}$ | \$842 | \$210,101 |
| Ending balance at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2013: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,347 | \$ 712 | \$ 124 | \$ 27 | \$2,374 | \$ | \$ 95 | \$ 9 | \$ 51 | \$ 4,740 |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 93,728 | 45,121 | 27,216 | 15,507 | 4,211 | 4,597 | 4,054 | 2,698 | 697 | 197,829 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 9 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$95,084 | \$45,838 | \$27,340 | \$15,534 | \$6,585 | \$4,598 | \$4,149 | \$2,707 | \$748 | \$202,583 |

A restructuring of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, also known as formally restructured, if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Concessions vary by program and are borrower-specific and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals or
the acceptance of additional collateral in lieu of payments. In limited circumstances, principal may be forgiven. When a restructured loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, these loans are included within our risk loans under nonaccrual or accruing restructured loans. All risk loans are analyzed within our allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the following periods:

|  | Three Months <br> Ended September 30, 2014 |  | Three Months <br> Ended September 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* | Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* |  | Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* |
| Troubled debt restructurings: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$17 | \$17 | \$ 58 | \$ 51 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 15 | 15 | 41 | 34 |
| Agribusiness |  |  | 5 | 2 |
| Rural residential real estate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Communication |  |  | 72 | 72 |
| Total | \$33 | \$33 | \$177 | \$160 |
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|  | Nine Months <br> Ended September 30, 2014 |  | Nine Months <br> Ended September 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* | Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* | Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment* |
| Troubled debt restructurings: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$43 | \$43 | \$ 98 | \$ 91 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 49 | 47 | 104 | 96 |
| Agribusiness |  |  | 5 | 2 |
| Rural residential real estate | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Communication |  |  | 72 | 72 |
| Total | \$95 | \$93 | \$283 | \$265 |

* Pre-modification represents the recorded investment just prior to restructuring and post-modification represents the recorded investment immediately following the restructuring. The recorded investment is the face amount of the receivable increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest and unamortized premium, discount, finance charges, or acquisition costs and may also reflect a previous direct write-down of the investment

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings that occurred within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the period:

|  | Recorded Investment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
| Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted: |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 8 | 6 |
| Agribusiness |  | 7 |
| Rural residential real estate | 1 | 1 |
| Total | \$15 | \$20 |

The following table provides information on outstanding loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings at period end. These loans are included as impaired loans in the impaired loan table:

|  | Loans Modified as Troubled DebtRestructurings |  | Troubled Debt Restructurings in Nonaccrual Status* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| Real estate mortgage | \$359 | \$404 | \$166 | \$228 |
| Production and intermediate-term | 232 | 260 | 127 | 165 |
| Agribusiness | 22 | 32 | 18 | 24 |
| Energy and water/waste water |  | 3 |  |  |
| Rural residential real estate | 11 | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| Communication | 66 | 68 | 66 | 68 |
| Total | \$690 | \$777 | \$383 | \$491 |

[^3]Additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loans have been modified in troubled debt restructurings were $\$ 18.1$ million at September 30, 2014 and $\$ 12.9$ million at December 31, 2013.
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## NOTE 4 - OTHER ASSETS AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Other assets consisted of the following:

|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September } 30,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment held for lease | \$1,159 | \$1,121 |
| Interest rate swaps and other derivatives. | 527 | 776 |
| Accounts receivable | 172 | 197 |
| Assets held in non-qualified benefits trusts | 137 | 132 |
| Unamortized debt issue costs | 106 | 120 |
| Equity investments in other System institutions . .... | 92 | 89 |
| Prepaid expenses | 49 | 63 |
| Pension assets | 32 | 28 |
| Net deferred tax assets | 18 | 20 |
| Tobacco contracts receivables |  | 158 |
| Other | 283 | 55 |
| Total | \$2,575 | \$2,759 |

As part of the "Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004," tobacco producers were to receive 10 equal payments over 10 years under a contract with the Secretary of Agriculture. Certain Associations had entered into successor-in-interest contracts with tobacco producers whereby the Associations paid the producers a lump sum and received the rights to the remaining contract payments. The final payments were received in January 2014.

Other liabilities consisted of the following:

|  | $\underset{2014}{\text { September } 30,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit plan liabilities | \$ 936 | \$ 938 |
| Accounts payable | 576 | 494 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | 398 | 422 |
| Patronage and dividends payable | 365 | 961 |
| Collateral held from derivative counterparties | 304 | 451 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | 242 | 206 |
| Accrued salaries and employee benefits | 177 | 195 |
| Interest rate swaps and other derivatives | 116 | 120 |
| Liabilities held in non-qualified benefit trusts | 80 | 92 |
| Bank drafts payable | 78 | 106 |
| Protected borrower stock | 1 | 1 |
| Other | 264 | 273 |
| Total | \$3,537 | \$4,259 |

## NOTE 5 - FARM CREDIT INSURANCE FUND

The assets in the Insurance Fund are designated as restricted assets and the related capital is designated as restricted capital. The classification of the Insurance Fund as restricted assets (and as restricted capital) in the System's condensed combined financial statements is based on the statutory requirement that the amounts in the Insurance Fund are to be used solely for purposes specified in the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended (Farm Credit Act), all of which benefit the Banks and Associations. The Insurance Fund is under the direct control of the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (Insurance Corporation), an independent U.S. governmentcontrolled corporation, and not under the control of any System institution. A board of directors consisting of the members of the Farm Credit Administration Board directs the Insurance Corporation.

The System does not have a guaranteed line of credit from the U.S. Treasury or the Federal Reserve. However, the Insurance Corporation has an agreement with the Federal Financing Bank, a federal
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instrumentality subject to the supervision and direction of the U.S. Treasury, pursuant to which the Federal Financing Bank would advance funds to the Insurance Corporation. Under its existing statutory authority, the Insurance Corporation may use these funds to provide assistance to the System Banks in exigent market circumstances which threaten the Banks' ability to pay maturing debt obligations. The agreement provides for advances of up to $\$ 10$ billion and terminates on September 30, 2015, unless otherwise renewed. The decision whether to seek funds from the Federal Financing Bank is in the discretion of the Insurance Corporation, and each funding obligation of the Federal Financing Bank is subject to various terms and conditions and, as a result, there can be no assurance that funding would be available if needed by the System.

At September 30, 2014, assets in the Insurance Fund totaled $\$ 3.684$ billion and consisted of cash, investments and related accrued interest receivable of $\$ 3.521$ billion and of premiums receivable from System institutions of $\$ 163$ million accrued on the basis of adjusted outstanding insured debt during the first nine months of 2014. Investments held by the Insurance Fund must be obligations of the United States or obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States. During the first nine months of 2014, income earned on assets in the Insurance Fund and premiums accrued by the Insurance Corporation totaled $\$ 188$ million, net of administrative expenses.

## NOTE 6 - SYSTEMWIDE DEBT SECURITIES

Aggregate maturities and the weighted average interest rate of Systemwide Debt Securities were as follows at September 30, 2014:

|  | Bonds |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium-term } \\ & \text { notes } \end{aligned}$ |  | Discount notes |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
| Due in 1 year or less | \$ 57,289 | 0.30\% | \$ 7 | 6.93\% | \$21,583 | 0.10\% | \$ 78,879 | 0.25\% |
| Due after 1 year through 2 years | 47,179 | 0.42 | 16 | 6.30 |  |  | 47,195 | 0.42 |
| Due after 2 years through 3 years | 32,033 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  | 32,033 | 0.70 |
| Due after 3 years through 4 years | 11,684 | 1.42 |  |  |  |  | 11,684 | 1.42 |
| Due after 4 years through 5 years | 9,761 | 1.74 | 1 | 6.67 |  |  | 9,762 | 1.74 |
| Due after 5 years | 34,688 | 2.74 | 111 | 5.83 |  |  | 34,799 | 2.75 |
| Total | \$192,634 | 0.98 | \$135 | 5.95 | \$21,583 | 0.10 | \$214,352 | 0.89 |

## NOTE 7 - MERGER OF SYSTEM INSTITUTIONS

As discussed in the 2013 Annual Information Statement, the primary reason for System entity mergers is based on a determination that the combined organization would be financially and operationally stronger with an enhanced ability to fulfill its mission. The mergers were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting.

System Banks and Associations are cooperatives that are owned and controlled by their members who
use the cooperatives' products or services. As such, their capital stock provides no significant interest in corporate earnings or growth. Specifically, due to restrictions in applicable regulations and their bylaws, the capital stock is not tradable, and the capital stock can be retired only for the lesser of par value or book value. In these and other respects, the shares of capital stock in one institution that were converted to shares of another institution had identical rights and attributes. For this reason, the outstanding capital stock and other equities of the acquired institutions were converted into a like amount of capital stock
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and equities of the acquiring institutions. Management believes that because the stock is fixed in value, the stock issued pursuant to the mergers provides no basis for estimating the fair value of the consideration transferred pursuant to the mergers. In the absence of a purchase price determination, the acquiring institution identified and estimated the acquisition date fair value of the equity interests of the acquired institution instead of the acquisition date fair value of the equity interests transferred as consideration. The fair value of the net assets acquired, including specific intangible assets and liabilities assumed, were measured based on various estimates using assumptions that management believes are reasonable utilizing information currently available. This evaluation produced a fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed that was substantially equal to the fair value of the member interests transferred in the mergers. The difference between the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired and the fair value of member interests transferred was recorded as additional paid-in capital or a reduction in surplus. The mergers did not have a material impact on the System's financial position or results of operations because the incomes of the acquired institutions were previously reflected in the System's combined financial statements.

## Bank Merger

Effective January 1, 2012, CoBank acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities of U.S. AgBank at their acquisition-date fair values. The fair value of the net identifiable assets acquired ( $\$ 1.042$ billion) was substantially equal to the fair value of the equity interests converted in the merger. As a result, no goodwill was recorded. In addition, no material amounts of intangible assets were acquired.

Fair value adjustments to U.S. AgBank's assets and liabilities included a $\$ 553$ million increase to loans, a $\$ 33$ million decrease in investment securities and a $\$ 700$ million increase to bonds and notes to reflect changes in interest rates and other market conditions since the time these instruments were issued. These adjustments are being accreted/
amortized into net income over the remaining life of the respective loans, investments and debt instruments, with the majority being recognized in the first five years following the merger. The net accretion related to the fair value adjustments for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013 increased income by $\$ 39$ million and $\$ 65$ million.

## Association Mergers

Effective January 1, 2014, there were several Association mergers within the System reducing the number of Associations by four (two Associations within the CoBank District and two Associations within the Texas District).

The following table summarizes the fair values of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed for the Association mergers as of January 1, 2014:

|  | Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total assets acquired | \$1,853 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 1,480 |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 373 |

The assets acquired included gross loans at fair value of $\$ 1.766$ billion with a contractual amount of $\$ 1.762$ billion. As of January 1, 2014, the gross contractual amount of loans not expected to be collected was $\$ 7$ million.

Effective January 1, 2011, three Associations in the AgFirst District merged into one entity. As part of the merger, these Associations entered into an agreement with AgFirst FCB under which the Bank would provide limited financial assistance to the merged Association in the event of substantial further deterioration in the combined high-risk asset portfolio of the merged Association.

AgFirst did not provide assistance to the merged Association under the agreement at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. This agreement was terminated effective October 15, 2014.
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## NOTE 8 - CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Capital consisted of the following at September 30, 2014:

|  | Combined Banks | Combined Associations | Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock | \$ 1,937 | \$ 622 |  | \$ 2,559 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates | 5,025 | 566 | \$ 3,924 ) | 1,667 |
| Protected borrower stock |  | 1 | (1) |  |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 37 | 1,036 |  | 1,073 |
| Restricted capital - Insurance Fund |  |  | 3,684 | 3,684 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 62 | (69) | (713) | (720) |
| Surplus | 8,724 | 29,087 | (258) | 37,553 |
| Total capital | \$15,785 | \$31,243 | \$(1,212) | \$45,816 |

Preferred stock issued and outstanding reflects the issuance by four Banks and four Associations. Combined System surplus reflected net eliminations of $\$ 258$ million representing transactions between the Banks, the Associations and/or the Insurance Fund. Capital stock and participation certificates of the

Banks amounting to $\$ 3.9$ billion were owned by the Associations. These amounts have been eliminated in the accompanying condensed combined financial statements. Restricted capital is only available for statutorily authorized purposes and is not available for payment of dividends or patronage refunds.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax was comprised of the following components:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Tax | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deferred } \\ \text { Tax } \end{gathered}$ | Net of Tax | Before Tax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deferred } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ | Net of Tax |
| Unrealized gains on investments available-for-sale, net | \$ 139 | \$(14) | \$ 125 | \$ 61 | \$ 42 | \$ 103 |
| Unrealized gains/losses on other-than-temporary impairment investments available-for-sale | 58 | (7) | 51 | 34 | (57) | (23) |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net | (72) | 6 | (66) | (8) | 2 | (6) |
| Pension and other benefit plans | (849) | 19 | (830) | (901) | 20 | (881) |
|  | \$(724) | \$ 4 | \$(720) | \$(814) | \$ 7 | \$(807) |
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The following tables present the activity in the accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax by component:

|  | Unrealized gains on investments available-for-sale, net | Unrealized gains on other-thantemporary impairment investments available-for-sale | Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net | Pension and other benefit plans | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Accumulated } \\ & \text { other } \\ & \text { comprehensive } \\ & \text { loss } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | \$181 | \$ 50 | \$(60) | \$(847) | \$(676) |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | (57) | 16 | (3) |  | (44) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to income | 1 | (15) | (3) | 17 |  |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | (56) | 1 | (6) | 17 | (44) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$125 | \$ 51 | \$(66) | \$(830) | \$(720) |
|  | Unrealized gains on investments available-for-sale, net | Unrealized gains on other-thantemporary impairment investments available-for-sale | Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net | Pension and other benefit plans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Accumulated } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { comprenensive } \\ \text { loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$150 | \$ 72 | \$(27) | \$ $(1,317)$ | \$(1,122) |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | (30) | (51) | 4 | (1) | (78) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to income |  |  | 1 | 28 | 29 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | (30) | (51) | 5 | 27 | (49) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$120 | \$ 21 | \$(22) | \$(1,290) | \$(1,171) |
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|  | Unrealized gains on investments available-for-sale, net | Unrealized gains/ losses on other-thantemporary impairment investments available-for-sale | Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net | Pension and other benefit plans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Accumulated } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { comprehensive } \\ \text { loss } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$103 | \$(23) | \$ (6) | \$(881) | \$(807) |
| Adjustment due to Association mergers |  |  |  | , | 1 |
| Balance at January 1, 2014 | 103 | (23) | (6) | (880) | (806) |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | 20 | 92 | (59) | (1) | 52 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to income . . . . . . . . . | 2 | (18) | (1) | 51 | 34 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | 22 | 74 | (60) | 50 | 86 |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$125 | \$ 51 | \$(66) | \$(830) | \$(720) |
|  | Unrealized gains on investments available-for-sale, net | Unrealized gains on other-thantemporary impairment investments available-for-sale | Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pension } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { benefitit } \\ \text { plans } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Accumulated } \\ \text { other } \\ \text { comprehensive } \\ \text { loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 445 | \$17 | \$(115) | \$(1,371) | \$(1,024) |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | (318) | (1) | 91 | (2) | (230) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to income . . . . . . . . . . | (7) | 5 | 2 | 83 | 83 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income | (325) | 4 | 93 | 81 | (147) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$ 120 | \$21 | \$ (22) | $\underline{\$(1,290)}$ | \$(1,171) |
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The following table represents reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):


Only the Banks are statutorily liable for the payment of principal and interest on Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Bonds, Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Discount Notes, Federal Farm Credit Banks Consolidated Systemwide Medium-Term Notes and other debt securities issued under Section 4.2(d) of the Farm Credit Act (collectively, Systemwide Debt Securities). Under each Bank's bylaws, the Bank is authorized under certain circumstances to require its affiliated Associations and certain other equity holders to purchase additional Bank equities. In most cases, the Banks are limited as to the amounts of these purchases that may be required, generally with reference to a percentage of the Association's or other equity holder's direct loan from the Bank, and calls for additional equity investments may be subject to other limits or conditions. However, the Banks also generally possess indirect access to certain
financial resources of their affiliated Associations through loan-pricing provisions and through Bankinfluenced District operating and financing policies and agreements.

Capital regulations issued by the System's regulator, the Farm Credit Administration, require that the Banks and Associations maintain permanent capital of at least seven percent of risk-adjusted assets. In addition, Farm Credit Administration regulations require that: (1) all System institutions achieve and maintain a total surplus ratio of at least seven percent of risk-adjusted assets and a core surplus ratio of at least three and one-half percent of risk-adjusted assets and (2) all Banks achieve and maintain a net collateral ratio of at least 103 percent of total liabilities. However, in connection with subordinated debt offerings, all Banks, except AgFirst, are required to maintain a minimum net collateral ratio of 104
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percent. At September 30, 2014, AgFirst had no subordinated debt outstanding.

As a condition of the merger with U.S. AgBank, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014, if CoBank's net collateral ratio falls below $105 \%$, it must notify the Farm Credit Administration and submit to them a written plan to restore and maintain a ratio of at least $105 \%$. At September 30, 2014, all System institutions complied with these standards.

## NOTE 9 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Banks and substantially all Associations participate in defined benefit retirement plans. These retirement plans are noncontributory and benefits are based on salary and years of service. The Banks and Associations closed their defined benefit pension plans to new participants and offer defined contribution retirement plans to all employees hired subsequent to the close. In addition, System institutions provide certain healthcare and other postretirement benefits to eligible retired employees. Employees of System institutions may become eligible for healthcare and other postretirement benefits if they reach normal retirement age while working for the System.

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended September 30:

|  | Pension <br> Benefits |  | Other Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Service cost | \$ 18 | \$ 20 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |
| Interest cost | 37 | 34 | 3 | 4 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (43) | (40) |  |  |
| Net amortization and deferral | 19 | 29 | (1) | (1) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 31 | \$ 43 | \$ 3 | \$ 4 |

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic benefit cost for the nine months ended September 30:

|  | Pension Benefits |  | Other <br> Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Service cost | \$ 52 | \$ 59 | \$ 4 | \$ 4 |
| Interest cost | 112 | 102 | 9 | 9 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (128) | (119) |  |  |
| Net amortization and deferral | 55 | 86 | (2) |  |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 91 | \$ 128 | \$11 | \$12 |

The System previously disclosed for the year ended December 31, 2013 that the Banks and Associations expected to contribute $\$ 114$ million to their pension plans and $\$ 12$ million to their other postretirement benefit plans in 2014. As of September 30, 2014, $\$ 46$ million and $\$ 9$ million of contributions have been made to pension and other postretirement benefit plans. System institutions presently anticipate contributing an additional $\$ 93$ million to fund their pension plans and $\$ 3$ million to fund their other postretirement benefit plans during the fourth quarter of 2014.

## NOTE 10 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the 2013 Annual Information Statement for additional information.
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Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

| September 30, 2014 | Fair Value Measurement Using |  |  | Total Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | $\underline{\text { Level } 3}$ |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds and securities purchased under resale agreements |  | \$ 1,446 |  | \$ 1,446 |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities |  | 10,002 |  | 10,002 |
| U.S. agency securities |  | 5,354 |  | 5,354 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 24,096 | 1,191 | 25,287 |
| Asset-backed securities |  | 1,873 | 171 | 2,044 |
| Derivative assets |  | 527 |  | 527 |
| Assets held in non-qualified benefits trusts | \$137 |  |  | 137 |
| Total assets | \$137 | \$49,011 | \$1,395 | \$50,543 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities |  | \$ 116 |  | \$ 116 |
| Collateral liabilities | \$ 11 | 293 |  | 304 |
| Standby letters of credit |  |  | \$ 15 | 15 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 11 | \$ 409 | \$ 15 | \$ 435 |
|  | Fair Val | Measure | ent Using |  |
| December 31, 2013 | Level 1 | Level 2 | $\underline{\text { Level } 3}$ | Fair Value |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds and securities purchased under resale agreements |  | \$ 1,078 |  | \$ 1,078 |
| Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities |  | 8,127 |  | 8,127 |
| U.S. agency securities |  | 4,704 | 27 | 4,731 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 23,704 | 1,312 | 25,016 |
| Asset-backed securities |  | 1,276 | 253 | 1,529 |
| Derivative assets |  | 776 |  | 776 |
| Assets held in non-qualified benefits trusts | \$132 |  |  | 132 |
| Total assets | \$132 | \$43,842 | \$1,648 | \$45,622 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities |  | \$ 120 |  | \$ 120 |
| Collateral liabilities | \$ 26 | 425 |  | 451 |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 1 | \$ 15 | 16 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 26 | \$ 546 | \$ 15 | \$ 587 |
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The tables below represent reconciliations of all Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

|  | Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | U.S. agency securities | Mortgagebacked securities | Assetbacked securities | Standby letters of credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | \$33 | \$0 | \$1,279 | \$240 | \$16 |
| Total gains or (losses) realized/unrealized: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings |  |  | 6 | 8 |  |
| Included in other comprehensive loss |  |  | (7) | 6 |  |
| Purchases |  |  | 18 |  |  |
| Sales |  |  | (27) | (72) |  |
| Issuances |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Settlements |  |  | (48) | (11) | (2) |
| Transfers from Level 3 into Level 2 |  |  | (30) |  |  |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$33 | \$0 | \$1,191 | \$171 | \$15 |
| The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2014 . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$ 0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
|  | Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { agency } \\ \text { securities } \end{gathered}$ | Mortgagebacked securities | Assetbacked securities | Standby letters of credit |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$106 | \$29 | \$1,511 | \$272 | \$15 |
| Total gains or (losses) realized/unrealized: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings |  |  | (1) |  |  |
| Included in other comprehensive loss | (1) |  | 6 | 1 |  |
| Purchases |  | 8 | 60 |  |  |
| Issuances |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Settlements | (25) | (1) | (53) | (13) | (2) |
| Transfers from Level 3 into Level 2 | (20) | (9) | (79) |  |  |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$ 60 | \$27 | \$1,444 | \$260 | \$15 |
| The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2013 . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 1 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
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The tables below represent reconciliations of all Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

|  | Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { agency } \\ \text { securities } \end{gathered}$ | Mortgagebacked securities | Assetbacked securities | Standby letters of credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 56 | \$ 27 | \$1,312 | \$253 | \$15 |
| Total gains or (losses) realized/unrealized: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings |  |  | 5 | 10 | (1) |
| Included in other comprehensive loss | 2 |  | 14 | 19 |  |
| Purchases |  |  | 156 |  |  |
| Sales | (5) |  | (27) | (79) |  |
| Issuances |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Settlements | (5) |  | (140) | (32) | (5) |
| Transfers from Level 3 into Level 2 | (15) | (27) | (129) |  |  |
| Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$ 33 | \$ 0 | \$1,191 | \$171 | \$15 |
| The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2014 . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 2 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
|  | Commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit and other securities | U.S. agency securities | Mortgagebacked securities | Assetbacked securities | Standby letters of credit |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$113 | \$ 15 | \$1,559 | \$283 | \$17 |
| Total gains or (losses) realized/unrealized: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings . . |  |  | (4) | (1) | (1) |
| Included in other comprehensive loss | (6) | (1) | 24 | 20 |  |
| Purchases |  | 38 | 165 |  |  |
| Sales |  |  | (5) |  |  |
| Issuances |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Settlements | (27) | (1) | (192) | (42) | (6) |
| Transfers from Level 3 into Level 2 | (20) | (24) | (119) |  |  |
| Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2 |  |  | 16 |  |  |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$ 60 | \$ 27 | \$1,444 | \$260 | \$15 |
| The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1}}$ | \$ 0 | \$ 5 | $\stackrel{\text { \$ }}{\underline{\text { P }}}$ | \$ 0 |
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The transfers between Level 3 and Level 2 during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013 were due to a change in the sources of pricing information. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1 during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013.

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

| September 30, 2014 | Fair Value Measurement Using |  | Total Fair Value | Total (Losses) Gains For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 2 | Level 3 |  |  |
| Loans | \$42 | \$1,685 | \$1,727 | \$(66) |
| Other property owned |  | 154 | 154 | 34 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Meas } \\ \mathbf{U} \end{array}$ | Value rement sing |  | Total Losses <br> For the Nine Months Ended |
| December 31, 2013 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value | September 30, 2013 |
| Loans | \$38 | \$1,364 | \$1,402 | \$(56) |
| Other property owned |  | 218 | 218 | (9) |

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the Condensed Combined Statement of Condition for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized as follows:

|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ | Fair Value Measurement Using |  |  | Total Fair Value |
|  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 2,372 | \$2,372 |  |  | \$ 2,372 |
| Mission-related and other investments held-to-maturity | 2,626 |  | \$1,071 | \$ 1,570 | 2,641 |
| Net loans | 206,867 |  | 56 | 211,822 | 211,878 |
| Total assets | \$211,865 | \$2,372 | \$1,127 | \$213,392 | \$216,891 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide Debt Securities | \$214,352 |  |  | \$214,729 | \$214,729 |
| Subordinated debt | 1,555 |  |  | 1,725 | 1,725 |
| Other bonds | 4,171 |  |  | 4,171 | 4,171 |
| Other interest bearing liabilities | 1,314 |  | \$ 12 | 1,302 | 1,314 |
| Total liabilities | \$221,392 | \$ 0 | \$ 12 | \$221,927 | \$221,939 |
| Other financial instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to extend credit |  |  |  | \$ 191 | \$ 191 |
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| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ 4,365 | \$4,365 |  |  | \$ 4,365 |
| Mission-related and other investments held-to-maturity | 2,814 |  | \$1,128 | \$ 1,685 | 2,813 |
| Net loans | 199,822 |  | 58 | 204,114 | 204,172 |
| Tobacco contract receivables | 158 |  |  | 158 | 158 |
| Total assets | \$207,159 | \$4,365 | \$1,186 | \$205,957 | \$211,508 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide Debt Securities | \$207,489 |  |  | \$206,195 | \$206,195 |
| Subordinated debt | 1,555 |  |  | 1,707 | 1,707 |
| Other bonds | 3,215 |  |  | 3,215 | 3,215 |
| Other interest bearing liabilities | 1,082 |  | \$ 13 | 1,069 | 1,082 |
| Total liabilities | \$213,341 | \$ 0 | \$ 13 | \$212,186 | \$212,199 |
| Other financial instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to extend credit |  |  |  | \$ 158 | \$ 158 |

## Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the mortgage-backed securities are prepayment rates, probability of default, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the
loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Quoted market prices are generally not available for the instruments presented below. Accordingly, fair values are based on judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.
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Quantitative Information about Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements


With regard to nonrecurring measurements for impaired loans and other property owned, it is not practicable to provide specific information on inputs as each collateral property is unique. System institutions utilize appraisals to value these loans and
other property owned and take into account unobservable inputs such as, income and expense, comparable sales, replacement cost and comparability adjustments.

## Information about Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

|  | Valuation Technique(s) | Input |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other . . . . | Carrying value | Par/principal and appropriate interest yield |
| Investment securities available for sale | Discounted cash flow | Constant prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity |
|  | Quoted prices | Price for similar security |
| Interest rate swaps and caps | Discounted cash flow | Annualized volatility Counterparty credit risk Company's own credit risk |
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## Information about Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements

|  | Valuation Technique(s) | Input |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | Carrying value | Par/principal and appropriate interest yield |
| Mission-related and other investments held-to-maturity | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment rates Probability of default Loss severity |
| Loans | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment forecasts Probability of default Loss severity |
| Tobacco contracts receivables | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment rate Derived yield curve |
| Systemwide Debt Securities and other bonds | Discounted cash flow | Benchmark yield curve <br> Derived yield spread <br> Company's own credit risk |
| Subordinated debt | Discounted cash flow | Credit spreads <br> Market trends <br> Interest rate risks |
|  | Broker/Dealer quotes | Price for similar security |
| Other interest bearing liabilities | Carrying value | Par/principal and appropriate interest yield |

## Valuation Techniques

As more fully discussed in Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the 2013 Annual Information Statement, FASB guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used by the System for assets and liabilities:

## Investment Securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, available-for-sale securities would be classified as Level 1. If quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models that utilize observable inputs, quoted prices for similar securities received
from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these securities would be classified as Level 2. This would include U.S. Treasury and certain mortgage-backed securities. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, the securities are classified as Level 3. Securities classified within Level 3 include assetbacked securities and certain mortgage-backed securities including private label-FHA/VA securities and those issued by Farmer Mac.

As permitted under Farm Credit Administration regulations, the Banks are authorized to hold eligible investments. The regulations define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit, and percentage of portfolio limit for each investment type. At the time of purchase, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities must be triple-A rated by at least one Nationally Recognized
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Statistical Rating Organization. The triple-A rating requirement puts the Banks in a position to hold the senior tranches of securitizations. The underlying loans for mortgage-backed securities are residential mortgages, while the underlying loans for asset-backed securities are home equity lines of credit, small business loans, equipment loans or student loans.

To estimate the fair value of the majority of the investments held, the Banks obtain prices from third party pricing services. For the valuation of securities not actively traded, including certain non-agency securities, the Banks utilize either a third party cash flow model or an internal model. The significant inputs for the valuation models include yields, probability of default, loss severity and prepayment rates.

## Derivatives

Exchange-traded derivatives valued using quoted prices would be classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. However, few classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange; thus, the majority of the derivative positions are valued using internally developed models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives include basic interest rate swaps and options. Derivatives that are valued based upon models with significant unobservable market parameters and that are normally traded less actively or have trade activity that is one way are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

The models used to determine the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities use an income approach based on observable market inputs, primarily the LIBOR swap curve and volatility assumptions about future interest rate movements.

## Assets Held in Non-Qualified Benefits Trusts

Assets held in trust funds related to deferred compensation and supplemental retirement plans are classified within Level 1. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the market-place.

## Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of letters of credit approximate the fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle similar obligations.

## Loans Evaluated for Impairment

For certain loans evaluated for impairment under FASB impairment guidance, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans are collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, a majority of these loans have fair value measurements that fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established. The fair value of these loans would fall under Level 2 of the hierarchy if the process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information.

## Other Property Owned

Other property owned is generally classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The process for measuring the fair value of other property owned involves the use of independent appraisals or other market-based information. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

## Collateral Liabilities

Substantially all derivative contracts are supported by bilateral collateral agreements with counterparties requiring the posting of collateral in the event certain dollar thresholds of credit exposure are reached. The market value of collateral liabilities is its face value plus accrued interest that approximates fair value.

# FARM CREDIT SYSTEM <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted) 

A description of the methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value follows:

## Cash

For cash, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

## Loans

Fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the Banks' or the Associations' current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk. The discount rates are based on the Banks' or the Associations' current loan origination rates as well as managements' estimates of credit risk. Management has no basis to determine whether the fair values presented would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale and could be less.

For purposes of estimating fair value of accruing loans, the loan portfolio is segregated into pools of loans with homogeneous characteristics. Expected future cash flows, primarily based on contractual terms, and interest rates reflecting appropriate credit risk are separately determined for each individual pool.

The fair value of loans in nonaccrual status that are current as to principal and interest is estimated as described above, with appropriately higher interest rates which reflect the uncertainty of continued cash flows. For collateral-dependent impaired loans, it is assumed that collection will result only from the disposition of the underlying collateral.

## Bonds and Notes

Systemwide Debt Securities are not all traded in the secondary market and those that are traded may not have readily available quoted market prices. Therefore, the fair value of the instruments is estimated by calculating the discounted value of the expected future cash flows. The discount rates used are based on the sum of quoted market yields for the

Treasury yield curve and an estimated yield-spread relationship between System debt instruments and Treasury securities. We estimate an appropriate yield-spread taking into consideration selling group member (banks and securities dealers) yield indications, observed new government-sponsored enterprise debt security pricing, and pricing levels in the related U.S. dollar interest rate swap market.

## Subordinated Debt

The fair value of subordinated debt is estimated based upon quotes obtained from a broker/dealer or based on discounted cash flows.

## Commitments to Extend Credit

The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged for similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, estimated fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates.

## NOTE 11 — DERIVATIVE PRODUCTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Banks and Associations maintain an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative products to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The Banks' and Associations' goals are to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that movements in interest rates do not adversely affect the net interest margin. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. The effect of this unrealized appreciation or depreciation is expected to be substantially offset by the Banks' gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that the interest income and interest expense of hedged floating-rate assets and liabilities will
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increase or decrease. The effect of this variability in earnings is expected to be substantially offset by the Banks' gains and losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. The Banks consider the strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

In addition, the Banks enter into derivative transactions, particularly interest rate swaps, to lower funding costs, diversify sources of funding, alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities, or better manage liquidity. The Banks may also enter into derivatives with their customers as a service to enable them to transfer, modify or reduce their interest rate risk by transferring this risk to the Bank. The Banks substantially offset this risk by concurrently entering into offsetting agreements with non-System institutional counterparties. Interest rate swaps allow the Banks to raise long-term borrowings at fixed rates and swap them into floating rates that are lower than those available to the Bank if floating rate borrowings were made directly. These interest rate swaps also help the Banks to manage their liquidity. Under interest rate swap arrangements, the Banks agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment
streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating rate index.

A substantial amount of the System's assets are interest-earning assets (principally loans and investments) that tend to be medium-term floating-rate instruments while the related interest-bearing liabilities tend to be short- or medium-term fixed rate obligations. Given this asset-liability mismatch, interest rate swaps in which a Bank pays the floating rate and receives the fixed rate (receive-fixed swaps) are used to reduce the impact of market fluctuations on a Bank's net interest income. Because the size of swap positions needed to reduce the impact of market fluctuations varies over time, a Bank also enters into swaps in which it receives the floating rate and pays the fixed rate (pay-fixed swaps) when necessary to reduce its net position.

The Banks may purchase interest rate options, such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on their floating-rate debt, and floors, in order to reduce the impact of falling interest rates on their floating-rate assets. The primary types of derivative instruments used and the amount of activity (notional amount of derivatives) during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized in the following tables:

|  | Receive-Fixed Swaps | Pay-Fixed and Amortizing Pay-Fixed Swaps | Floating-for-Floating and Amortizing Floating-for-Floating | Interest Rate Caps | Other Derivatives | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$16,532 | \$4,757 | \$1,350 | \$3,249 | \$ 3,859 | \$29,747 |
| Additions | 676 | 835 |  | 386 | 3,784 | 5,681 |
| Maturities/amortization | $(4,329)$ | (357) |  | (242) | $(3,173)$ | $(8,101)$ |
| Terminations |  | (52) |  |  | (53) | (105) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$12,879 | \$5,183 | \$1,350 | \$3,393 | \$ 4,417 | \$27,222 |


|  | Receive-Fixed Swaps | Pay-Fixed and Amortizing Pay-Fixed Swaps | Floating-for-Floating and Amortizing Floating-for-Floating | Interest Rate Caps | Other <br> Derivatives | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$20,197 | \$4,255 | \$1,750 | \$3,660 | \$ 3,572 | \$33,434 |
| Additions | 1,259 | 588 |  | 68 | 3,239 | 5,154 |
| Maturities/amortization | $(2,974)$ | (645) | (400) | (560) | $(3,104)$ | $(7,683)$ |
| Terminations |  | (80) |  |  | (80) | (160) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$18,482 | \$4,118 | \$1,350 | \$3,168 | \$ 3,627 | \$30,745 |
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By using derivative products, Banks are exposed to credit and market risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract, the Bank's credit risk will equal the fair value gain in a derivative. Generally, when the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, this indicates that the counterparty owes a Bank, thus creating a repayment (credit) risk for a Bank. When the fair value of the derivative contract is negative, a Bank owes the counterparty and, therefore, assumes no repayment risk.

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the Banks predominantly deal with non-customer counterparties that have an investment grade long-term credit rating from a major rating agency, and also monitor the credit standing and levels of exposure to individual counterparties. The Banks do not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. The Banks typically enter into master agreements that contain netting provisions. These provisions allow the Banks to require the net settlement of covered contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default by the counterparty on one or more contracts. A majority of derivative contracts are supported by collateral arrangements with counterparties. The System's exposure to counterparties, net of collateral of $\$ 387$ million at September 30, 2014 and $\$ 604$ million at December 31, 2013, was $\$ 30$ million and $\$ 68$ million.

The Banks may also clear derivative transactions through a futures commission merchant (FCM) with a clearinghouse or a central counterparty (CCP). When the swap is cleared by the two parties, the single bilateral swap is divided into two separate swaps with the CCP becoming the counterparty to both of the initial parties to the swap. CCPs have several layers of protection against default including margin, member capital contributions, and FCM guarantees of their customers' transactions with the CCP. FCMs also pre-qualify the counterparties to all swaps that are sent to the CCP from a credit perspective, setting limits for each counterparty and collecting initial and variation margin daily from each counterparty for changes in the value of cleared derivatives. The margin collected from both parties to
the swap protects against credit risk in the event a counterparty defaults. The initial and variation margin requirements are set by and held for the benefit of the CCP. Additional initial margin may be required and held by the FCM, due to its guarantees of its customers' trades with the CCP.

Each Bank's derivative activities are monitored by its Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) as part of the Committee's oversight of the Bank's asset/liability and treasury functions. Each Bank's ALCO is responsible for approving hedging strategies that are developed within parameters established by each Bank's board of directors through the Bank's analysis of data derived from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources. The resulting hedging strategies are then incorporated into the Bank's overall interest rate risk-management strategies.

## Fair-Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedge item (principally, debt securities) attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. The System includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same line item (interest expense) as the offsetting loss or gain on the related interest rate swaps. The amount of the losses on interest rate swaps recognized in interest expense for first nine months of 2014 was $\$ 210$ million, as compared with gains on the Systemwide Debt Securities of $\$ 215$ million.

## Cash Flow Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.
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## Derivatives not Designated as Hedges

For derivatives not designated as a hedging instrument, the related change in fair value is recorded in current period earnings in "net gains on
derivative and other transactions" in the condensed combined statement of income.

## Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

The following table represents the fair value of derivative instruments:

|  | Balance Sheet Classification Assets | Fair Value at September 30, 2014 | Fair Value at December 31, 2013 | Balance Sheet Classification Liabilities | Fair Value at September 30, 2014 | Fair Value at December 31, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receive-fixed swaps . . . . . . . . . . | Other assets | \$366 | \$578 | Other liabilities | \$ 10 | \$ 12 |
| Pay-fixed and amortizing pay-fixed swaps | Other assets | 9 | 42 | Other liabilities | 38 | 35 |
| Interest rate caps | Other assets | 48 | 52 |  |  |  |
| Floating-for-floating and amortizing floating-for-floating swaps . . . . . |  |  |  | Other liabilities | 6 | 7 |
| Foreign exchange contracts . . . . . | Other assets | 4 | 1 | Other liabilities |  | 2 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments |  | \$427 | \$673 |  | \$ 54 | \$ 56 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivatives entered into on behalf of customers | Other assets | \$126 | \$144 | Other liabilities | \$ 88 | \$105 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Other assets |  | 1 | Other liabilities |  | 1 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments |  | \$128 | \$145 |  | \$ 90 | \$106 |
| Total derivatives |  | \$555 | \$818 |  | $\overline{\$ 144}$ | \$162 |

The following table sets forth the effect of derivative instruments on the Condensed Combined Statement of Income:

|  |  | For the Nine Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivatives - Fair Value Hedging Relationships | Location of Gain | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
| Receive-fixed swaps | Interest expense | $\underline{\underline{\$ 5}}$ | $\stackrel{\$ 4}{\underline{4}}$ |

The following table sets forth the effect of derivative financial instruments in cash flow hedging relationships:

|  | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) |  | Location of Loss Reclassification from AOCI into Income | Amount of Loss Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivatives - Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { September 30, } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{2013}{\text {, September 30, }}$ | from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| Pay-fixed and amortizing pay-fixed swaps | \$(37) | \$70 |  |  |  |
| Floating-for-floating and amortizing floating-for-floating swaps . . . . . | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Interest rate caps | (5) | 19 | Interest expense | \$(3) | \$(3) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | (18) | (2) | Interest income | 4 | 1 |
| Total | \$(59) | \$91 |  | \$ 1 | \$(2) |
|  |  | F-42 |  |  |  |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

The System had no significant gains or losses recognized in income on cash flow hedges (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

The following table sets forth the amount of gain recognized in the Condensed Combined Statement of Income related to derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:

|  |  | For the Nine Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of Gain | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
| Derivatives entered into on behalf of customers | Noninterest income | \$7 | \$4 |

## NOTE 12 - ASSET/LIABILITY OFFSETTING

The following tables represent the offsetting of financial assets and liabilities:


## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued)

(unaudited)
(dollars in millions, except as noted)

## NOTE 13 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, the Banks and Associations have various commitments and contingent liabilities, such as certain letters of credit and commitments to extend credit, which are not reflected in the accompanying condensed combined financial statements. No material losses are anticipated as a result of these transactions.

A summary of the contractual amount of creditrelated instruments are as follows:

|  | September 30, 2014 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$78,887 | \$74,787 |
| Standby letters of credit | 2,495 | 2,463 |
| Commercial and other letters of credit . . . | 344 | 502 |

On at least a quarterly basis, System institutions assess their liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. For matters where it is probable the institution will incur a loss and the amount can be reasonably estimated, the institution would establish an accrual for the loss. Once established, the accrual would be adjusted as appropriate to reflect any relevant developments. For matters where a loss is not probable or the amount of loss cannot be estimated, no accrual would be established.

At September 30, 2014, various lawsuits were pending or threatened against System institutions. In the opinion of management, based on information currently available and taking into account the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate liability, if any, of pending or threatened legal actions will not have a material adverse impact on the System's combined results of operations or financial position.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

## NOTE 14 - COMBINING BANK-ONLY INFORMATION

The following condensed combining statements include the statement of condition, statement of comprehensive income and statement of changes in capital for the combined Banks without the affiliated Associations or other System institutions.

## Combining Bank-Only Statement of Condition



## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM
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## Combining Bank-Only Statement of Condition

December 31, 2013

|  | AgFirst Farm Credit Bank | $\underset{\text { FCB }}{\text { AgriBank, }}$ | Farm Credit Bank of Texas | $\begin{gathered} \text { CoBank, } \\ \text { ACB } \end{gathered}$ | Combination Entries | Combined Banks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 1,039 | \$ 1,074 | \$ 603 | \$ 1,335 |  | \$ 4,051 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements | 145 | 911 1155 | ${ }_{3}^{22}$ |  |  | 1,078 |
| Investments (Note 2)(2) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,153 | 11,555 | 3,638 | 21,688 |  | 44,034 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To others(2) . | 6,211 | 8,083 | 4,453 | 35,752 | \$(470) | 54,029 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (23) | (10) | (14) | (447) |  | (494) |
| Net loans | 20,178 | 73,667 | 11,765 | 73,156 | (470) | 178,296 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 63 | 345 | 37 | 369 |  | 814 |
| Other assets | 266 | 174 | 158 | 1,096 | 329 | 2,023 |
| Total assets | \$28,844 | \$87,726 | \$16,223 | \$97,644 | $\overline{\$(141)}$ | \$230,296 |
| Liabilities and Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systemwide Debt Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ 9,072 | \$23,095 | \$ 5,071 | \$32,907 | \$ (13) | \$ 70,132 |
| Due after one year | 17,153 | 57,888 | 9,531 | 52,804 | (19) | 137,357 |
| Total Systemwide Debt Securities | 26,225 | 80,983 | 14,602 | 85,711 | (32) | 207,489 |
| Subordinated debt |  | 500 | 50 | 905 |  | 1,455 |
| Accrued interest payable | 54 | 197 | 38 | 291 |  | 580 |
| Other liabilities | 418 | 1,125 | 140 | 4,032 | (21) | 5,694 |
| Total liabilities | 26,697 | 82,805 | 14,830 | 90,939 | (53) | 215,218 |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock | 125 | 250 | 600 | 962 |  | 1,937 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates | 309 | 2,110 | 239 | 2,677 | (126) | 5,209 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 37 |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 98 | 9 | (33) | (39) | (9) | 26 |
| Surplus ...... | 1,578 | 2,552 | 587 | 3,105 | 47 | 7,869 |
| Total capital | 2,147 | 4,921 | 1,393 | 6,705 | (88) | 15,078 |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$28,844 | \$87,726 | \$16,223 | \$97,644 | \$(141) | \$230,296 |

[^4]
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## Combining Bank-Only Statement of Comprehensive Income

For the Nine Months Ended September 30,

|  | AgFirst Farm Credit Bank | $\underset{\text { FCB }}{\text { AgriBank, }}$ | Farm Credit Bank of Texas | $\begin{gathered} \text { CoBank, } \\ \text { ACB } \end{gathered}$ | Combination Entries | Combined Banks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 516 | \$1,043 | \$ 288 | \$1,550 | \$ 7 | \$ 3,404 |
| Interest expense | (156) | (652) | (123) | (630) | 10 | $(1,551)$ |
| Net interest income | 360 | 391 | 165 | 920 | 17 | 1,853 |
| Loan loss reversal (provision for loan losses) | 4 | (3) | 6 | 25 |  | 32 |
| Noninterest income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan-related fee income | 6 | 7 | 7 | 77 |  | 97 |
| Losses on extinguishment of debt | (6) |  |  | (40) |  | (46) |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses |  | (1) |  |  |  | (1) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in other comprehensive income | (1) |  |  |  |  | (1) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses included in earnings | (1) | (1) |  |  |  | (2) |
| Other noninterest income | 6 | 108 | 19 | 53 | (37) | 149 |
| Total noninterest income | 5 | 114 | 26 | 90 | (37) | 198 |
| Noninterest expense | (87) | (80) | (51) | (216) | (18) | (452) |
| Provision for income taxes |  |  |  | (130) |  | (130) |
| Net income | 282 | 422 | 146 | 689 | (38) | 1,501 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 9 | (12) | 10 | 29 |  | 36 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 291 | \$ 410 | \$ 156 | \$ 718 | \$(38) | \$ 1,537 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 552 | \$ 998 | \$ 277 | \$1,468 | \$ 65 | \$ 3,360 |
| Interest expense | (144) | (607) | (116) | (593) | 17 | $(1,443)$ |
| Net interest income | 408 | 391 | 161 | 875 | 82 | 1,917 |
| Loan loss reversal (provision for loan losses) | 7 | 2 | (7) | (20) |  | (18) |
| Noninterest income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan-related fee income | 8 | 18 | 8 | 84 |  | 118 |
| Losses on extinguishment of debt | (4) | (4) |  | (62) | 17 | (53) |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | (1) | (3) |  | (2) |  | (6) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment recognized in other comprehensive income | (1) | 1 |  | (1) |  | (1) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses included in earnings | (2) | (2) |  | (3) |  | (7) |
| Other noninterest income | 14 | 91 | 24 | 78 | (95) | 112 |
| Total noninterest income | 16 | 103 | 32 | 97 | (78) | 170 |
| Noninterest expense | (81) | (75) | (46) | (205) | (17) | (424) |
| Provision for income taxes |  |  |  | (118) |  | (118) |
| Net income | 350 | 421 | 140 | 629 | (13) | 1,527 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (83) | 41 | (52) | (126) |  | (220) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 267 | \$ 462 | \$ 88 | \$ 503 | $\overline{\$(13)}$ | \$ 1,307 |

# FARM CREDIT SYSTEM <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted) 

Combining Bank-Only<br>Statement of Changes in Capital

|  | AgFirst Farm Credit Bank | $\underset{\text { FCB }}{\text { AgriBank, }}$ | Farm Credit Bank of Texas | $\begin{gathered} \text { CoBank, } \\ \text { ACB } \end{gathered}$ | Combination Entries | Combined Banks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$2,298 | \$4,256 | \$1,274 | \$6,441 | \$(103) | \$14,166 |
| Comprehensive income | 267 | 462 | 88 | 503 | (13) | 1,307 |
| Preferred stock issued, net |  |  | 296 | 196 |  | 492 |
| Preferred stock retired | (150) |  |  | (200) |  | (350) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (6) |  | (30) | (49) |  | (85) |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued | 10 | 101 | 3 |  |  | 114 |
| Capital stock, participation certificates, and surplus retired | (13) | (97) | (1) | (32) |  | (143) |
| Patronage and dividends | (10) | (130) | (3) | (250) | 19 | (374) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$2,396 | \$4,592 | \$1,627 | \$6,609 | \$ (97) | \$15,127 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$2,147 | \$4,921 | \$1,393 | \$6,705 | \$ (88) | \$15,078 |
| Comprehensive income | 291 | 410 | 156 | 718 | (38) | 1,537 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (1) | (13) | (30) | (42) |  | (86) |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued | 4 | 64 | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| Capital stock, participation certificates, and surplus retired | (10) | (313) | (1) | (34) |  | (358) |
| Patronage and dividends |  | (188) | (2) | (286) | 21 | (455) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$2,431 | \$4,881 | \$1,517 | \$7,061 | \$(105) | \$15,785 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM NOTES TO CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> (dollars in millions, except as noted)

Certain Bank-only capital ratios and other information is as follows:

|  | AgFirst Farm Credit Bank | $\underset{\text { FCB }}{\text { AgriBank, }}$ | Farm Credit Bank of Texas | $\begin{gathered} \text { CoBank, } \\ \text { ACB } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the nine months ended: September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | 1.34\% | 0.64\% | 1.18\% | 0.91\% |
| Return on average capital | 16.38\% | 11.66\% | 13.34\% | 13.22\% |
| September 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | 1.64\% | 0.68\% | 1.21\% | 0.90\% |
| Return on average capital | 19.71\% | 12.67\% | 13.35\% | 12.70\% |
| For the period ended: September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of loans and other property owned . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad 0.33 \% \quad 0.08 \% \quad 0.24 \% \quad 0.16 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans | 0.10\% | 0.02\% | 0.06\% | 0.54\% |
| Capital as a percentage of total assets | 8.37\% | 5.39\% | 8.78\% | 6.95\% |
| Net collateral ratio | 107.9\% | 106.1\% | 108.8\% | 107.4\% |
| Permanent capital ratio | 22.7\% | 20.9\% | 18.6\% | 16.4\% |
| Liquidity in days | 223 | 159 | 238 | 161 |
| Average liquidity in days during 2014 | 253 | 163 | 245 | 169 |
| December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of loans and other property owned | 0.40\% | 0.08\% | 0.46\% | 0.21\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans | 0.11\% | 0.01\% | 0.12\% | 0.61\% |
| Capital as a percentage of total assets | 7.44\% | 5.61\% | 8.59\% | 6.87\% |
| Net collateral ratio | 106.8\% | 106.4\% | 108.7\% | 107.6\% |
| Permanent capital ratio | 22.9\% | 22.1\% | 21.6\% | 16.7\% |
| Liquidity in days | 246 | 161 | 268 | 181 |
| Average liquidity in days during 2013 | 239 | 162 | 264 | 194 |

Bank-only information is considered meaningful because only the Banks are jointly and severally liable for the payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities. That means that each Bank is primarily liable for the payment of principal and interest on Systemwide Debt Securities issued to fund its lending activities and is also jointly and severally liable with respect to Systemwide Debt Securities issued to fund the other Banks.

The Associations are the primary owners of the Farm Credit Banks. The Agricultural Credit Bank (CoBank) is principally owned by cooperatives, other eligible borrowers and its affiliated Associations. Due to the financial and operational interdependence of the Banks and Associations, capital at the Associa-
tion level reduces the Banks' credit exposure with respect to the direct loans between the Banks and each of their affiliated Associations. However, capital of the Associations may not be available if the provisions of joint and several liability were to be invoked. There are various limitations and conditions with respect to each Bank's access to the capital of its affiliated Associations, as more fully discussed in Note 8.

In the event a Bank is unable to timely pay principal or interest on an insured debt obligation for which the Bank is primarily liable, the Insurance Corporation must expend amounts in the Insurance Fund to the extent available to insure the timely payment of principal and interest on the insured debt obligation. The provisions of the Farm Credit Act
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providing for joint and several liability of the Banks on the obligation cannot be invoked until the amounts in the Insurance Fund have been exhausted. However, because of other mandatory and discretionary uses of the Insurance Fund, there is no assurance that there will be sufficient funds to pay the principal or interest on the insured debt obligation.

Once joint and several liability is triggered, the Farm Credit Administration is required to make "calls" to satisfy the liability first on all nondefaulting Banks in the proportion that each nondefaulting Bank's available collateral (collateral in excess of the aggregate of the Bank's collateralized obligations) bears to the aggregate available collateral of all non-defaulting Banks. If these calls do not satisfy the liability, then a further call would be made in proportion to each non-defaulting Bank's remaining assets. On making a call on non-defaulting Banks with respect to a Systemwide Debt Security
issued on behalf of a defaulting Bank, the Farm Credit Administration is required to appoint the Insurance Corporation as the receiver for the defaulting Bank. The receiver would be required to expeditiously liquidate the Bank.

## NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Effective October 1, 2014, two Associations in the CoBank District merged. The merger is accounted for in the same manner as the mergers disclosed in Note 6.

On October 1, 2014, CoBank redeemed \$136.75 million of non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock.

The Banks and Associations have evaluated subsequent events through November 7, 2014, which is the date the financial statements were issued.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM <br> SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION (unaudited)

The following condensed Combining Statements of Condition and Comprehensive Income present Bank-only and Insurance Fund information, as well as information related to the other entities included in the System's combined financial statements. As part
of the combining process, all significant transactions between the Banks and the Associations, including loans made by the Banks to the Associations and the interest income/interest expense related thereto, and investments of the Associations in the Banks and the earnings related thereto, have been eliminated.

## COMBINING STATEMENT OF CONDITION - (Condensed)

September 30, 2014
(in millions)

|  | Combined Banks | Combined Associations | Eliminations | Combined without Insurance Fund | Insurance Fund | Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and investments | \$ 52,576 | \$ 2,301 |  | \$ 54,877 |  |  | \$ 54,877 |
| Loans | 183,359 | 152,097 | \$(127,405) | 208,051 |  |  | 208,051 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (446) | (738) |  | $(1,184)$ |  |  | $(1,184)$ |
| Net loans | 182,913 | 151,359 | $(127,405)$ | 206,867 |  |  | 206,867 |
| Other assets | 2,783 | 8,009 | $(4,896)$ | 5,896 |  |  | 5,896 |
| Restricted assets |  |  |  |  | \$3,684 |  | 3,684 |
| Total assets | \$238,272 | \$161,669 | \$(132,301) | \$267,640 | \$3,684 | \$ 0 | \$271,324 |
| Systemwide Debt Securities and subordinated debt | \$215,807 | \$ 100 |  | \$215,907 |  |  | \$215,907 |
| Other liabilities | 6,680 | 130,326 | \$(127,406) | 9,600 |  | \$ 1(a) | 9,601 |
| Total liabilities | 222,487 | 130,426 | $(127,406)$ | 225,507 |  | 1 | 225,508 |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected borrower stock |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | (1)(a) |  |
| Preferred stock | 1,937 | 622 |  | 2,559 |  |  | 2,559 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates | 5,025 | 566 | $(3,924)$ | 1,667 |  |  | 1,667 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 37 | 1,036 |  | 1,073 |  |  | 1,073 |
| Restricted capital |  |  |  |  | \$3,684 |  | 3,684 |
| Accumulated other comprehen income (loss) | 62 | (69) | (713) | (720) |  |  | (720) |
| Surplus | 8,724 | 29,087 | (258) | 37,553 |  |  | 37,553 |
| Total capital | 15,785 | 31,243 | $(4,895)$ | 42,133 | 3,684 | (1) | 45,816 |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$238,272 | \$161,669 | \$(132,301) | \$267,640 | \$3,684 | \$ 0 | \$271,324 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

## COMBINING STATEMENT OF CONDITION - (Condensed) December 31, 2013 <br> (in millions)

|  | Combined Banks | Combined Associations | Eliminations | Combined without Insurance Fund | Insurance Fund | Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and investments | \$ 49,163 | \$ 2,730 |  | \$ 51,893 |  |  | \$ 51,893 |
| Loans | 178,790 | 146,914 | \$(124,644) | 201,060 |  |  | 201,060 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (494) | (744) |  | $(1,238)$ |  |  | $(1,238)$ |
| Net loans | 178,296 | 146,170 | $(124,644)$ | 199,822 |  |  | 199,822 |
| Other assets | 2,837 | 8,242 | $(5,508)$ | 5,571 |  |  | 5,571 |
| Restricted assets |  |  |  |  | \$3,496 |  | 3,496 |
| Total assets | \$230,296 | \$157,142 | \$(130,152) | \$257,286 | \$3,496 | \$ 0 | \$260,782 |
| Systemwide Debt Securities and subordinated debt | \$208,944 | \$ 100 |  | \$209,044 |  |  | \$209,044 |
| Other liabilities | 6,274 | 127,975 | \$(125,113) | 9,136 |  | \$ 1(a) | 9,137 |
| Total liabilities | 215,218 | 128,075 | $(125,113)$ | 218,180 |  | 1 | 218,181 |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected borrower stock |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | (1)(a) |  |
| Preferred stock | 1,937 | 532 |  | 2,469 |  |  | 2,469 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates. | 5,209 | 560 | $(4,124)$ | 1,645 |  |  | 1,645 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 37 | 701 |  | 738 |  |  | 738 |
| Restricted capital |  |  |  |  | \$3,496 |  | 3,496 |
| Accumulated other comprehens income (loss) | 26 | (71) | (762) | (807) |  |  | (807) |
| Surplus | 7,869 | 27,344 | (153) | 35,060 |  |  | 35,060 |
| Total capital | 15,078 | 29,067 | $(5,039)$ | 39,106 | 3,496 | (1) | 42,601 |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$230,296 | \$157,142 | \$(130,152) | \$257,286 | \$3,496 | \$ 0 | \$260,782 |

In the event a Bank is unable to timely pay principal or interest on an insured debt obligation for which the Bank is primarily liable, the Insurance Corporation must expend amounts in the Insurance Fund to the extent necessary to insure the timely payment of principal and interest on the insured debt obligation. The provisions of the Farm Credit Act providing for joint and several liability of the Banks on the obligation cannot be invoked until the amounts
in the Insurance Fund have been exhausted. However, because of other mandatory and discretionary uses of the Insurance Fund, there is no assurance that there will be sufficient funds to timely pay the principal or interest on the insured debt obligation.

Combination entry (a) reclassifies protected borrower stock to other liabilities in recognition of its reduced at-risk characteristics at the System level.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited) <br> COMBINING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - (Condensed) For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 <br> (in millions)

|  | Combined Banks | Combined Associations | Eliminations | Combined without Insurance Fund | Insurance Fund | $\underset{\text { Entries }}{\substack{\text { Combination }}}$ | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | \$1,853 | \$ 3,170 | \$ 33 | \$ 5,056 |  |  | \$ 5,056 |
| Loan loss reversal (provision for loan losses) | 32 | (39) |  | (7) |  |  | (7) |
| Noninterest income | 198 | 883 | (619) | 462 | \$190 | \$(163)(b) | 489 |
| Noninterest expense | (452) | $(1,604)$ | 100 | $(1,956)$ | (2) | 163 (b) | $(1,795)$ |
| Provision for income taxes | (130) | (44) |  | (174) |  |  | (174) |
| Net income | 1,501 | 2,366 | (486) | 3,381 | 188 | 0 | 3,569 |
| Other comprehensive income | 36 | 1 | 49 | 86 |  |  | 86 |
| Comprehensive income | \$1,537 | \$ 2,367 | \$(437) | \$ 3,467 | \$188 | \$ 0 | \$ 3,655 |

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
(in millions)

|  | Combined Banks | Combined Associations | Eliminations | Combined without Insurance Fund | Insurance Fund | Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | \$1,917 | \$ 3,030 | \$ 34 | \$ 4,981 |  |  | \$ 4,981 |
| (Provision for loan losses) loan loss reversal | (18) | 9 |  | (9) |  |  | (9) |
| Noninterest income | 170 | 862 | (609) | 423 | \$151 | \$(130)(b) | 444 |
| Noninterest expense | (424) | $(1,546)$ | 93 | $(1,877)$ | (2) | 130 (b) | $(1,749)$ |
| Provision for income taxes | (118) | (50) |  | (168) |  |  | (168) |
| Net income | 1,527 | 2,305 | (482) | 3,350 | 149 | 0 | 3,499 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income . . . . | (220) | (2) | 75 | (147) |  |  | (147) |
| Comprehensive income | \$1,307 | \$ 2,303 | \$(407) | \$ 3,203 | \$149 | \$ 0 | \$ 3,352 |

Combination entry (b) eliminates the Insurance Fund premiums expensed by the Banks in the first nine months of 2014 and 2013 of $\$ 163$ million and
$\$ 130$ million and the related income recognized by the Insurance Corporation.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

## STATEMENT OF CONDITION - (Condensed)

## September 30, 2014 <br> (in millions)

The Banks and their affiliated Associations are referred to as Districts. Each District operates in such an interdependent manner that we believe the financial results of the Banks combined with their affiliated Associations are more meaningful to investors in

Systemwide Debt Securities than providing financial information of the Banks and Associations on a stand-alone basis. For the purpose of additional analysis, the following presentation reflects each District, the Insurance Fund and combination entries.

|  | AgFirst District Combined | AgriBank District Combined | Texas District Combined | CoBank District Combined | Insurance Fund and Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and investments | \$ 8,251 | \$ 16,955 | \$ 4,414 | \$ 25,254 | \$ 3 | \$ 54,877 |
| Loans | 24,117 | 85,210 | 18,692 | 84,350 | $(4,318)$ | 208,051 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (181) | (238) | (59) | (706) |  | $(1,184)$ |
| Net loans | 23,936 | 84,972 | 18,633 | 83,644 | $(4,318)$ | 206,867 |
| Other assets | 620 | 2,349 | 458 | 2,189 | 280 | 5,896 |
| Restricted assets |  |  |  |  | 3,684 | 3,684 |
| Total assets | \$32,807 | \$104,276 | \$23,505 | \$111,087 | \$ (351) | \$271,324 |
| Systemwide Debt Securities and subordinated debt | \$26,466 | \$ 84,100 | \$15,639 | \$ 89,723 | \$ (21) | \$215,907 |
| Other liabilities | 750 | 2,385 | 3,957 | 6,369 | $(3,860)$ | 9,601 |
| Total liabilities | 27,216 | 86,485 | 19,596 | 96,092 | $(3,881)$ | 225,508 |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected borrower stock | 1 |  |  |  | (1) |  |
| Preferred stock | 125 | 350 | 600 | 1,484 |  | 2,559 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates | 161 | 306 | 79 | 1,307 | (186) | 1,667 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 61 |  | 152 | 860 |  | 1,073 |
| Restricted capital |  |  |  |  | 3,684 | 3,684 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (148) | (307) | (95) | (160) | (10) | (720) |
| Surplus | 5,391 | 17,442 | 3,173 | 11,504 | 43 | 37,553 |
| Total capital | 5,591 | 17,791 | 3,909 | 14,995 | 3,530 | 45,816 |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$32,807 | \$104,276 | \$23,505 | \$111,087 | \$ (351) | \$271,324 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION - (continued) (unaudited)

STATEMENT OF CONDITION - (Condensed)<br>December 31, 2013<br>(in millions)

|  | AgFirst District Combined | AgriBank District Combined | Texas District Combined | CoBank <br> District <br> Combined | Insurance Fund and Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and investments | \$ 8,526 | \$ 15,598 | \$ 4,325 | \$ 23,438 | \$ 6 | \$ 51,893 |
| Loans | 23,271 | 82,770 | 17,725 | 81,603 | $(4,309)$ | 201,060 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (188) | (236) | (74) | (740) |  | $(1,238)$ |
| Net loans | 23,083 | 82,534 | 17,651 | 80,863 | $(4,309)$ | 199,822 |
| Other assets | 652 | 2,197 | 397 | 2,054 | 271 | 5,571 |
| Restricted assets |  |  |  |  | 3,496 | 3,496 |
| Total assets | \$32,261 | \$100,329 | \$22,373 | \$106,355 | \$ (536) | \$260,782 |
| Systemwide Debt Securities and subordinated debt | \$26,225 | \$ 81,583 | \$14,652 | \$ 86,616 | \$ (32) | \$209,044 |
| Other liabilities | 861 | 2,232 | 4,147 | 5,766 | $(3,869)$ | 9,137 |
| Total liabilities | 27,086 | 83,815 | 18,799 | 92,382 | $(3,901)$ | 218,181 |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected borrower stock | 1 |  |  |  | (1) |  |
| Preferred stock | 125 | 350 | 600 | 1,394 |  | 2,469 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates | 156 | 300 | 78 | 1,278 | (167) | 1,645 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 61 |  | 23 | 654 |  | 738 |
| Restricted capital |  |  |  |  | 3,496 | 3,496 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (175) | (315) | (111) | (196) | (10) | (807) |
| Surplus | 5,007 | 16,179 | 2,984 | 10,843 | 47 | 35,060 |
| Total capital | 5,175 | 16,514 | 3,574 | 13,973 | 3,365 | 42,601 |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$32,261 | \$100,329 | \$22,373 | \$106,355 | \$ (536) | \$260,782 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

## STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - (Condensed) For the Nine Months Ended September 30, (in millions)

|  | AgFirst District Combined | AgriBank District Combined | Texas District Combined | CoBank District Combined | Insurance Fund \& Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ 769 | \$1,952 | \$ 485 | \$1,830 | \$ 20 | \$ 5,056 |
| Loan loss reversal (provision for loan losses) | 7 | (16) | 11 | (9)(a) |  | (7) |
| Noninterest income | 32 | 261 | 40 | 173 | (17) | 489 |
| Noninterest expense | (335) | (778) | (176) | (650) | 144 | $(1,795)$ |
| Provision for income taxes | (1) | (35) |  | (138) |  | (174) |
| Net income | 472 | 1,384 | 360 | 1,206 | 147 | 3,569 |
| Other comprehensive income | 27 | 8 | 16 | 35 |  | 86 |
| Comprehensive income | \$499 | $\underline{\$ 1,392}$ | \$376 | $\underline{\$ 1,241}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 147}}$ | \$ 3,655 |
| $\underline{2013}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ 800 | \$1,864 | \$ 471 | \$1,753 | \$ 93 | \$ 4,981 |
| (Provision for loan losses) loan loss reversal | (4) | 13 | (13) | (5) |  | (9) |
| Noninterest income | 45 | 242 | 45 | 183 | (71) | 444 |
| Noninterest expense | (336) | (730) | (178) | (615) | 110 | $(1,749)$ |
| Provision for income taxes | (1) | (39) |  | (128) |  | (168) |
| Net income | 504 | 1,350 | 325 | 1,188 | 132 | 3,499 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (64) | 72 | (40) | (115) |  | (147) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 440 | \$1,422 | \$ 285 | \$1,073 | \$132 | \$ 3,352 |

[^5]
## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMBINING INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL - (Condensed) For the Nine Months Ended September 30 (in millions)

|  | AgFirst District Combined | AgriBank District Combined | Texas District Combined | CoBank <br> District <br> Combined | Insurance Fund \& Combination Entries | System Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$4,888 | \$14,328 | \$3,286 | \$12,943 | \$3,164 | \$38,609 |
| Comprehensive income | 440 | 1,422 | 285 | 1,073 | 132 | 3,352 |
| Protected borrower stock retired |  | (1) |  |  | 1 |  |
| Preferred stock (retired) issued, net | (150) | 96 | 296 | 67 |  | 309 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued | 28 | 27 | 7 | 5 | (9) | 58 |
| Capital stock, participation certificates, and surplus retired | (23) | (16) | (8) | (36) |  | (83) |
| Patronage and dividends | (77) | (88) | (83) | (268) | 20 | (496) |
| Balance at September 30, 2013 | \$5,106 | \$15,768 | \$3,783 | \$13,784 | \$3,308 | \$41,749 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$5,175 | \$16,514 | \$3,574 | \$13,973 | \$3,365 | \$42,601 |
| Comprehensive income | 499 | 1,392 | 376 | 1,241 | 147 | 3,655 |
| Preferred stock issued, net |  |  |  | 90 |  | 90 |
| Capital stock and participation certificates issued | 28 | 28 | 6 | 5 | (14) | 53 |
| Capital stock, participation certificates, and surplus retired | (23) | (23) | (5) | (38) | 7 | (82) |
| Equity issued or recharacterized upon Association mergers |  |  | 133 | 208 |  | 341 |
| Equity retired or recharacterized upon Association mergers |  |  | (133) | (196) |  | (329) |
| Recharacterization of other comprehensi loss due to fair value adjustments related to the Association mergers |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Patronage and dividends | (88) | (120) | (42) | (289) | 25 | (514) |
| Balance at September 30, 2014 | \$5,591 | \$17,791 | \$3,909 | \$14,995 | \$3,530 | \$45,816 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION (unaudited)

## COMBINED BANK AND ASSOCIATION (DISTRICT) SELECTED KEY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following combined key financial ratios related to each combined Bank and its affiliated Associations is intended for the purpose of additional analysis.

|  | AgFirst District Combined | AgriBank District Combined | Texas District Combined | CoBank <br> District <br> Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the nine months ended: September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | 1.97\% | 1.83\% | 2.11\% | 1.46\% |
| Return on average capital | 12.01\% | 10.85\% | 12.86\% | 11.07\% |
| Net interest margin | 3.32\% | 2.64\% | 2.93\% | 2.26\% |
| Net loan (recoveries) charge-offs as a \% of average loans | (0.01)\% | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% |
| Operating expense as a $\%$ of net interest income and noninterest income | 42.13\% | 35.64\% | 36.37\% | 32.61\% |
| September 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | 2.11\% | 1.92\% | 2.03\% | 1.56\% |
| Return on average capital | 13.87\% | 12.00\% | 12.40\% | 11.82\% |
| Net interest margin | 3.48\% | 2.71\% | 3.04\% | 2.35\% |
| Net loan charge-offs as a \% of average loans | 0.19\% | 0.04\% | 0.27\% | 0.01\% |
| Operating expense as a \% of net interest income and noninterest income | 38.63\% | 34.60\% | 34.38\% | 31.73\% |
| At the period ended: September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming assets as a \% of loans and other property owned | 2.13\% | 0.74\% | 1.17\% | 0.64\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a \% of loans | 0.75\% | 0.28\% | 0.32\% | 0.84\% |
| Capital as a \% of total assets | 17.04\% | 17.06\% | 16.63\% | 13.50\% |
| Capital and allowance for loan losses as a \% of loans | 23.93\% | 21.16\% | 21.23\% | 18.61\% |
| Debt to capital | 4.87:1 | 4.86:1 | 5.01:1 | 6.41:1 |
| December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming assets as a \% of loans and other property owned | 2.61\% | 0.86\% | 1.49\% | 0.80\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a \% of loans | 0.81\% | 0.29\% | 0.42\% | 0.91\% |
| Capital as a \% of total assets | 16.04\% | 16.46\% | 15.97\% | 13.14\% |
| Capital and allowance for loan losses as a \% of loans | 23.05\% | 20.24\% | 20.58\% | 18.03\% |
| Debt to capital ... | 5.23:1 | 5.08:1 | 5.26:1 | 6.61:1 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

The table below reflects the combined results of each Bank and its affiliated Associations (District) measurement under market value of equity and net interest income sensitivity analysis in accordance with their respective asset/liability management policies and District limits.

| District | Change in Market Value of Equity |  |  | Change in Net Interest Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2014 |  |  | September 30, 2014 |  |  |
|  | -1 | +100 | +200 | -1 | +100 | +200 |
| AgFirst | 0.02\% | -3.19\% | -7.73\% | 0.00\% | 3.32\% | 4.94\% |
| AgriBank | 0.03 | -3.49 | -6.85 | -0.04 | 2.20 | 2.86 |
| Texas | 0.00 | -4.73 | -10.14 | -0.04 | 2.23 | 3.49 |
| CoBank | 0.02 | -2.26 | -4.53 | -0.03 | 1.76 | 2.92 |
|  | Change in Market Value of Equity |  |  | Change in Net Interest Income |  |  |
|  | December 31, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| District | -4 | +100 | +200 | -4 | +100 | +200 |
| AgFirst | 0.04\% | -2.60\% | -6.92\% | -0.09\% | 4.09\% | 6.09\% |
| AgriBank | 0.15 | -3.23 | -6.33 | -0.04 | 1.70 | 2.43 |
| Texas | 0.00 | -3.81 | -8.35 | -0.67 | 3.51 | 5.20 |
| CoBank | 0.06 | -1.40 | -2.88 | -0.04 | 2.01 | 3.93 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

## SELECTED ASSOCIATION KEY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Banks serve as financial intermediaries between the capital markets and the retail lending activities of their related Associations. Accordingly, in addition to the supplemental combining Bank and Association (District) information provided on pages

F-54 to F-57, selected financial information regarding Associations with asset size greater than $\$ 1$ billion is provided below for the purpose of additional analysis.

|  | At September 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  | For the <br> Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Assets | Gross Loans | Allowance for Loan Losses as a \% of Gross Loans | Nonperforming Assets as a \% of Gross Loans and Other Property Owned | Permanent Capital Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Return } \\ \text { on } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { onerage } \\ \text { Assets } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Return on Average Capital | Net Interest Margin |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AgFirst District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MidAtlantic Farm Credit, ACA | \$ 2,304 \$ | \$ 2,256 | 1.12\% | 2.03\% | 20.38\% | 2.06\% | 9.28\% | 3.05\% |
| First South Farm Credit, ACA | 1,618 | 1,503 | 0.68 | 1.45 | 16.53 | 1.71 | 8.16 | 3.02 |
| Farm Credit of the Virginias, ACA | 1,604 | 1,552 | 0.82 | 2.85 | 19.50 | 2.18 | 10.51 | 3.14 |
| AgSouth Farm Credit, ACA | 1,601 | 1,521 | 0.78 | 1.72 | 18.56 | 2.31 | 12.57 | 3.85 |
| AgChoice Farm Credit, ACA | 1,564 | 1,526 | 0.70 | 2.06 | 17.83 | 2.29 | 11.58 | 2.93 |
| AgCredit, ACA | 1,540 | 1,479 | 1.04 | 0.77 | 20.69 | 2.33 | 14.71 | 2.79 |
| Carolina Farm Credit, ACA | 1,462 | 1,390 | 0.47 | 1.60 | 20.28 | 1.82 | 8.98 | 3.58 |
| AgCarolina Farm Credit, ACA. | 1,065 | 1,029 | 1.31 | 1.80 | 20.40 | 1.96 | 8.86 | 2.87 |
| AgriBank District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm Credit Services of America, ACA | 22,057 | 21,005 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 15.33 | 2.33 | 13.26 | 2.89 |
| Farm Credit Mid-America, ACA | 20,271 | 18,180 | 0.26 | 1.21 | 16.72 | 1.53 | 8.85 | 2.19 |
| AgStar Financial Services, ACA | 7,227 | 6,461 | 0.38 | 1.41 | 16.17 | 1.61 | 10.46 | 2.71 |
| GreenStone FCS, ACA | 6,569 | 6,334 | 0.49 | 1.01 | 16.24 | 2.27 | 12.08 | 2.87 |
| 1st Farm Credit, ACA | 4,862 | 4,479 | 0.34 | 0.64 | 16.24 | 1.84 | 10.15 | 2.47 |
| AgCountry, ACA | 4,846 | 4,452 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 16.16 | 2.08 | 10.11 | 2.81 |
| Badgerland Financial, ACA | 3,482 | 3,317 | 0.13 | 0.42 | 16.53 | 2.26 | 10.89 | 2.86 |
| Farm Credit of Illinois, ACA | 3,303 | 3,143 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 17.42 | 1.85 | 8.58 | 2.59 |
| FCS Financial, ACA | 3,243 | 3,111 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 17.25 | 2.06 | 10.50 | 2.71 |
| United Farm Credit Services, ACA | 1,437 | 1,381 | 0.20 | 0.54 | 15.90 | 1.96 | 10.56 | 2.78 |
| AgHeritage Farm Credit Services, ACA | 1,071 | 1,016 | 0.10 | 0.29 | 18.49 | 2.36 | 11.04 | 3.25 |
| Farm Credit Services of North Dakota, ACA | 1,043 | 1,001 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 16.43 | 2.05 | 9.99 | 2.91 |
| Farm Credit Services of Western Arkansas, ACA | 1,007 | 967 | 0.10 | 0.94 | 20.80 | 2.28 | 9.90 | 3.31 |
| Texas District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Farm Credit, ACA | 5,931 | 5,780 | 0.25 | 1.40 | 16.23 | 2.68 | 15.17 | 3.36 |
| Lone Star, ACA | 1,412 | 1,387 | 0.38 | 0.83 | 21.16 | 2.03 | 8.90 | 3.03 |
| CoBank District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest Farm Credit Services, ACA | 9,902 | 9,455 | 0.86 | 1.02 | 15.82 | 2.43 | 12.69 | 3.07 |
| Farm Credit West, ACA | 7,180 | 6,674 | 0.55 | 1.10 | 19.58 | 2.40 | 11.34 | 2.81 |
| American AgCredit, ACA | 6,575 | 6,128 | 0.17 | 0.90 | 21.12 | 1.59 | 6.10 | 2.88 |
| Farm Credit East, ACA | 5,766 | 5,561 | 1.28 | 1.11 | 16.05 | 2.58 | 14.05 | 3.15 |
| Yosemite Farm Credit, ACA | 2,051 | 1,902 | 0.22 | 0.63 | 14.89 | 1.92 | 10.72 | 2.71 |
| Frontier Farm Credit, ACA | 1,776 | 1,664 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 14.78 | 1.89 | 10.18 | 2.59 |
| Farm Credit of New Mexico, ACA | 1,385 | 1,306 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 21.98 | 2.34 | 9.97 | 2.83 |
| Golden State, ACA | 1,207 | 1,142 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 17.94 | 1.94 | 9.38 | 2.59 |
| Fresno-Madera Farm Credit, ACA | 1,008 | 963 | 0.50 | 0.03 | 17.51 | 1.63 | 7.03 | 2.51 |

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

## SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION - (continued) <br> (unaudited)

|  | At December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  | For the <br> Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Assets | Gross <br> Loans | Allowance for Loan Losses as a \% of Gross Loans | Nonperforming Assets as a \% of Gross Loans and Other Property Owned | Permanent Capital Ratio | Return on Average Assets | Return on Average Capital | Net Interest <br> Margin |
|  | (\$ in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AgFirst District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MidAtlantic Farm Credit, ACA | \$ 2,272 \$ | \$ 2,198 | 1.08\% | 2.07\% | 20.21\% | 1.96\% | 9.21\% | 3.15\% |
| AgSouth Farm Credit, ACA | 1,594 | 1,497 | 0.78 | 2.39 | 18.69 | 2.40 | 13.81 | 3.80 |
| Farm Credit of the Virginias, ACA | 1,561 | 1,483 | 0.80 | 2.19 | 19.88 | 2.24 | 11.64 | 2.99 |
| AgCredit, ACA | 1,545 | 1,473 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 20.28 | 2.34 | 15.08 | 2.75 |
| AgChoice Farm Credit, ACA | 1,544 | 1,487 | 0.79 | 2.66 | 17.48 | 2.23 | 11.72 | 3.02 |
| Carolina Farm Credit, ACA | 1,472 | 1,362 | 0.41 | 2.60 | 20.34 | 2.07 | 9.83 | 3.44 |
| First South Farm Credit, ACA | 1,456 | 1,317 | 0.70 | 1.47 | 17.76 | 1.96 | 10.15 | 3.04 |
| AgriBank District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm Credit Services of America, ACA | 21,274 | 20,212 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 14.81 | 2.42 | 13.97 | 2.95 |
| Farm Credit Mid-America, ACA | 20,032 | 17,670 | 0.26 | 1.33 | 15.93 | 1.54 | 9.42 | 2.15 |
| AgStar Financial Services, ACA | 7,106 | 6,364 | 0.39 | 2.27 | 15.41 | 1.69 | 11.75 | 2.71 |
| GreenStone FCS, ACA | 6,541 | 6,250 | 0.62 | 1.16 | 14.65 | 2.27 | 12.62 | 2.97 |
| 1st Farm Credit, ACA | 4,909 | 4,467 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 15.21 | 2.07 | 11.78 | 2.55 |
| AgCountry, ACA | 4,550 | 4,203 | 0.37 | 0.62 | 15.82 | 1.98 | 9.57 | 2.80 |
| Badgerland Financial, ACA | 3,395 | 3,232 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 15.52 | 2.53 | 12.31 | 3.02 |
| Farm Credit of Illinois, ACA | 3,338 | 3,176 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 15.91 | 1.96 | 9.95 | 2.62 |
| FCS Financial, ACA | 3,180 | 3,050 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 16.19 | 1.92 | 9.94 | 2.78 |
| United Farm Credit Services, ACA | 1,466 | 1,389 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 13.26 | 2.05 | 11.35 | 2.99 |
| Texas District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Farm Credit, ACA | 5,551 | 5,429 | 0.36 | 1.49 | 16.36 | 2.58 | 14.53 | 3.42 |
| CoBank District(a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest Farm Credit Services, ACA | 9,605 | 9,160 | 1.06 | 1.54 | 14.74 | 2.48 | 14.07 | 3.04 |
| Farm Credit West, ACA | 6,926 | 6,415 | 0.54 | 1.53 | 18.62 | 2.47 | 12.68 | 2.91 |
| American AgCredit, ACA | 6,466 | 6,045 | 0.18 | 1.12 | 21.01 | 1.86 | 7.43 | 2.92 |
| Farm Credit East, ACA | 5,153 | 4,982 | 1.69 | 1.40 | 16.22 | 2.63 | 14.54 | 3.08 |
| Yosemite Farm Credit, ACA | 1,994 | 1,850 | 0.26 | 0.81 | 15.07 | 1.99 | 11.39 | 2.71 |
| Frontier Farm Credit, ACA | 1,717 | 1,597 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 14.58 | 1.77 | 9.46 | 2.67 |
| Farm Credit of New Mexico, ACA | 1,423 | 1,351 | 0.94 | 2.43 | 20.32 | 1.96 | 9.02 | 2.80 |
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## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2014, the Funding Corporation carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Funding Corporation's management, including the President and CEO and the Managing Director - Financial Management Division, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Funding Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures ${ }^{(1)}$ with respect to the System's quarterly information statement. This evaluation relies upon the evaluations made by the individual Banks and the related certifications they provide to the Funding Corporation. Based upon and as of the date of the Funding Corporation's evaluation, the President and CEO and the Managing Director - Financial Management Division concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis of any material information relating to the System that is required to be disclosed by the System in the annual and quarterly information statements it files or submits to the Farm Credit Administration.

There have been no significant changes in the System's internal control over financial reporting ${ }^{(2)}$ that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the System's internal control over financial reporting.

[^7]
## CERTIFICATION

I, Theresa E. McCabe, certify that:

1. I have reviewed the Third Quarter 2014 Quarterly Information Statement of the Farm Credit System.
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly information statement does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly information statement.
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly information statement, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the System as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly information statement.
4. The System's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures ${ }^{(1)}$ and internal control over financial reporting ${ }^{(2)}$ for the System and have:
(a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the System, including its combined entities, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly information statement is being prepared;
(b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) evaluated the effectiveness of the System's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly information statement our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly information statement based on such evaluation; and
(d) disclosed in this quarterly information statement any change in the System's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the System's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the System's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The System's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the System's auditors and the System Audit Committee:
(a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the System's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the System's internal control over financial reporting.
Jhnesa E. melule

Theresa E. McCabe<br>President and CEO

Date: November 7, 2014
(1) See footnote 1 on page S-2.
(2) See footnote 2 on page S-2.

## CERTIFICATION

I, Karen R. Brenner, certify that:

1. I have reviewed the Third Quarter 2014 Quarterly Information Statement of the Farm Credit System.
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly information statement does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly information statement.
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly information statement, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the System as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly information statement.
4. The System's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures ${ }^{(1)}$ and internal control over financial reporting ${ }^{(2)}$ for the System and have:
(a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the System, including its combined entities, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly information statement is being prepared;
(b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over finncial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) evaluated the effectiveness of the System's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly information statement our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly information statement based on such evaluation; and
(d) disclosed in this quarterly information statement any change in the System's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the System's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the System's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The System's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the System's auditors and the System Audit Committee:
(a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the System's ability to record, procass, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the System's internal control over financial reporting.
Karen R.Burennen

Karen R. Brenner<br>Managing Director - Financial<br>Management Division

Date: November 7, 2014
(1) See footnote 1 on page S-2.
(2) See footnote 2 on page S-2.

## FARM CREDIT SYSTEM ENTITIES (As of October 1, 2014)

## BANKS

AgFirst Farm Credit Bank
P.O. Box 1499

Columbia, SC 29202-1499
(803) 799-5000

AgriBank, FCB
30 East 7th Street
Suite 1600
St. Paul, MN 55101-4914
(651) 282-8800

CoBank, ACB
P.O. Box 5110

Denver, CO 80217-5110
(303) 740-4000

Farm Credit Bank of Texas
P.O. Box 202590

Austin, TX 78720-2590
(512) 465-0400

## CERTAIN OTHER ENTITIES

Farm Credit Leasing Services Corporation
600 Highway 169 South, Suite 300
Minneapolis, MN 55426-1219
(952) 417-7800

Federal Farm Credit Banks
Funding Corporation
10 Exchange Place, Suite 1401
Jersey City, NJ 07302-3913
(201) 200-8000

FCS Building Association
1501 Farm Credit Drive
McLean, VA 22102-5090
(703) 883-4000

The Farm Credit Council
50 F Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001-1530
(202) 626-8710

## ASSOCIATIONS

## AgFirst District

AgCarolina Farm Credit, ACA
4000 Poole Road
Raleigh, NC 27610
AgChoice Farm Credit, ACA
900 Bent Creek Blvd.
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050-1860

Ag Credit, ACA
610 W. Lytle Street
Fostoria, OH 44830-3422
AgGeorgia Farm Credit, ACA
468 Perry Parkway
Perry, GA 31069
AgSouth Farm Credit, ACA
26 South Main Street
Statesboro, GA 30458

ArborOne, ACA
800 Woody Jones Blvd.
Florence, SC 29501
Cape Fear Farm Credit, ACA
333 East Russell Street
Fayetteville, NC 28301
Carolina Farm Credit, ACA
146 Victory Lane
Statesville, NC 28625

Central Kentucky, ACA
640 S. Broadway, Room 108
Lexington, KY 40588

Colonial Farm Credit, ACA
7104 Mechanicsville Turnpike
Mechanicsville, VA 23111
Farm Credit of Central Florida, ACA
115 S. Missouri Avenue, Suite 400
Lakeland, FL 33815

Farm Credit of Northwest Florida, ACA
5052 Highway 90
East Marianna, FL 32446
Farm Credit of Florida, ACA
11903 Southern Blvd.
Suite 200
Royal Palm Beach, FL 33411
Farm Credit of the Virginias, ACA
106 Sangers
Lane Staunton, VA 24401

First South Farm Credit, ACA
574 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 100
Ridgeland, MS 39157
MidAtlantic Farm Credit, ACA
45 Aileron Court
Westminster, MD 21157
Puerto Rico Farm Credit, ACA
213 Manuel V. Domenech Avenue
Hato Rey, PR 00918
River Valley AgCredit, ACA
328 East Broadway
MayField, KY 42066

Southwest Georgia Farm Credit, ACA
305 Colquitt Highway
Bainbridge, GA 39817

## AgriBank District

1st Farm Credit Services, ACA
2000 Jacobssen Drive
Normal, IL 61761
AgCountry Farm Credit Services, ACA
1900 44th Street South
Fargo, ND 58108
AgHeritage Farm Credit Services, ACA
119 East Third Street, Suite 200
Little Rock, AR 72201
AgStar Financial Services, ACA
1921 Premier Drive
Mankato, MN 56001

Badgerland Financial, ACA
1430 North Ridge Drive
Prairie du Sac, WI 53578

Delta ACA
118 E. Speedway
Dermott, AR 71638
Farm Credit Midsouth, ACA
3000 Prosperity Drive
Jonesboro, AR 72404

Farm Credit Services of America, ACA
5015 South 118th Street
Omaha, NE 68137
Farm Credit Illinois, ACA
1100 Farm Credit Drive
Mahomet IL 61853

Farm Credit Services of Mandan, ACA
1600 Old Red Trail
Mandan, ND 58554-5001

Farm Credit Mid-America, ACA
1601 UPS Drive
Louisville, KY 40223

Farm Credit Services of North Dakota, ACA
3100 10th Street, SW
Minot, ND 58702-0070
Farm Credit Services of Western Arkansas, ACA
3115 West 2nd Court
Russellville, AR 72801
FCS Financial, ACA
1934 East Miller Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101-3881
GreenStone Farm Credit Services, ACA
3515 West Road
East Lansing, MI 48823
Progressive Farm Credit Services, ACA
1116 N. Main Street
Sikeston, MO 63801
United Farm Credit Services, ACA
4401 Highway 71 South
P.O. Box 1330

Willmar, MN 56201-1560

CoBank District
AgPreference, ACA
3120 North Main
Altus, OK 73521
American AgCredit, ACA
200 Concourse Boulevard
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Chisholm Trail Farm Credit, ACA
805 Chisholm Trail
Enid, OK 73701

Farm Credit East, ACA
240 South Road
Enfield, CT 06082

Farm Credit of Enid, ACA
1605 W. Owen K. Garriott Road
Enid, OK 73703
Farm Credit of Ness City, FLCA
101 Eagle Drive
Ness City, KS 67560

Farm Credit of New Mexico, ACA
5651 Balloon Fiesta Parkway NE
Albuquerque, NM 87113
Farm Credit of Southern Colorado, ACA
5110 Edison Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO 80915
Farm Credit of Southwest Kansas, ACA
1606 E. Kansas Avenue
Garden City, KS 67846

Farm Credit of Western Kansas, ACA
1190 South Range Avenue
Colby, KS 67701
Farm Credit of Western Oklahoma, ACA
3302 Williams Avenue
Woodward, OK 73801
Farm Credit Services of Colusa-Glenn, ACA
605 Jay Street
Colusa, CA 95932

Farm Credit Services of East Central Oklahoma, ACA
601 E. Kenosha Street
Broken Arrow, OK 74012
Farm Credit Services of Hawaii, ACA
99-860 Iwaena Street, Suite A
Aiea, HI 96701

Farm Credit Services Southwest, ACA
3003 S. Fair Lane
Tempe, AZ 85282

Farm Credit West, ACA
1478 Stone Point Drive, Suite 450
Roseville, CA 95661

Fresno-Madera Farm Credit, ACA
4635 West Spruce Ave.
Fresno, CA 93722
Frontier Farm Credit, ACA
2009 Vanesta Place
Manhattan, KS 66503

Golden State Farm Credit, ACA
1580 Ellis Street
Kingsburg, CA 93631

High Plains Farm Credit, ACA
605 Main Street
Larned, KS 67550
Idaho Agricultural Credit Association
188 West Judicial
Blackfoot, ID 83221

Northwest Farm Credit Services, ACA
1700 South Assembly Street
Spokane, WA 99224
Premier Farm Credit, ACA
202 Poplar Street
Sterling, CO 80751
Western AgCredit, ACA
10980 South Jordan Gateway
South Jordan, UT 84095
Yankee Farm Credit, ACA
289 Hurricane Lane, Suite 102
Williston, VT 05495

Yosemite Farm Credit, ACA
800 West Monte Vista Avenue
Turlock, CA 95382

## Texas District

Ag New Mexico, Farm Credit Services, ACA
233 Fairway Terrace North
Clovis, NM 88101
AgTexas Farm Credit Services
6901 Quaker Avenue, Suite 300
Lubbock, TX 79413

Alabama Ag Credit, ACA
2660 EastChase Lane, Suite 401
Montgomery, AL 36117
Alabama Farm Credit, ACA
1740 Eva Road NE
Cullman, AL 35055
Capital Farm Credit, ACA
3000 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 601
Bryan, TX 77802

Central Texas Farm Credit, ACA
215 W. Elm Street
Coleman, TX 76834

Great Plains Ag Credit, ACA
5701 I-40 West
Amarillo, TX 79106

Heritage Land Bank, ACA
4608 Kinsey Drive, Suite 100
Tyler, TX 75703

Legacy Ag Credit, ACA
303 Connally Street
Sulphur Springs, TX 75482
Lone Star, ACA
1612 Summit Avenue, Suite 300
Fort Worth, TX 76102

Louisiana Land Bank, ACA
2413 Tower Drive
Monroe, LA 71201

Mississippi Land Bank, ACA
5509 Highway 51 North
Senatobia, MS 38668

Panhandle-Plains Land Bank, FLCA
5700 Southwest 45th
Amarillo, TX 79109-5204

Southern AgCredit, ACA
402 West Parkway Place
Ridgeland, MS 39157

Texas Farm Credit Services
545 South Highway 77
Robstown, TX 78380


[^0]:    * Represents the elimination of the allowance for loan losses in connection with Association mergers that were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. See Note 7 to the accompanying condensed combined financial statements.
    ** Represents reclassifications between the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments primarily as a result of advances on or repayments of seasonal lines of credit or other loans.

[^1]:    * The recorded investment in the receivable is the face amount increased or decreased by applicable accrued interest and unamortized premium, discount, finance charges, or acquisition costs and may also reflect a previous direct write-down of the investment.
    ** Unpaid principal balance represents the contractual principal balance of the loan

[^2]:    * Represents reclassifications between the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments primarily as a result of

[^3]:    * Represents the portion of loans modified as troubled debt restructurings that are in nonaccrual status

[^4]:    (1) These loans represent direct loans to Associations, not retail loans to borrowers. Since the Associations operate under regulations that require maintenance of certain minimum capital levels, adequate reserves, and prudent underwriting standards, these loans are considered to carry less risk. Accordingly, these loans typically have little or no associated allowance for loan losses. The majority of the credit risk resides with the Banks' and Associations' retail loans to borrowers. Association retail loans are not reflected in the combining Bank-only financial statements.

    Further, the loans to the Associations are risk-weighted at $20 \%$ of the loan amount in the computation of each Bank's regulatory permanent capital, total surplus and core surplus ratios. Based upon the lower risk-weighting of these loans to the Associations, the Banks, especially AgFirst, AgriBank and Texas, typically operate with more leverage and lower earnings than would be expected from a traditional retail bank. In the case of CoBank, approximately half of its loans are retail loans to cooperatives and other eligible borrowers.
    (2) Loans to others represent retail loans held by the Banks. The Banks may purchase participations in loans to eligible borrowers made by Associations, other Banks and non-System lenders. Three Banks (AgFirst, AgriBank and Texas) have one or more participation pool programs designed to allow Associations to sell loan participation interests to the Bank in order to more efficiently manage the capital of each Bank and its related Associations within their respective District. Within these programs, a separate patronage pool is created for each participating Association. The net income from each pool is tracked separately so that, at the Bank board's discretion, patronage can be distributed from the pool. The declared patronage generally approximates the net earnings of the respective pool. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, such participation pools outstanding were $\$ 524$ million and $\$ 590$ million for AgFirst, $\$ 3.649$ billion and $\$ 4.010$ billion for AgriBank and $\$ 37$ million and $\$ 41$ million for Texas.
    Also, the participation pool program for Texas includes investments that were sold to the Bank by its Associations of $\$ 91$ million and $\$ 101$ million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

[^5]:    (a) Includes a $\$ 42$ million adjustment made by one Association during the third quarter of 2014. See Note 1 for additional information

[^6]:    (a) During the third quarter of 2014, Farm Credit Services Southwest, ACA noted a sudden significant increase in deliquencies in a discrete portion of its loan portfolio. As more fully discussed in Note 1, the Association has been evaluating the ramifications of this issue on its financial statements. The Supplemental Financial Information for this Association is not presented given the ongoing investigation.

[^7]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ For purposes of this discussion, "disclosure controls and procedures" are defined as controls and procedures of the System that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the System in this quarterly information statement is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified under the rules and regulations of the Farm Credit Administration.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ For purposes of this discussion, "internal control over financial reporting" is defined as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the System's principal executive officers and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the System's boards of directors, managements and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the System's condensed combined financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the System; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the System's condensed combined financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the System are being made only in accordance with authorizations of managements and directors of the System; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisitions, use or disposition of the System's assets that could have a material effect on the System's condensed combined financial statements.

